

1. Consider two Jordan canonical forms

$$A = ZJZ^{-1} = WJW^{-1} \quad (1)$$

(by incorporating a permutation matrix in W we can assume without loss of generality that J is the same matrix in both cases). The definition gives $f_1(A) = Zf(J)Z^{-1}$, $f_2(A) = Wf(J)W^{-1}$ and we need to show that $f_1(A) = f_2(A)$, that is, $W^{-1}Zf(J)Z^{-1}W = f(J)$, or $X^{-1}f(J)X = f(J)$ where $X = Z^{-1}W$. Now by (1) we have $X^{-1}JX = J$, which implies $f(J) = f(X^{-1}JX) = X^{-1}f(J)X$, the last equality following from the JCF definition. Hence $f_1(A) = f_2(A)$, as required.

2.

(a) The Jordan canonical form of A can be ordered so that $A = ZJZ^{-1}$ with $j_{11} = \lambda$ and $Z(:, 1) = x$. Now $f(A) = Zf(J)Z^{-1}$ and so $f(A)Z = Zf(J)$. The first column of this equation gives $f(A)x = f(\lambda)x$, as required. For an alternative proof, let p interpolate to the values of f on the spectrum of A . Then $p(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$. Since $A^k x = \lambda^k x$ for all k , $f(A)x = p(A)x = p(\lambda)x = f(\lambda)x$, as required.

(b) Setting $(\lambda, x) \equiv (\alpha, e)$ in (a) gives the row sum result. If A has column sums α then $A^T e = \alpha e$ and applying the row sum result to A^T gives $f(\alpha)e = f(A^T)e = f(A)^T e$. So $f(A)$ has column sums $f(\alpha)$.

3. It is easiest to use the polynomial interpolation definition, which says that $\cos(\pi A) = p(A)$, where $p(1) = \cos \pi = -1$, $p'(1) = -\pi \sin \pi = 0$, $p(2) = \cos 2\pi = 1$. Writing $p(t) = a + bt + ct^2$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which can be solved to give $p(t) = 1 - 4t + 2t^2$. Hence

$$\cos(\pi A) = p(A) = I - 4A + 2A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Evaluating $\cos(\pi A)$ from its power series would be much more complicated.

4.

For the interpolation definition the result is immediate: $f(A)$ is a polynomial in A and so commutes with B since A does.

For the Cauchy integral definition we have, using $(zI - A)B = B(zI - A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(A)B &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z)(zI - A)^{-1} B dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} B \int_{\Gamma} f(z)(zI - A)^{-1} dz = Bf(A). \end{aligned}$$

5. (a) Straightforward. For last part:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= I + AB - (I + AB)A(I + BA)^{-1}B \\ &= I + AB - A(I + BA)(I + BA)^{-1}B \\ &= I + AB - AB \\ &= I \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)A &= A(BA) \\ \Rightarrow (AB)^2A &= ABA(BA) = A(BA)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In general, for any poly p ,

$$p(AB)A = Ap(BA).$$

(c) There is a single polynomial p such that $f(AB) = p(AB)$ and $f(BA) = p(BA)$. Hence

$$Af(BA) = Ap(BA) = p(AB)A = f(AB)A.$$

6. Note first that the given assumption on f implies that f is defined on the spectrum of $\alpha I_n + BA$ and at α .

Let $g(t) = f[\alpha + t, \alpha] = t^{-1}(f(\alpha + t) - f(\alpha))$, so that $f(\alpha + t) = f(\alpha) + tg(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha I_m + AB) &= f(\alpha)I_m + ABg(AB) \\ &= f(\alpha)I_m + Ag(BA)B \\ &= f(\alpha)I_m + A(BA)^{-1}(f(\alpha I_n + BA) - f(\alpha)I_n)B, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is from the fact that $Bg(AB) = g(BA)B$.

The above formula does not generalize in the proposed way because $h(X) = f(D+X)$ is not a function of X according to our definition—more precisely, it does not correspond to a scalar “stem function” evaluated at X , because of the presence of D . In the “ $\alpha I \rightarrow D$ ” generalization, the right-hand side of the formula would contain $f(D_n + BA)$ where D_n is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix obtained from D , yet there is no reasonable way to define D_n .

7. Define

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & -1 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times n}.$$

Then $T_n = L^T L$, $\tilde{T}_{n+1} = LL^T$.

So $\Lambda(\tilde{T}_{n+1}) = \Lambda(T_n) \cup \{0\}$ (Strang, 2005).

Example:

```
n = 6; L = gallery('triu',n,-1,1)';
L = L(:,1:n-1), A = L*L', B = L'*L
```

8. Yes for $n \leq 2$; no for $n > 2$. AB must have a Jordan form with eigenvalues all zero, these eigenvalues appearing in 1×1 or 2×2 blocks. BA has the same eigenvalues as AB , so the question is whether BA has Jordan blocks of dimension only 1 or 2. This can be answered using Flanders' theorem on the Jordan structures of AB and BA . But working instead from first principles, note that the dimensions of the Jordan blocks cannot exceed 3, because $BABABA = B(ABAB)A = 0$. There are obviously no counterexamples with $n = 2$, but for $n = 3$ we find in MATLAB

```
A =
    0    0    1
    0    0    0
    0    1    0
B =
    0    0    1
    1    0    0
    0    0    0
>> [A*B  A*B*A*B]
ans =
    0    0    0    0    0    0
    0    0    0    0    0    0
    1    0    0    0    0    0
>> [B*A  B*A*B*A]
ans =
    0    1    0    0    0    1
    0    0    1    0    0    0
    0    0    0    0    0    0
```

9. It suffices to check that

$$f(X + E) = f(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \sum_{j=1}^i X^{j-1} E X^{i-j} + O(\|E\|^2),$$

since $L(X, E)$ is the linear term in this expansion. The matrix power series has the same radius of convergence as the given scalar series, so if $\|X\| < r$ we can scale $E \rightarrow \theta E$ so that $\|X + \theta E\| < r$ and the expansion is valid. But $L(X, \theta E) = \theta L(X, E)$, so the scaled expansion yields $L(X, E)$. $K(X)$ is obtained by using $\text{vec}(AXB) = (B^T \otimes A)\text{vec}(X)$.

10. We have $\text{sign}(A) = A(A^2)^{-1/2} = A \cdot I^{-1/2} = A$.

11. $\text{sign}(A) = \text{sign}(A^{-1})$. The easiest way to see this is from the Newton iteration, because both $X_0 = A$ and $X_0 = A^{-1}$ lead to $X_1 = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^{-1})$ and hence the same sequence $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$.

12. No: A^2 differs from I in the (1,3) and (2,3) entries. A quick way to arrive at the answer without computing A^2 is to note that if A is the sign of some matrix then since $a_{22} = a_{33} = 1$ we must have $A(2:3, 2:3) = I$, which is a contradiction.

16. $f(z) = 1/z$ for $z \neq 0$ and $f^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for all j . Hence f is discontinuous at zero. Nevertheless, $f(A)$ is defined.