

# MATH31001/41001/61001 Linear Analysis

## Solution Sheet 2

1. Consider the set  $\mathcal{R}$  of the polynomials with rational coefficients:

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \mid a_k \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq k \leq n, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{R}$  is clearly countable (as  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable) and we claim it to be dense in  $C[0, 1]$ . Let us prove it.

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a function  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . By the Weierstrass Theorem, there exists a polynomial  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$  with **real** coefficients  $a_k$  such that  $\|f - p\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2$ .

Now, for any  $k$ , we choose a rational number  $r_k$  in such a way that  $|a_k - r_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(n+1)}$ . Then

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k - r_k) x^k \right\|_\infty \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |a_k - r_k| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Put  $R(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k x^k \in \mathcal{R}$ . Hence

$$\|f - R\|_\infty \leq \|f - p\|_\infty + \|p - R\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

**Remark.** Notice that  $\mathcal{R}$  is **not** an algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  so we cannot apply the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem directly.

2. If  $(a_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  and  $(b_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  both in  $\ell^1$ , then so is  $(\alpha a_i + \beta b_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  for any real  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , since

$$\sum_{i=0}^\infty |\alpha a_i + \beta b_i| \leq |\alpha| \sum_{i=0}^\infty |a_i| + |\beta| \sum_{i=0}^\infty |b_i| < \infty.$$

For  $\ell^\infty$  use the fact that

$$\sup_i |\alpha a_i + \beta b_i| \leq |\alpha| \sup_i |a_i| + |\beta| \sup_i |b_i|.$$

3. It is clear that  $c_0 \subset l^\infty$  is a vector space. Suppose that  $\{(x_i^{(n)})_{i=0}^\infty\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $c_0$  and that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|(x_i^{(n)})_{i=0}^\infty - (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty\|_\infty = 0$ , for some  $(x_i)_{i=0}^\infty \in l^\infty$ . Then, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n = n(\varepsilon) \geq 1$  such that, for all  $i$ ,

$$|x_i^{(m)} - x_i| \leq \|(x_i^{(m)})_{i=0}^\infty - (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2, \quad \forall m \geq n.$$

Furthermore, from the definition of  $c_0$ , for each  $n$ , there exists  $N(n) \geq 1$  such that

$$|x_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon/2, \quad \forall i \geq N(n).$$

Thus, for  $i \geq N(n)$ ,

$$|x_i| \leq |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| + |x_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon,$$

2

so  $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in c_0$  and  $c_0$  is closed.

4. No, because if  $f$  is increasing,  $-f$  is decreasing.

5. No. Take  $f(x) = x$  and  $g(x) = x^2$ , both increasing. Then  $f(x) - g(x) = x - x^2$ , which is not monotonic on  $[0, 1]$ , as it has a maximum at  $1/2$ .