Nonlinear degradation-enhanced transport of morphogens performing subdiffusion
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We study a morphogen gradient formation under nonlinear degradation and subdiffusive transport. In the long-time limit, we obtain the nonlinear effect of degradation-enhanced diffusion, resulting from the interaction of non-Markovian subdiffusive transport with a nonlinear reaction. We find the stationary profile of power-law type, which has implications for robustness, with the shape of the profile being controlled by the anomalous exponent. Far away from the source of morphogens, any changes in the rate of production are not felt.
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I. INTRODUCTION

During the development of an organism, a key stage is the differentiation of cell types [1]. It is known that the differentiation of these identical cells into different and distinct cell types is controlled by a signaling molecule called a morphogen [2]. One of the most widely studied organisms in the field of morphogenesis is the Drosophila, the common fruit fly, and particularly the development of its wings. The wings begin as a multinucleated mass of identical cells within a membrane, in the early embryo, called an imaginal disk. A morphogen from the TGF-β superfamily called decapentaplegic (Dpp) is secreted by a narrow strip of cells, from which it diffuses in essentially one dimension and degrades, causing a concentration gradient to form. The production, diffusion, and degradation of morphogens are controlled by a complex set of positive and negative feedback loops [3]. The cells in the imaginal disk react to the concentration gradient at discrete levels [4], enabling them to determine their position within the disk. From knowing their position, the cells are able to differentiate themselves to carry out different functions within the developed wing. Thus, to prevent mutations it is essential that the concentration gradient built up is robust to fluctuations in the secretion rate due to genetic alterations, temperature changes, or any other environmental effects [5].

There are differing thoughts on the mechanism behind the diffusion of the morphogen, such as whether the transport is primarily extracellular or intracellular [6], whether it is able to diffuse freely through the essentially two-dimensional (2D) plane of the imaginal disk, or whether the molecules are passed over between neighboring cells, a process called transcytosis [7]. It is thought that some morphogens require intracellular trafficking, while others may diffuse freely [8]. However, regardless of the specific mechanism, it is known that morphogens do form long-range concentration gradients, and that the robustness of the concentration gradient is of the utmost importance [1, 3, 7].

The standard model for morphogen transport is the diffusion equation with the degradation term,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} - \theta \rho, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho(x,t)$ is the density of the morphogen, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, and $\theta$ is the degradation rate. This equation, together with the boundary condition with the constant source term at $x = 0$, gives a stationary concentration distribution which decays exponentially. It has been argued that an exponential profile cannot be robust to fluctuations in environmental conditions and production rate [9]. Therefore, the aforementioned authors argued that a power-law profile is preferable. Experiments have shown that in some circumstances, a power-law decay is observed for the morphogen profile [10]. One way to obtain this profile is to assume that the morphogens must decay rapidly close to their source while decaying at a much slower rate over the rest of the area. In other words, the degradation rate is an increasing function of the local concentration of diffusing morphogens. In this case, the only modification to (1) is the nonlinear rate $\theta(\rho)$. The topic has been tackled in [5, 9], where the authors dubbed this the “self-enhanced degradation” of morphogens.

The robust stationary profile can be found from

$$D \frac{d^2 \rho_0(x)}{dx^2} = k \rho_0^γ(x), \quad (2)$$

with the boundary condition at $x = 0$: $-D \frac{d \rho_0}{dx} = g$. This leads to algebraic decay in the tails of the spatial distribution,

$$\rho_0(x) \sim \frac{A}{x^α}, \quad x \to \infty, \quad (3)$$

where the amplitude $A$ is independent of the production term $g$. In [9], the authors take the independence of the production rate from the amplitude of the profile to be a key indicator of the robustness of the profile. A nonlinear degradation rate can arise from the situation in which the morphogen increases the production of a molecule, which in turn increases the rate of morphogen degradation. In the example of the Drosophila fly, the morphogen Shh is responsible for the expression of a receptor that both transduces the Shh signal and mediates the degradation of the morphogen [11, 12].

Hornung, Berkowitz, and Barkai [13] published the first paper in which the subdiffusion of morphogens was considered. Subdiffusion is an observed natural phenomenon, seen in the diffusion of proteins in the cytoplasm and the nucleus of eukaryotic cells [14, 15], along the surface of a cell membrane [16, 17], and it has been suggested to explain morphogen movement in a heterogeneous environment of HSPG proteins. For the anomalous subdiffusion, the mean-squared displacement grows sublinearly with time $\langle x^2(t) \rangle \sim t^α$, where $\mu < 1$ is the anomalous exponent. Following Hornung et al. [13], several attempts have been made to take into account subdiffusion for the analysis of morphogen gradient formation [18–21]. Kruse and Iomin [18] developed...
a microscopic model of the receptor-mediated transport in a subdiffusive medium. They found subdiffusive and superdiffusive spreading of morphogens. Yuste et al. [19] analyzed the gradient formation of subdiffusive morphogens by using the reaction-subdiffusion equation obtained from a classical continuous time random walk (CTRW),

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = D_\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ e^{-\theta(\rho(x,t))} D^{1-\mu}_\theta \rho(x,t) \right] - \theta(\rho) \rho,$$

(4)

where $D_\mu$ is the fractional diffusion coefficient, and $D^{1-\mu}_\theta$ represents the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $1-\mu$.

$$D^{1-\mu}_\theta f(x,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \frac{f(x,t')}{(t-t')^{1-\mu}} dt'.$$

(5)

The main difference of this work from that of [13] is that here the particles are not protected during trapping events. A stationary profile does not exist in the model of [13]; the authors obtained only a nonstationary exponential profile in space, with a power-law decay of amplitude in time. Yuste, Abad, and Lindenberg [19] found the stationary exponential profile and analyzed the interaction of subdiffusion and space-dependent degradation. A diffusion equation with a power-law density-dependent diffusion coefficient and nonlinear degradation has been analyzed in the recent paper [20]. The modified fractional Fokker-Planck equation was used for the analysis of morphogen gradient formation in [21], where the authors employed the random death process in such a way that the degradation term acts like a tempering of the waiting time distribution. This leads to the unusual effect of the dependence of the diffusion coefficient on the degradation rate. The authors considered only a linear death process and did not consider feedback effects in the degradation rate, and indeed many current models do not either.

The main purpose of this work is to analyze the interaction of the nonlinear degradation with non-Markovian subdiffusion, and its implications on the stationary structure. The result of this interaction is degradation-enhanced diffusion in the long-time limit. The gradient profile can be found from the nonlinear stationary equation for which the diffusion coefficient is a nonlinear function of the nonlinear reaction rate $\theta(\rho_0(x))$,

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} [D_\theta(\rho_0(x)) \rho_0(x)] = \theta(\rho_0(x)) \rho_0(x).$$

(6)

Here the diffusion coefficient $D_\theta$ is

$$D_\theta(\rho_0(x)) = \frac{a^2 [\theta(\rho_0(x))]^{1-\mu(x)}}{2 \tau_0^{\mu(x)}},$$

(7)

$\tau_0$ is the time parameter, and $\mu(x)$ the space-dependent anomalous exponent. This unusual form of the nonlinear diffusion coefficient is a result of the interaction between non-Markovian transport and nonlinearity. The interaction leads directly to a degradation-enhanced diffusion. This effect does not exist for the Markovian random-walk model presented in [20]. We also would like to direct the reader to the interesting paper on the influence of coupling between diffusion and degradation on the morphogen gradient formation [22].

**II. SUBDIFFUSIVE TRANSPORT AND NONLINEAR DEGRADATION**

We describe a random morphogen molecule’s movement in an extracellular surrounding as follows. We assume that molecules are produced at the boundary $x = 0$ of the semi-infinite domain $[0, \infty)$ at the given constant rate $g$, and we perform the classical continuous-time random walk involving symmetrical random jumps of length $a$ with random waiting time $T_x$ between jumps. If we assume that this random time is exponentially distributed with the rate parameter $\lambda$, then on the macroscopic level we obtain the classical diffusion term in (1) with diffusion coefficient $D = \lambda a^2/2$. In this paper, we consider the subdiffusive behavior for morphogen molecules when the residence time $T_x$ has the survival probability $\Psi(x,t) = \Pr[T_x > t]$ given by the Mittag-Leffler function [23]

$$\Psi(x,t) = E_{\mu(x)} \left[ -\left( \frac{t}{\tau_0} \right)^{\mu(x)} \right], \quad 0 < \mu(x) < 1.$$  

(8)

The Mittag-Leffler distribution is characterized by its interpolation between short-time stretched exponential and long-time power-law asymptotics,

$$\Psi(x,t) \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\mu(x))} e^{-(x/t)^{\mu(x)}}, & t \ll 1, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu(x))} \left( \frac{t}{\tau_0} \right)^{\mu(x)}, & t \to \infty. \end{array} \right.$$  

(9)

This distribution leads to the divergence of the mean waiting time,

$$\bar{T}_x = \int_0^\infty t \frac{d\Psi(x,t)}{dt} dt, \quad 0 < \mu(x) < 1,$$  

(10)

which explains the slow subdiffusive behavior. This emerges from the CTRW scheme when a molecule becomes immobilized in a region of space and the mean escape time diverges. The reasons for trapping are many, and vary depending on the circumstance. The particles could be trapped in intracellular space while cell surface receptors are occupied [3,18]. It could be that a particle enters a region with a very complicated geometry, such as a dendritic spine, and struggles to escape [24]. It could be immobilized by some chemical reactions.

We describe the morphogen degradation by the mass action law involving the nonlinear reaction term

$$\theta(\rho) \rho,$$  

(11)

where the reaction rate $\theta(\rho)$ depends on the mean density $\rho$. The importance of a nonlinear reaction rate lies in the effect of self-enhanced ligand degradation, which underlies the robustness of morphogen gradients [5,9] (see also [12]). It should be noted that the authors of [13] consider a very different model in which morphogen molecules are protected during the trapping time $T_x$ and degradation occurs instantaneously at the end of a waiting time with a given probability.

Our assumptions lead to the following nonlinear reaction-subdiffusion equation for the mean density of morphogen molecules [25]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ D_\rho(x) e^{-\theta(\rho) \rho} D^{1-\mu(x)}_\theta \rho(x,t) \right] - \theta(\rho) \rho,$$  

(12)
where
\[ D_{\mu}(x) = \frac{a^2}{2\tau_0^{\mu(x)}}, \tag{13} \]
a is the jump length, and \( \tau_0 \) is the time parameter. See also \[26\], pp. 48–52. The main characteristic of this reaction-transport equation is that the reaction and transport are not additive. Due to the non-Markovian nature of subdiffusion, it is not possible to separate reaction as an extra term on the right-hand side (RHS), as is the case for a regular diffusion such as (1). Instead, reaction terms also appear mixed in the derivative term as an exponential factor, as seen above. The presence of the Riemann-Liouville derivative indicates a long memory in the process, presenting itself in the integral over time, making it strongly non-Markovian.

It turns out that in the long-time limit this equation leads to a nonlinear diffusion with a diffusion coefficient depending on the nonlinear degradation. Note that nonlinear diffusion has been analyzed in \[20\], where the authors introduced a nonlinear dependence of the diffusion coefficient of the density independent from the reaction. Moreover, this nonlinear diffusion is independent from degradation. In this paper, we show how nonlinear diffusion emerges naturally from the microscopic random walk for which the nonlinear diffusion and degradation are not independent. We also take into account a spatially nonuniform distribution of anomalous exponent \( \mu(x) \). We have shown previously that any spatial variation in the anomalous exponent \( \mu \) leads to a drastic change in the stationary behavior of the fractional subdiffusive equations \[27\], a phenomenon called anomalous aggregation \[28\]. Note that the robustness of the stationary profile of diffusing morphogens is the most important feature \[5\].

The fractional reaction-transport equation (12) can be rewritten in the compact form
\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 i(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \theta(\rho)\rho(x,t), \tag{14} \]
where \( i(x,t) \) is the total escape rate from the point \( x \). It follows from Eq. (12) that it can be written as
\[ i(x,t) = \frac{e^{-\int_0^t \theta(\rho(s)) ds}}{\tau_0^{\mu(x)}} D_t^{1-\mu(x)} \left[ e^{\int_0^t \theta(\rho(s)) ds} \rho(x,t) \right]. \tag{15} \]
Different choices for the form of the escape rate can lead to many interesting equations in the diffusion limit \[29\].

III. STATIONARY MORPHOGEN PROFILE

A. Linear degradation

In a previous publication \[21\], we gave full details on how the linear version of reaction-subdiffusion equation (12) approaches a stationary diffusion. In this section, we will recap this and extend to the current nonlinear consideration. The linear reaction-subdiffusion equation considered in \[21\] differs from (14), with the total escape rate \( i \) being given by
\[ i(x,t) = \frac{e^{-\theta(\rho(x,t))}}{\tau_0^{\mu(x)}} D_t^{1-\mu(x)} \left[ e^{\theta(\rho(x,t))} \rho(x,t) \right]. \tag{16} \]
To obtain a stationary solution for the system, it is necessary to introduce a flux of new particles, \( g \). We choose to implement this on the boundary \( x = 0 \). This directly corresponds to the morphogen problem, where particles are produced from a point source. For conservation reasons, the logical choice for production rate is \( g = \frac{f_0}{\tau_0^{\mu(x)}} \theta(x) \rho_0(x) dx \tag{19} \].

The Laplace transform of the integral escape rate (16) is found by the shift theorem:
\[ \hat{i}(x,s) = \int_0^{\infty} i(x,t)e^{-st} dt = \frac{[s + \theta(\rho(x))]^{1-\mu(x)}}{\tau_0^{\mu(x)}} \hat{\rho}(x,s). \tag{17} \]
The limit \( t \to \infty \) corresponds to the limit \( s \to 0 \) of the Laplace variable. We write for the stationary total escape rate \( i_s(x) \),
\[ i_s(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} s \hat{i}(x,s) = \frac{\theta(\rho(x))^{1-\mu(x)}}{\tau_0^{\mu(x)}} - \rho_0(x), \tag{18} \]where \( \rho_0(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} \hat{\rho}(x,s) \). This follows from the standard final value theorem stating that when \( \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) \) exists, then \( \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} s \hat{f}(s) \). Note that Eq. (18) is a Markovian form, since the escape rate can be written in the form \( i_s(x) = \lambda \rho_0(x) \), where \( \lambda = \theta(\rho(x))^{1-\mu(x)}/\tau_0^{\mu(x)} \) now depends upon the degradation rate. This shows the transition from subdiffusive dynamics to asymptotically normal diffusion.

Consider for contrast that if the death rate is constant in time and space, and independent of \( \rho \), and the drift is zero, then we find an analytic result for the stationary gradient distribution as an exponential function \[19\]. The stationary profile is given by
\[ \rho_s(x) = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\pi D_{\mu} x}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\theta(\rho)}{\sqrt{D_{\mu} x}} \right], \tag{19} \]
and, as mentioned, the full details can be found in \[21\].

B. Nonlinear degradation

It has been argued that even for subdiffusion, a stationary exponential morphogen profile cannot be robust to fluctuations in both environmental effects and production rate \[5,9\]. The purpose of this subsection is to show that a robust stationary morphogen profile can be found as a result of the interaction of non-Markovian subdiffusion and nonlinear degradation. The question now is how to take into account a nonlinear reaction term. Actually, it turns out that the same techniques can be used as were used for the previous linear case. From the total escape rate (15) we seek to use the Laplace transform shift theorem and the Tauberian theorem to find the stationary behavior. If the stationary distribution exists, then
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \theta(\rho(x,s))ds = \theta(\rho_0(x)). \tag{20} \]
As a result, \( e^{-\int_0^t \theta(\rho(x,s)) ds} \to e^{-\theta(\rho_0(x))} \) as \( t \to \infty \). This argument makes the shift theorem directly applicable, leading to the stationary escape rate for the nonlinear case,
\[ i_s(x) = \frac{[\theta(\rho_0(x))]^{1-\mu(x)}}{\tau_0^{\mu(x)}} \rho_s(x). \tag{21} \]
Note that similar arguments have been made in \[30\]. For this escape rate, Eq. (14) can be rewritten in a stationary form as
\[ \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{d^2 i_s(x)}{dx^2} = \theta(\rho_s(x)) \rho_s(x). \tag{22} \]
Finally, the stationary nonlinear reaction-subdiffusion equation takes the form of a nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equation (ODE),

\[
\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left( a^2 \frac{\theta(\rho(x)) \rho(x)}{2 \tau_0 \rho(x)} \right) = \theta(\rho(x)) \rho(x). \tag{23}
\]

This equation has the form of Eq. (6), where the diffusion coefficient \( D_\theta \) is an increasing function of the nonlinear reaction rate (7).

Let us consider the commonly studied case of an \( n \)-fold superlinear reaction term in the stationary nonlinear reaction-subdiffusion equation (23), corresponding to a reaction term

\[
\theta(\rho) = k \rho^{n-1}. \tag{24}
\]

where \( k \) is the reaction constant. In what follows, we consider only \( \mu(x) = \mu = \text{const.} \) Here, the total escape rate is given by

\[
i(x, t) = \frac{e^{-k \int_0^t \rho^{\alpha-1} ds}}{\tau_0^\mu} D_\mu^{1-\mu} \left[ e^{k \int_0^t \rho^{\alpha-1} ds} \rho(x, t) \right]. \tag{25}
\]

We can write the nonlinear equation (23) as

\[
D_\mu k^{-n} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[ (\rho(x))^{n-1}(1-\mu)^{+1} \right] = k \rho^\alpha_\mu(x), \tag{26}
\]

where

\[
D_\mu = \frac{a^2}{2 \tau_0^\mu}. \tag{27}
\]

The boundary conditions are given by

\[
-D_\mu k^{-\mu} \frac{d}{dx} \left[ (\rho(x))^{\alpha-1}(1-\mu)^{+1} \right] \bigg|_{x=0} = g \tag{28}
\]

at \( x = 0 \) and \( \lim_{x \to \infty} \rho_\mu(x) = 0 \).

Equation (23) is written in the form of a balance equation between reaction and transport, however for a reaction-subdiffusion equation the two cannot be separated. The RHS of Eq. (23) is a pure reaction, balanced with the mixed reaction-transport on the other side. We can make the interesting observation that if we multiply both sides of the equation by \( k^{\mu-1} \), then we obtain exactly the same form for the equation as from the nonlinear theory [20]. In their model, the subdiffusion is completely separate from the reaction. The authors introduced two nonlinear functions \( F \) and \( G \) into their Markovian random-walk model. However, in our non-Markovian model the nonlinear diffusion and the reaction are not independent and cannot be separated. We showed that the assumption of a nonlinear reaction leads directly to a “degradation enhanced diffusion.” This comes about from the nontrivial interaction between subdiffusion and reaction, which is a result of the long-range memory of the underlying random-walk model. In regular diffusion, such as in the model [20], a stationary profile can be obtained by simply equating the time derivative to zero; in subdiffusion, that is not the case [see Eq. (12)]. Note that here the nonlinear diffusion dependence on the reaction rate is not postulated, but it emerges naturally from the interaction of subdiffusion and nonlinear reactions. Despite the essential differences between the non-Markovian equation (12) and that which was presented in [20], the stationary equations (26) are similar and can be solved in the same way,

\[
\rho_\mu(x) = \rho_\mu(0) \left( 1 + \frac{x}{x_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n-\mu}}, \tag{29}
\]

where

\[
\rho_\mu(0) = \left( g^* \frac{\alpha + n}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-\mu}}, \quad g^* = \frac{g}{\sqrt{D_\mu k^{2-\mu}}} \tag{30}
\]

\[
x_0 = \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} \left( g^* \right)^{-\frac{n}{n-\mu}} \left( \frac{\alpha + n}{2\alpha} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-\mu}} \sqrt{D_\mu k^{2-\mu}} \tag{31}
\]

When \( x/x_0 \gg 1 \), we obtain the power-law profile,

\[
\rho_\mu(x) \sim \frac{A}{x^{\frac{1}{n-\mu}}}, \quad x \to \infty, \tag{32}
\]

where the amplitude

\[
A = \rho_\mu(0) x_0^{\frac{1}{n-\mu}} \tag{33}
\]

is independent of the morphogen production rate \( g \). In the tails, this profile has an inverse dependence on the constant degradation rate \( k \), as illustrated in Fig. 1. The effect of decreasing \( \mu \) is a decrease in the amplitude of the tails. This should be expected since the interpretation of \( \mu \) is as a parameter controlling the strength of the spatial trapping of particles, with decreasing \( \mu \) increasing trapping strength, as seen in the behavior of the survival function (9). To counteract the trapping, the rate of diffusion is increased by the degradation rate, which we term degradation-enhanced diffusion. Comparing the tail behavior in the standard diffusion (3) with that of subdiffusion (31), the impact of \( \mu \) is clear.

C. Robustness

Let us now discuss the robustness of the profile (29) with respect to the morphogen production rate \( g \). It is convenient to write (29) in the following way:

\[
\rho_\mu(x) = \frac{A}{(x_0 + x)^{\frac{1}{n-\mu}}}, \tag{34}
\]

FIG. 1. (Color online) Stationary profile (29) with the following parameters: \( n = 2, \mu = 0.9, \tau_0 = 0.001, g = 10, \) and \( \alpha = 0.01 \).
where $A$ is defined in (32). The only parameter in (33) which is dependent on $g$ is $x_0$.

$$x_0 = \frac{B}{g^{2/\alpha}}, \quad (34)$$

where the parameter $B$ is independent of $g$, and $\frac{2}{\alpha} > 0$. From (33) and (34), it is clear that a change in $g$ produces a uniform shift in the stationary profile along the $x$ axis.

The robustness of the profile (29) to changes in the morphogen production rate $g$ can be assessed with a standard sensitivity analysis involving the relation

$$\delta \rho(x) = \frac{\partial \rho(x)}{\partial g} \delta g, \quad (35)$$

where $\delta g$ is a small change in the production rate. The nondimensional robustness parameter $R$ can be introduced in several ways (see, for example, [7,9,19]). We choose to define this measure from the following relation:

$$\frac{\delta \rho_0(x)}{\rho_0(x)} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\delta g}{g}, \quad (36)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{R} = \frac{g}{\rho_0(x)} \frac{\partial \rho_0(x)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial g}. \quad (37)$$

This relates the relative change in the density at a given point $x$ with respect to the relative change in $g$. For large values of $R$, the system is robust. For the profile given by (33), we find the expression for $R$ to be

$$R = \frac{n + \alpha \lambda}{n - \alpha x_0}, \quad (38)$$

where $\lambda$ is the local spatial decay length defined as

$$\lambda = -\frac{\rho_0(x)}{\partial x \rho_0(x)} = \frac{\mu}{2}(n - 1)(x_0 + x). \quad (39)$$

Notice that this expression for the decay length (39) depends explicitly on the anomalous exponent $\mu$. The exponential profile (19) has a corresponding value of $R = 1$ and it is not robust to changes in $g$. From (34), (38), and (39), it is clear that $R \to \infty$ as either of the parameters $g \to \infty$, $x \to \infty$. This indicates that power-law profile (29) is robust to changes in the production rate $g$. This can also be seen in Fig. 2, where increasing values of the production rate cause convergence to the robust power-law profile even for smaller values of $x$. Additionally, for the values of $\log_{10}(x) > 10$, we have almost complete convergence. As mentioned by previous authors [9], this is an important quality for the morphogen gradient.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We studied the formation of a stationary morphogen gradient resulting from the non-trivial interaction of subdiffusion with a non-linear degradation. In particular, this interaction leads to the phenomenon of a degradation-enhanced diffusion in the long-time limit. We see that an increase in the rate of degradation actually leads to an increase in diffusion. Additionally, we have shown that the stationary profile is no longer of exponential type, rather it is of power-law type. The shape of the tails (31) is determined by the anomalous exponent $\mu$. The stationary solution as $x \to \infty$ is actually independent of the effects of the production rate entirely. It is well known that the importance of the power-law profile is due to its robustness to fluctuations in the production rate of morphogens, and also to other environmental effects.

We made a connection between the non-Markovian sub-diffusive model with nonlinear reaction and the Markovian nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations [20]. When, in the fractional formulation, we assume a constant value of anomalous exponent $\mu$ and a power-law ansatz for the reactive term, the steady-state reaction-subdiffusion equation takes the same form as that obtained from the nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation. The essential point of our paper is that that we have not just studied nonlinear diffusion, but we also derived the nonlinear dependence of diffusion on the nonlinear reaction in the long-time limit. In fact, we should note that this result can be extended to the general non-Markovian transport process. This is a subject for future work.
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