

Two Hours and Thirty Minutes

UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

NONSTANDARD LOGICS

24th April 2009

2.00–4.30

Answer **all** 8 questions (80 marks in all).

---

A list of axioms and rules of proof is appended to this examination paper

---

**SECTION A**Answer **ALL** 8 questions**A1.** How is  $\vdash_{\vec{s}}$  defined for  $\vec{s} = s_1, \dots, s_m \subseteq At^L$ ?State the *Representation Theorem for Rational Consequence Relations*.In the case when  $L = \{p, q, r\}$ ,  $\vec{s} = s_1, s_2, s_3$  and

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \{\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r\} \\ s_2 &= \{p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r, \neg p \wedge q \wedge r\} \\ s_3 &= \{p \wedge q \wedge r\} \end{aligned}$$

which of the following are true? [You need not justify your answers.]

- (i)  $\neg p \vdash_{\vec{s}} \neg q \wedge \neg r$
- (ii)  $p \vee q \vdash_{\vec{s}} r$
- (iii)  $q \wedge \neg r \vdash_{\vec{s}} p$

[12 marks]

**A2.** By giving a direct derivation from the *GM* rules show that the rule

$$\frac{\neg\phi \vdash \psi \quad \neg\phi \not\vdash \neg\theta}{\theta \vdash \phi \vee \psi}$$

is satisfied by all rational consequence relations. [You may use the derived rule *Con* but in that case you should give a derivation of it from the *GM* rules.]

[6 marks]

**A3.** By using the Representation Theorem for rational consequence relations show that the rule

$$\frac{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \neg\theta}{\psi \vee \phi \vdash \neg\theta}$$

holds for all rational consequence relations, but that the rule

$$\frac{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \neg\theta}{\psi \wedge \phi \vdash \neg\theta}$$

fails for some rational consequence relation (and choice of  $\theta, \phi, \psi$ ).

[12 marks]

**A4.** How is the relation  $\models^{S_4}$  defined?

State the Completeness Theorem for  $S_4$ .

Show that:

(i)  $\models^{S_4} \diamond\theta \vee \Box\Box\neg\theta$ .

(ii)  $\not\models^{S_4} p \vee \Box\Box\neg p$ .

[12 marks]

**A5.** What is meant by a *proof* in  $B$ ?

What does it mean to say  $\Gamma \vdash^B \theta$ ?

Give a formal proof to show that

$$\vdash^B \Box\theta \rightarrow \Box\Box\diamond\theta.$$

[8 marks]

**A6.** Let  $H$  be  $K$  together with the axiom schema

$$\diamond\theta \mid \diamond\diamond\theta$$

By considering a suitable family of frames, or otherwise, show that

$$\diamond p \not\models^H p.$$

[10 marks]

**A7.** List the desiderata (C1)-(C4) for a function  $F_\wedge : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

What can be said about  $F_\wedge$  according to the classification given by the Mostert-Shields Theorem when, in addition to (C1)-(C4),  $F_\wedge$  also satisfies that  $0 < F_\wedge(x, x) < x$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ ?

[9 marks]

**A8.** How is the relation  $\models^{\mathbf{L}}$  defined?

Which of the following are true (for all  $\theta, \phi \in SL$ )? In each case you should justify your answer.

(i) If  $\theta \models^{\mathbf{L}} \phi$  then  $\models^{\mathbf{L}} \theta \rightarrow \phi$ .

(ii)  $\models^{\mathbf{L}} ((\phi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \phi)$ .

[11 marks]

**END OF EXAMINATION PAPER**

## Axioms and Rules of Proof

### Sentential, or Propositional, Calculus, $SC$

Axioms: REF  $\theta | \theta$

Rules of Proof:

<p>AND <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta \quad \Gamma   \phi}{\Gamma   \theta \wedge \phi}</math></p>	<p>ANL <math>\frac{\Gamma, \theta, \phi   \psi}{\Gamma, \theta \wedge \phi   \psi}</math></p>
<p>ORR <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta}{\Gamma   \theta \vee \phi} \quad \frac{\Gamma   \phi}{\Gamma   \theta \vee \phi}</math></p>	<p>DIS <math>\frac{\Gamma, \theta   \psi \quad \Gamma, \phi   \psi}{\Gamma, \theta \vee \phi   \psi}</math></p>
<p>IMR <math>\frac{\Gamma, \theta   \phi}{\Gamma   \theta \rightarrow \phi}</math></p>	<p>MP <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta \quad \Gamma   \theta \rightarrow \phi}{\Gamma   \phi}</math></p>
<p>NIN <math>\frac{\Gamma, \theta   \phi \quad \Gamma, \theta   \neg \phi}{\Gamma   \neg \theta}</math></p>	<p>NNO <math>\frac{\Gamma   \neg \neg \theta}{\Gamma   \theta}</math></p>
<p>MON <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta}{\Gamma \cup \Delta   \theta}</math></p>	<p>AO <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta \wedge \phi}{\Gamma   \theta} \quad \frac{\Gamma   \theta \wedge \phi}{\Gamma   \phi}</math></p>

### Modal Logics

Axioms:

- K := REF +  $\Box(\theta \rightarrow \phi) | \Box\theta \rightarrow \Box\phi$
- T :=  $K + \Box\theta | \theta$
- D :=  $K + \Box\theta | \Diamond\theta$
- B :=  $K + \theta | \Box\Diamond\theta$
- S<sub>4</sub> :=  $T + \Box\theta | \Box\Box\theta$
- S<sub>5</sub> :=  $T + \Diamond\theta | \Box\Diamond\theta$

Rules of Proof: All the rules of  $SC$  plus NEC  $\frac{| \theta}{| \Box\theta}$

### Lukasiewicz Logic, $\mathbf{L}$

Axioms: REF together with

- L1 :  $| \theta \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$
- L2 :  $| (\theta \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \psi))$
- L3 :  $| (\neg\theta \rightarrow \neg\phi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$
- L4 :  $| ((\theta \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \theta)$     i.e.  $| (\theta \vee \phi) \rightarrow (\phi \vee \theta)$
- L5 :  $| (\theta \rightarrow \phi) \vee (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$

Rules of Proof: Only MP

## GM Rules and Axiom

REF,  $\theta \vdash \theta$ , together with:

left logical equivalence	$\frac{\theta \equiv \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\phi \vdash \psi}$	LLE
right weakening	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \phi \models \psi}{\theta \vdash \psi}$	RWE
cautious monotonicity	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\theta \wedge \phi \vdash \psi}$	CMO
and on right	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\theta \vdash \phi \wedge \psi}$	AND
disjunction, or left	$\frac{\theta \vdash \psi, \phi \vdash \psi}{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \psi}$	DIS
rational monotonicity	$\frac{\theta \vdash \psi, \theta \not\vdash \neg\phi}{\theta \wedge \phi \vdash \psi}$	RMO