

Two Hours and Thirty Minutes

UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

NONSTANDARD LOGICS

24th April 2009

2.00–4.30

Answer **all** 8 questions (80 marks in all).

A list of axioms and rules of proof is appended to this examination paper

SECTION AAnswer **ALL** 8 questions**A1.** How is $\vdash_{\vec{s}}$ defined for $\vec{s} = s_1, \dots, s_m \subseteq At^L$?State the *Representation Theorem for Rational Consequence Relations*.In the case when $L = \{p, q, r\}$, $\vec{s} = s_1, s_2, s_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \{\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r\} \\ s_2 &= \{p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r, \neg p \wedge q \wedge r\} \\ s_3 &= \{p \wedge q \wedge r\} \end{aligned}$$

which of the following are true? [You need not justify your answers.]

- (i) $\neg p \vdash_{\vec{s}} \neg q \wedge \neg r$
- (ii) $p \vee q \vdash_{\vec{s}} r$
- (iii) $q \wedge \neg r \vdash_{\vec{s}} p$

[12 marks]

A2. By giving a direct derivation from the *GM* rules show that the rule

$$\frac{\neg\phi \vdash \psi \quad \neg\phi \not\vdash \neg\theta}{\theta \vdash \phi \vee \psi}$$

is satisfied by all rational consequence relations. [You may use the derived rule *Con* but in that case you should give a derivation of it from the *GM* rules.]

[6 marks]

A3. By using the Representation Theorem for rational consequence relations show that the rule

$$\frac{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \neg\theta}{\psi \vee \phi \vdash \neg\theta}$$

holds for all rational consequence relations, but that the rule

$$\frac{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \neg\theta}{\psi \wedge \phi \vdash \neg\theta}$$

fails for some rational consequence relation (and choice of θ, ϕ, ψ).

[12 marks]

A4. How is the relation \models^{S_4} defined?

State the Completeness Theorem for S_4 .

Show that:

(i) $\models^{S_4} \diamond\theta \vee \square\square\neg\theta$.

(ii) $\not\models^{S_4} p \vee \square\square\neg p$.

[12 marks]

A5. What is meant by a *proof* in B ?

What does it mean to say $\Gamma \vdash^B \theta$?

Give a formal proof to show that

$$\vdash^B \square\theta \rightarrow \square\square\diamond\theta.$$

[8 marks]

A6. Let H be K together with the axiom schema

$$\diamond\theta \mid \diamond\diamond\theta$$

By considering a suitable family of frames, or otherwise, show that

$$\diamond p \not\models^H p.$$

[10 marks]

A7. List the desiderata (C1)-(C4) for a function $F_\wedge : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

What can be said about F_\wedge according to the classification given by the Mostert-Shields Theorem when, in addition to (C1)-(C4), F_\wedge also satisfies that $0 < F_\wedge(x, x) < x$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$?

[9 marks]

A8. How is the relation $\models^{\mathbf{L}}$ defined?

Which of the following are true (for all $\theta, \phi \in SL$)? In each case you should justify your answer.

(i) If $\theta \models^{\mathbf{L}} \phi$ then $\models^{\mathbf{L}} \theta \rightarrow \phi$.

(ii) $\models^{\mathbf{L}} ((\phi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \phi)$.

[11 marks]

END OF EXAMINATION PAPER

Axioms and Rules of Proof

Sentential, or Propositional, Calculus, SC

Axioms: REF $\theta | \theta$

Rules of Proof:

<p>AND $\frac{\Gamma \theta \quad \Gamma \phi}{\Gamma \theta \wedge \phi}$</p>	<p>ANL $\frac{\Gamma, \theta, \phi \psi}{\Gamma, \theta \wedge \phi \psi}$</p>
<p>ORR $\frac{\Gamma \theta}{\Gamma \theta \vee \phi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \phi}{\Gamma \theta \vee \phi}$</p>	<p>DIS $\frac{\Gamma, \theta \psi \quad \Gamma, \phi \psi}{\Gamma, \theta \vee \phi \psi}$</p>
<p>IMR $\frac{\Gamma, \theta \phi}{\Gamma \theta \rightarrow \phi}$</p>	<p>MP $\frac{\Gamma \theta \quad \Gamma \theta \rightarrow \phi}{\Gamma \phi}$</p>
<p>NIN $\frac{\Gamma, \theta \phi \quad \Gamma, \theta \neg \phi}{\Gamma \neg \theta}$</p>	<p>NNO $\frac{\Gamma \neg \neg \theta}{\Gamma \theta}$</p>
<p>MON $\frac{\Gamma \theta}{\Gamma \cup \Delta \theta}$</p>	<p>AO $\frac{\Gamma \theta \wedge \phi}{\Gamma \theta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \theta \wedge \phi}{\Gamma \phi}$</p>

Modal Logics

Axioms:

- K := REF + $\Box(\theta \rightarrow \phi) | \Box\theta \rightarrow \Box\phi$
- T := $K + \Box\theta | \theta$
- D := $K + \Box\theta | \Diamond\theta$
- B := $K + \theta | \Box\Diamond\theta$
- S₄ := $T + \Box\theta | \Box\Box\theta$
- S₅ := $T + \Diamond\theta | \Box\Diamond\theta$

Rules of Proof: All the rules of SC plus NEC $\frac{| \theta}{| \Box\theta}$

Lukasiewicz Logic, \mathbf{L}

Axioms: REF together with

- L1 : $| \theta \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$
- L2 : $| (\theta \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \psi))$
- L3 : $| (\neg\theta \rightarrow \neg\phi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$
- L4 : $| ((\theta \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \theta)$ i.e. $| (\theta \vee \phi) \rightarrow (\phi \vee \theta)$
- L5 : $| (\theta \rightarrow \phi) \vee (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$

Rules of Proof: Only MP

GM Rules and Axiom

REF, $\theta \vdash \theta$, together with:

left logical equivalence	$\frac{\theta \equiv \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\phi \vdash \psi}$	LLE
right weakening	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \phi \models \psi}{\theta \vdash \psi}$	RWE
cautious monotonicity	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\theta \wedge \phi \vdash \psi}$	CMO
and on right	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\theta \vdash \phi \wedge \psi}$	AND
disjunction, or left	$\frac{\theta \vdash \psi, \phi \vdash \psi}{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \psi}$	DIS
rational monotonicity	$\frac{\theta \vdash \psi, \theta \not\vdash \neg\phi}{\theta \wedge \phi \vdash \psi}$	RMO