

Two Hours and Thirty Minutes

## UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

## NONSTANDARD LOGICS

May 6th 2011

10.00 - 12.30

Answer **all** six questions in **section A** (58 marks in all)  
and

**two** of the three questions in **section B** (11 marks each). If all three questions from Section B are attempted then credit will only be given for the first two answers appearing in the answer book.

The total number of marks on the paper is 80.

A further 20 marks are available from work during the semester making a total of 100.

A list of axioms and rules of proof is appended to this examination paper

---

**Calculators are not allowed**

---

**SECTION A**Answer **ALL** 6 questions

**A1.** Explain (without proof) how a finite sequence  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$  of subsets of atoms of a finite language  $L$  determines a rational consequence relation  $\vdash_{\vec{s}}$ . State the *Representation Theorem for Rational Consequence Relations*.

In the case where  $L = \{p, q, r\}$ ,  $\vec{s} = s_1, s_2, s_3$  and

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \{\neg p \wedge q \wedge \neg r\}, \\ s_2 &= \{p \wedge \neg q \wedge r, p \wedge q \wedge \neg r, \neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r\}, \\ s_3 &= \{p \wedge q \wedge r\}, \end{aligned}$$

which of the following are true? [You need not justify your answers.]

- (i)  $p \vee q \vdash_{\vec{s}} p$
- (ii)  $\neg p \wedge r \vdash_{\vec{s}} \neg r$
- (iii)  $r \vdash_{\vec{s}} (\neg q \rightarrow p)$

[10 marks]

**A2.** (a) Use the Z-algorithm to find the rational closure of  $K = \{\neg(p \wedge q) \vdash p \vee q, \neg q \vdash \neg p\}$ .

[8 marks]

(b) By giving a direct derivation from the *GM* rules show that the rule

$$\frac{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \theta \quad \theta \vdash \phi}{\phi \vdash \theta}$$

is satisfied by all rational consequence relations. [If you wish you may assume any of the derived rules SCL, CON, CC without also proving them.]

[4 marks]

**A3.** How is the relation  $\models^K$  defined? Show that:

- (i)  $\Box(\theta \vee \phi) \models^K \Box\theta \vee \Box\phi$ .
- (ii)  $\Box p \vee \Box q \not\models^K \Box(p \vee q)$ .

[10 marks]

**A4.** What is meant by a *proof* in  $D$ ? [You need not explicitly state the rules or axioms.]

What does it mean to say that  $\Gamma \vdash^D \theta$ ?

Give a formal proof in  $D$  of

$$\vdash^D \Box(\neg\Diamond\theta \rightarrow \neg\Box\theta).$$

[10 marks]

**A5.** State *McNaughton's Theorem* in the case when  $L = \{p\}$ .

Using this theorem, or otherwise, show that if  $\theta \in SL$ ,  $L = \{p\}$  and  $w$  is a  $[0, 1]$ -valuation on  $L$  with  $w(p) = 1/3$  then  $w(\theta)$  is one of  $0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1$ .

[6 marks]

**A6.** State the desirable properties C1-C4 for a function  $F_\wedge$ .

State the Mostert-Shields Theorem for  $F_\wedge$ .

Assuming that the function  $G : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{xy + x + y - 1, 0\}$$

satisfies C1-C4, how does  $G$  fit into this classification?

[10 marks]

**SECTION B**

Answer **2** of the 3 questions.

If all three questions from this section are attempted then credit will only be given for the first two answers appearing in the answer book.

**B7.** By using the Representation Theorem for Rational Consequence Relations show that the rule

$$\frac{\sim \theta \quad \neg \phi \sim \neg \theta}{\sim \phi}$$

holds for all rational consequence relations, but that the rule

$$\frac{\psi \sim \theta \quad \neg \phi \sim \neg \theta}{\psi \sim \phi}$$

fails for some rational consequence relation and choice of  $\theta, \phi, \psi$ .

[11 marks]

**B8.** Let  $H$  be  $K$  augmented with the axiom scheme  $\diamond\theta \mid \Box\theta$ . Write  $\Gamma \models^H \theta$  if for all thin frames  $\langle W, E, V \rangle$  and  $i \in W$ , if  $i \models \Gamma$  then  $i \models \theta$ , where  $\langle W, E, V \rangle$  is *thin* if for each  $i \in W$  there is at most one  $j \in W$  such that  $\langle i, j \rangle \in E$ .

Outline the key new steps (i.e. beyond those used in proving the corresponding result for  $K$ ) of a proof that

$$\Gamma \vdash^H \theta \iff \Gamma \models^H \theta$$

Hence show that  $\Box p \not\vdash^H \diamond p$ .

[11 marks]

**B9.** Without using the Completeness Theorem for  $\mathbb{L}$  show that:

(a)  $\models^{\mathbb{L}} ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

(b)  $\not\vdash^{\mathbb{L}} ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$

(c)  $\vdash^{\mathbb{L}} ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

[11 marks]

## Axioms and Rules of Proof

### Sentential, or Propositional, Calculus, *SC*

Axioms: REF  $\theta | \theta$

Rules of Proof:

<p>AND <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta, \Gamma   \phi}{\Gamma   \theta \wedge \phi}</math></p>	<p>ANL <math>\frac{\Gamma, \theta, \phi   \psi}{\Gamma, \theta \wedge \phi   \psi}</math></p>
<p>ORR <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta}{\Gamma   \theta \vee \phi} \quad \frac{\Gamma   \phi}{\Gamma   \theta \vee \phi}</math></p>	<p>DIS <math>\frac{\Gamma, \theta   \psi, \Gamma, \phi   \psi}{\Gamma, \theta \vee \phi   \psi}</math></p>
<p>IMR <math>\frac{\Gamma, \theta   \phi}{\Gamma   \theta \rightarrow \phi}</math></p>	<p>MP <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta \quad \Gamma   \theta \rightarrow \phi}{\Gamma   \phi}</math></p>
<p>NIN <math>\frac{\Gamma   \phi, \Gamma   \neg \phi}{\Gamma - \{\theta\}   \neg \theta}</math></p>	<p>NNO <math>\frac{\Gamma   \neg \neg \theta}{\Gamma   \theta}</math></p>
<p>MON <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta}{\Gamma \cup \Delta   \theta}</math></p>	<p>AO <math>\frac{\Gamma   \theta \wedge \phi}{\Gamma   \theta} \quad \frac{\Gamma   \theta \wedge \phi}{\Gamma   \phi}</math></p>

### Modal Logics

Axioms:

- K := REF +  $\Box(\theta \rightarrow \phi) | \Box\theta \rightarrow \Box\phi$
- T :=  $K + \Box\theta | \theta$
- D :=  $K + \Box\theta | \Diamond\theta$
- B :=  $K + \theta | \Box\Diamond\theta$
- S<sub>4</sub> :=  $T + \Box\theta | \Box\Box\theta$
- S<sub>5</sub> :=  $T + \Diamond\theta | \Box\Diamond\theta$

Rules of Proof: All the rules of *SC* plus NEC  $\frac{| \theta}{| \Box\theta}$

### Lukasiewicz Logic, **L**

Axioms: REF together with

- L1 :  $| \theta \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$
- L2 :  $| (\theta \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\theta \rightarrow \psi))$
- L3 :  $| (\neg\theta \rightarrow \neg\phi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$
- L4 :  $| ((\theta \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow \theta)$     i.e.  $| (\theta \underline{\vee} \phi) \rightarrow (\phi \underline{\vee} \theta)$
- L5 :  $| (\theta \rightarrow \phi) \underline{\vee} (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$

Rules of Proof: Only MP

## GM Rules

REF,  $\theta \vdash \theta$ , together with:

left logical equivalence	$\frac{\theta \equiv \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\phi \vdash \psi}$	LLE
right weakening	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \phi \models \psi}{\theta \vdash \psi}$	RWE
cautious monotonicity	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\theta \wedge \phi \vdash \psi}$	CMO
and on right	$\frac{\theta \vdash \phi, \theta \vdash \psi}{\theta \vdash \phi \wedge \psi}$	AND
disjunction, or left	$\frac{\theta \vdash \psi, \phi \vdash \psi}{\theta \vee \phi \vdash \psi}$	DIS
rational monotonicity	$\frac{\phi \not\vdash \neg\theta, \phi \vdash \psi}{\theta \wedge \phi \vdash \psi}$	RMO

END OF EXAMINATION PAPER