

# Pure Inductive Logic Workshop Notes for ISLA 2014

Jeff Paris,  
School of Mathematics,  
University of Manchester,  
Manchester M13 9PL, UK.

jeff.paris@manchester.ac.uk

Notes and slides available under Teaching Material at  
[www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~jeff/](http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~jeff/)

## Context and Notation

$L_q$  is the first order language with

- Constant symbols  $a_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- Predicate (i.e. unary relation) symbols  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_q$ .

$SL_q, QFSL_q$  denote the sentences and quantifier free sentences of  $L_q$ .

Let  $M$  be a structure for  $L_q$  with universe the interpretations of the  $a_n$  (also denoted  $a_n$ ).

Question: Given an agent  $\mathcal{A}$  inhabiting  $M$  and  $\theta \in SL_q$  what probability  $w(\theta)$  should  $\mathcal{A}$  rationally, or logically, assign to  $\theta$ ?

**Very Important Condition here:**  $\mathcal{A}$  knows nothing about  $M$ , s/he has no particular interpretation in mind for the constants and predicates.

More precisely:

Question: Given an agent  $\mathcal{A}$  inhabiting  $M$ , rationally or logically, what probability function  $w$  should  $\mathcal{A}$  adopt?

Here  $w : SL_q \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a *probability function on  $L_q$*  if for all  $\theta, \phi, \exists x \psi(x) \in SL_q$

$$(P1) \quad \models \theta \Rightarrow w(\theta) = 1.$$

$$(P2) \quad \theta \models \neg \phi \Rightarrow w(\theta \vee \phi) = w(\theta) + w(\phi).$$

$$(P3) \quad w(\exists x \psi(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(\psi(a_1) \vee \psi(a_2) \vee \dots \vee \psi(a_n)).$$

**Proposition 1** Let  $w$  be a probability function on  $SL$ . Then for  $\theta, \phi \in SL$ ,

- (a)  $w(\neg\theta) = 1 - w(\theta)$ .
- (b)  $\models \neg\theta \Rightarrow w(\theta) = 0$ .
- (c)  $\theta \models \phi \Rightarrow w(\theta) \leq w(\phi)$ .
- (d)  $\theta \equiv \phi \Rightarrow w(\theta) = w(\phi)$ .
- (e)  $w(\theta \vee \phi) = w(\theta) + w(\phi) - w(\theta \wedge \phi)$ .

For  $\phi \in SL_q$  the corresponding conditional probability function  $w(- | \phi)$  is a probability function such that for  $\theta \in SL_q$ ,

$$w(\theta | \phi) \cdot w(\phi) = w(\theta \wedge \phi), \quad \text{i.e. } w(\theta | \phi) = \frac{w(\theta \wedge \phi)}{w(\phi)} \quad \text{if } w(\phi) > 0.$$

## Specifying Probability Functions

**Gaifman's Theorem 2** Suppose that  $w : QFSL_q \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfies (P1) and (P2) for  $\theta, \phi \in QFSL_q$ . Then  $w$  has a unique extension to a probability function on  $L_q$  satisfying (P1), (P2), (P3) for any  $\theta, \phi, \exists x \psi(x) \in SL_q$ .

### Example

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2^q}$ , the atoms of  $L_q$ , denote the  $2^q$  formulae of the form

$$R_1^{\epsilon_1}(x) \wedge R_2^{\epsilon_2}(x) \wedge \dots \wedge R_q^{\epsilon_q}(x)$$

where the  $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $R^1 = R, R^0 = \neg R$ .

Let

$$\vec{c} \in \mathbb{D}_{2^q} = \{ \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^q} \rangle \mid x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{2^q} x_i = 1 \}$$

Define  $w_{\vec{c}}$  on (instantiations) of atoms by

$$w_{\vec{c}}(\alpha_j(a_i)) = c_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^q,$$

Extend  $w_{\vec{c}}$  to *state descriptions*, that is conjunctions of atoms, by setting, for  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  distinct elements of  $\{a_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\vec{c}}(\alpha_{h_1}(b_1) \wedge \alpha_{h_2}(b_2) \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{h_n}(b_n)) \\ &= w_{\vec{c}}(\alpha_{h_1}(b_1)) \times w_{\vec{c}}(\alpha_{h_2}(b_2)) \times \dots \times w_{\vec{c}}(\alpha_{h_n}(b_n)) \\ &= c_{h_1} \times c_{h_2} \times \dots \times c_{h_n} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n c_{h_j}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Disjunctive Normal Form Theorem, for  $\theta(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in QFSL_q$

$$\theta(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \equiv \bigvee_{k=1}^r \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_{h_{ik}}(b_i)$$

for some  $h_{ik}$ .

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{\vec{c}}(\theta(b_1, \dots, b_n)) &= w_{\vec{c}}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^r \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_{h_{ik}}(b_i)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^r w_{\vec{c}}\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_{h_{ik}}(b_i)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n w_{\vec{c}}(\alpha_{h_{ik}}(b_i)) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n c_{h_{ik}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Gaifman's Theorem  $w_{\vec{c}}$  extends to a probability function on  $L_q$ .

## Rational Principles

Sources:

- Symmetry
- Irrelevance
- Relevance
- Analogy

### The Constant Exchangeability Principle Ex

For  $\theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in SL_q$  and (distinct)  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}$

$$w(\theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) = w(\theta(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n})).$$

The  $w_{\vec{c}}$  satisfy Ex.

**de Finetti's Representation Theorem 3** *A probability function  $w$  on the language  $L_q$  satisfies Ex just if it is a mixture of the  $w_{\vec{c}}$ .*

*More precisely, just if*

$$w = \int w_{\vec{x}} d\mu(\vec{x})$$

*where  $\mu$  is a normalized countably additive measure on the Borel subsets of*

$$\mathbb{D}_{2q} = \{\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2q} \rangle \mid 0 \leq x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2q}, \sum_i x_i = 1\}.$$

**Theorem 4** *Ex implies the:*

Principle of Instantial Relevance, PIR:

For  $\theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in SL_q$ ,

$$w(\alpha_i(a_{n+2}) \mid \alpha_i(a_{n+1}) \wedge \theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) \geq w(\alpha_i(a_{n+1}) \mid \theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)). \quad (1)$$

**Proof** Let the probability function  $w$  on  $L$  satisfy Ex.

Without loss of generality let  $\alpha_i(x) = \alpha_1(x)$  and, for simplicity,

$$\theta(a_1, \dots, a_n) \equiv \bigvee_{k=1}^r \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_{h_{ik}}(a_i).$$

Then for  $\mu$  the de Finetti prior for  $w$ ,

$$w(\theta(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} w_{\vec{x}}(\theta(a_1, \dots, a_n)) d\mu(\vec{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x}) = A \text{ say,}$$

$$w(\alpha_1(a_{n+1}) \wedge \theta(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} x_1 \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x}),$$

$$w(\alpha_1(a_{n+2}) \wedge \alpha_1(a_{n+1}) \wedge \theta(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} x_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x})$$

and (1) amounts to

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} x_1 \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x}) \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x}) \right) \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} x_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x}) \right). \quad (2)$$

If  $A = 0$  then this clearly holds, so assume that  $A \neq 0$ .

Then multiplying out

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} \left( x_1 A - \int_{\mathbb{D}_{2^q}} x_1 \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x}) \right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^n x_{h_{ik}} d\mu(\vec{x}). \quad (3)$$

and dividing by  $A^2$  gives (2), and the result follows. ■

### The Extended Principle of Instantial Relevance, EPIR

For  $\theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \phi(a_{n+1}) \in SL_q$ ,

$$w(\phi(a_{n+2}) \mid \phi(a_{n+1}) \wedge \theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) \geq w(\phi(a_{n+1}) \mid \theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)).$$

### Principle of Predicate Exchangeability, Px

For  $\phi(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m) \in SL_q$ , where we explicitly display the predicate symbols occurring in  $\phi$ , and (distinct)  $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \leq q$ ,

$$w(\phi(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)) = w(\phi(R_{i_1}, R_{i_2}, \dots, R_{i_m})).$$

The  $w_{\bar{c}}$  do not satisfy Px in general.

### Unary Language Invariance, ULi

A probability function  $w$  on  $L_q$  satisfies Unary Language Invariance if there is a family of probability functions  $w^r$ , one on each language  $L_r$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , such that  $w = w^q$ , each member of this family satisfies Px and whenever  $p \leq r$  then  $w^r \upharpoonright SL_p = w^p$ .

## Principles of Analogy

### Counterpart Principle, CP

For any  $\theta \in SL_q$ , if  $\theta' \in SL_q$  is obtained by replacing some of the predicate and constant symbols appearing in  $\theta$  by (distinct) new ones not occurring in  $\theta$  and  $\psi \in SL_q$  only mentions constant and predicate symbols common to both  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  then

$$w(\theta \mid \theta' \wedge \psi) \geq w(\theta \mid \psi).$$

CP is *analogical support by structural similarity*

**Theorem 5** *Let the probability function  $w$  on  $L_q$  satisfy ULi. Then  $w$  satisfies the Counterpart Principle, CP.*

**Proof** We may assume that  $w(\psi) > 0$ .

Let the ULi family consist of  $w^r$  on  $L_r$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

Then

$$w_\infty = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} w_r$$

is a probability function on the infinite (unary) language  $L_\infty = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots\}$  extending  $w$  and satisfying Ex and Px.

Let  $\theta, \theta', \psi$  be as in the statement of CP.

We may assume that all the constant and predicate symbols appearing in  $\theta$  which are common to  $\theta'$  are amongst  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_g$ , and that the replacements are  $a_{n+i} \mapsto a_{n+i+k}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $R_{g+j} \mapsto R_{g+j+t}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ .

Suppressing these common constant and predicate symbols we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \theta(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots, a_{n+k}, R_{g+1}, R_{g+2}, \dots, R_{g+t}), \\ \theta' &= \theta(a_{n+k+1}, a_{n+k+2}, \dots, a_{n+2k}, R_{g+t+1}, R_{g+t+2}, \dots, R_{g+2t}). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\theta_{i+1} = \theta(a_{n+ik+1}, a_{n+ik+2}, \dots, a_{n+(i+1)k}, R_{g+it+1}, R_{g+it+2}, \dots, R_{g+(i+1)t}) \in SL_\infty$$

so  $\theta_1 = \theta, \theta_2 = \theta'$ .

Define  $\tau : QFSL_1 \rightarrow SL_\infty$  by

$$\tau(R_1(a_i)) = \theta_i, \quad \tau(\neg\phi) = \neg\tau(\phi), \quad \tau(\phi \wedge \eta) = \tau(\phi) \wedge \tau(\eta), \quad \text{etc.}$$

Define  $v : QFSL_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$v(\phi) = w_\infty(\tau(\phi) \mid \psi).$$

Since  $w_\infty$  satisfies (P1-2) (on  $SL_\infty$ ) so does  $v$  (on  $QFSL_1$ ).

Since  $w_\infty$  satisfies Ex + Px, for  $\phi \in QFSL_1$ , permuting the  $\theta_i$  in  $w(\tau(\phi) \mid \psi)$  will leave this value unchanged so permuting the  $a_i$  in  $\phi$  will leave  $v(\phi)$  unchanged. i.e.  $v$  satisfies Ex.

By Gaifman's Theorem  $v$  has an extension to a probability function on  $L_1$  which still satisfies Ex.

Hence  $v$  satisfies PIR by Theorem 4, so

$$v(R_1(a_1) \mid R_1(a_2)) \geq v(R_1(a_1)).$$

But since  $\tau(R_1(a_1)) = \theta$ ,  $\tau(R_1(a_2)) = \theta'$  this gives

$$w_\infty(\theta \mid \theta' \wedge \psi) \geq w_\infty(\theta \mid \psi)$$

and

$$w(\theta \mid \theta' \wedge \psi) \geq w(\theta \mid \psi)$$

■

With the above notation we can also show that

$$w(\theta_{n+1} \mid \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \theta_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=m+1}^n \neg\theta_j) \geq w(\theta_{n+1} \mid \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \theta_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=k+1}^n \neg\theta_j)$$

whenever  $m \geq k$ .

**Theorem 6** *Let the probability function  $w$  on  $L_q$  satisfy ULi and let*

$$\theta = \theta(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{a}_3, \vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2, \vec{R}_3)$$

$$\theta' = \theta(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{a}_4, \vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2, \vec{R}_4)$$

$$\theta'' = \theta(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_5, \vec{a}_6, \vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_5, \vec{R}_6)$$

and  $\psi = \psi(\vec{a}_1, \vec{R}_1)$  where the  $\vec{a}_i, \vec{R}_j$  are all disjoint. Then

$$w(\theta \mid \theta' \wedge \psi) \geq w(\theta \mid \theta'' \wedge \psi).$$

### A Failed Attempt

For atoms  $\alpha_i(x) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^q R_n^{\epsilon_n}(x)$ ,  $\alpha_j(x) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^q R_n^{\delta_n}(x)$ , where the  $\epsilon_n, \delta_n \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $R^1 = R, R^0 = \neg R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| &= \sum_{n=1}^q |\epsilon_n - \delta_n| \\ &= \text{the number of conjuncts } R_n \text{ on which } \alpha_i, \alpha_j \text{ differ.} \end{aligned}$$

### Principle of Analogical Support by Distance:

If  $\theta(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in QFSL_q$  and

$$|\alpha_i - \alpha_j| < |\alpha_i - \alpha_k|$$

then

$$w(\alpha_i(a_{n+2}) \mid \alpha_j(a_{n+1}) \wedge \theta(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \geq w(\alpha_i(a_{n+2}) \mid \alpha_k(a_{n+1}) \wedge \theta(a_1, \dots, a_n)).$$

Unfortunately the only solutions, even for  $q = 2$ , are hardly 'rational'.