

Solutions 711.9 Exercise

(1) Prove the 0-1 law for sentences of the language containing just  $n$  constant symbols  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ .

Solution

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be this language. Since each constant symbol may be interpreted as any element of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ , the number,  $\Theta(\mathcal{L}; N)$ , of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures with this domain is  $N^n$ . Let  $\phi$  be the sentence

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \neg c_i \approx c_j$$

(or just  $c_1 \approx c_1$  if  $n=1$ ).

Then  $\Theta(\phi; N) = N \cdot (N-1) \cdots (N-n+1)$ .

$$\text{So } p(\phi; N) = \frac{\Theta(\phi; N)}{\Theta(\mathcal{L}; N)} = 1 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{(n-1)}{N}\right)$$

$$\rightarrow 1 \cdot (1-0) \cdots (1-0) = 1$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (as  $n$  is fixed).

Thus  $\phi \in T^{\text{as}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Also  $\mathcal{K}_d \in T^{\text{as}}(\mathcal{L})$  for each  $d \geq 2$ , so, if  $\langle A; e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle \models T^{\text{as}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\langle B; d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle \models T^{\text{as}}(\mathcal{L})$  with  $A, B$  countable, then mapping each  $e_i$  to  $d_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) and  $A \setminus \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  to  $B \setminus \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$  bijectively (which is possible, as they are both countably infinite sets) clearly defines an isomorphism from  $\langle A; e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$  to  $\langle B; d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle$ . Thus

$T^{\omega}(\mathcal{L})$  is  $\omega$ -categorical and hence, by Vaught's test, is complete. The required result now follows from 11.5.

11.9 Exercise

(2) Let  $E_2$  be the sentence saying that "P is an equivalence relation with just two equivalence classes. Prove that  $\rho_{E_2}(\phi; N) \rightarrow 1$  or  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Solution

$E_2$  is the sentence

$$\left( \left( \left( \forall v_1 P(v_1, v_1) \wedge \forall v_1, \forall v_2 (P(v_1, v_2) \rightarrow P(v_2, v_1)) \right) \wedge \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \forall v_1, \forall v_2, \forall v_3 ((P(v_1, v_2) \wedge P(v_2, v_3)) \rightarrow P(v_1, v_3)) \right) \wedge \right. \\ \left. \exists v_1, \exists v_2 (\neg P(v_1, v_2) \wedge \forall v_3 (P(v_1, v_3) \vee P(v_2, v_3))) \right)$$

If  $\langle \{1, \dots, N\}; R \rangle \models E_2$  then we have two non-empty equivalence classes. There are  $2^N - 2$  possibilities for an equivalence class, so

$$\theta(E_2; N) = \frac{1}{2} (2^N - 2) = 2^{N-1} - 1.$$

It seems reasonable to guess that each equivalence class gets arbitrarily large almost surely.

So let  $\Gamma_n$  be the sentence (for  $n \geq 2$ ):

$$\exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \exists w_1 \dots \exists w_n \left( \left( \left( \bigwedge_{\substack{i=1 \\ j=1}}^n P(v_i, v_j) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \neg v_i \doteq v_j \right) \wedge \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \bigwedge_{\substack{i=1 \\ j=1}}^n P(w_i, w_j) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \neg w_i \doteq w_j \right) \wedge \neg P(v_1, w_1) \right)$$

Now fix  $n \geq 2$ . The number of models  $\langle \{1, \dots, N\}, R \rangle$  of  $E_2$  that fail to satisfy  $\Gamma_n$  (for  $N \geq 2n$ ) is at most the number of non-empty subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  of size at most  $n-1$ . This is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{N}{k},$$

which is bounded above by  $n \cdot N^n$ .

Thus 
$$\frac{\theta_{E_2}(\neg \Gamma_n; N)}{\theta(E_2; N)} \leq \frac{n \cdot N^n}{2^{N-1} - 1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, as usual, 
$$\frac{\theta_{E_2}(\Gamma_n; N)}{\theta(E_2; N)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

so  $\Gamma_n \in T^{as}(E_2)$ . Now to establish the required result it is sufficient to prove that  $T^{as}(E_2)$  is complete for which, since  $\{\Gamma_n : n \geq 2\}$  has no finite models, it is sufficient to show that  $E_2 \cup \{\Gamma_n : n \geq 2\}$  is  $\omega$ -categorical (for then  $T^{as}(E_2)$  is too).

But a countably infinite model of  $E_2 \cup \{\Gamma_n : n \geq 2\}$  is just an equivalence relation with two countably infinite equivalence classes, and any two such structures are clearly isomorphic by just "matching up" the equivalence classes.

---