

Solutions 6

10.6 Corollary (of the Compactness Theorem)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences, and  $\phi$  any  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentence. If  $\Sigma \models \phi$  then there exists a finite subset  $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma_0 \models \phi$ .

Proof.

If  $\Sigma \not\models \phi$  then  $\Sigma \cup \{\neg\phi\}$  has no model. By the (contrapositive of) the Compactness Theorem, there exists  $\Sigma_0 \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma_0 \cup \{\neg\phi\}$  has no model. But

then every model of  $\Sigma_0$  is necessarily a model of  $\phi$ , so  $\Sigma_0 \models \phi$ .

□

11.1 Exercise

Evaluate  $\theta(\mathcal{L}; N)$  for  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\sigma$  where  $\sigma = \langle I, J, K, P, \mu \rangle$  and  $I, J, K$  are finite

Solutions

For  $i \in I$  there are  $2^{N^{P(i)}}$  subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}^{P(i)}$ .

For  $j \in J$  there are  $N^{N^{M(j)}}$  functions from  $\{1, \dots, N\}^{M(j)}$  to  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ .

For  $k \in K$ , there are  $N$  elements of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ .

$$\text{Thus } \theta(\mathcal{L}; N) = |\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}; N)| = \prod_{i \in I} 2^{N^{P(i)}} \cdot \prod_{j \in J} N^{N^{M(j)}} \cdot N^{|K|},$$

where an empty product is defined to be 1.

11.4 Exercise

Prove that for any  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r \in T^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i) \in T^{\text{an}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Solutions

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\epsilon/r+1 > 0$ , so there exists  $N_0 > 0$

such that  $\forall N \geq N_0$ , and for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$

$$|1 - p(\phi_i; N)| < \frac{\epsilon}{r+1} \quad \dots (*)$$

But  $S(\neg(\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i); N) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r S(\neg\phi_i; N)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\neg(\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i); N) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \theta(\neg\phi_i; N) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (\theta(\perp; N) - \theta(\phi_i; N)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore p(\neg(\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i); N) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - p(\phi_i; N)) < \frac{r\epsilon}{r+1} \quad (\text{by } (*))$$

$$\therefore 1 \geq p((\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i); N) = 1 - p(\neg(\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i); N) > 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{for } N \geq N_0$$

Thus  $p((\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i); N) \rightarrow 1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^r \phi_i) \in T^{\omega}(\mathcal{L})$

Easy exercise (p51)

$\Gamma_{\omega}$  has no finite models.

Solution

Actually, the graph with 2 points and one edge (i.e.  $\langle \{1, 2\}; \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 1 \rangle\} \rangle$ ) satisfies  $\Gamma_{\omega}$ , so we should add  $\mathcal{K}_3$  to  $\Gamma_{\omega}$ .

Now suppose  $\langle A; R \rangle \models \Gamma_{\omega}$  with  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$  ( $N \geq 3$ ).

If  $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle \in R$  for all  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$ , choose  $w_1 = a_1, w_2 = a_2, v_1 = v_2 = a_3$ . Then for every  $c \in A$ , either  $\langle a_1, c \rangle \in R$  or  $\langle a_2, c \rangle \in R$ , so  $\Gamma_2$  is false in  $\langle A; R \rangle$  - contradiction.

So suppose, say,  $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \notin R$ . Set  $v_1 = a_2, v_2 = a_3, \dots, v_n = a_{n+1}$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  all equal to  $a_1$ . Then there is no  $c \in A$  such that  $\langle c, a_j \rangle$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n+1$ , since we cannot have  $c = a_1$ . But then  $c = a_j$  for some  $j = 2, \dots, n+1$ , and then  $\langle c, a_j \rangle \notin R$  (as  $R$  is irreflexive). Hence  $\langle A; R \rangle \not\models \Gamma_n$  - contradiction.