

Solutions 1

3.6 Exercise

Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta \in K_\sigma$. Suppose that $\alpha \subseteq \beta$, $\alpha' \subseteq \beta$ and $\text{dom}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{dom}(\alpha')$. Then $\alpha \subseteq \alpha'$.

Solution

Writing α, β as in 2.4 and α' as $\langle A' ; \{R'_i\}_{i \in I} ; \{f'_j\}_{j \in J} ; \{e'_k\}_{k \in K} \rangle$, we are

given that $A \subseteq A' \subseteq B$ and (see 3.1) that

$$R_i = A^n \cap S_i \text{ and } R'_i = (A')^n \cap S_i \text{ (for each } i \in I).$$

Since, obviously, $A^n = (A')^n \cap A^n$ we have

$$R_i = (A')^n \cap A^n \cap S_i = A^n \cap (A')^n \cap S_i = A^n \cap R'_i. \text{ Thus}$$

3.1 (1) holds for α, α' .

For 3.1 (2) we have $f_j = g_j \upharpoonright A^m$, $f'_j = g_j \upharpoonright (A')^m$ (for each $j \in J$). Again, it is clear that $f_j = f'_j \upharpoonright A^m$ (as $A \subseteq A'$).

Finally, by 3.1 (3) we have $e_k = d_k$ and $e'_k = d_k$, so $e_k = e'_k$ (for each $k \in K$).

3.8 Exercise

By inspecting the proof of 3.5, prove that if σ is countable and S is countable, then $\text{dom}(\alpha)$ is countable.

Solution

Recall that $\text{dom}(\alpha) := S_\omega = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n$. So it is sufficient to show that each S_n is countable, because a countable union of countable sets is countable.

Now S_0 is countable as both S and K are.
 Suppose that S_n is countable. Then we have

$$S_{n+1} = S_n \cup \{g_j(a_1, \dots, a_{\mu(j)}) : j \in J, a_1, \dots, a_{\mu(j)} \in S_n\}$$

$$= S_n \cup \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \{g_j(a_1, \dots, a_r) : j \in J \text{ s.t. } \mu(j)=r, a_1, \dots, a_r \in S_n\},$$

so again it is sufficient to show that each set in the union is countable.

Now S_n is by our assumption. So consider some $r \geq 1$. Then the cardinality of $\{g_j(a_1, \dots, a_r) : j \in J, \mu(j)=r, a_1, \dots, a_r \in S_n\}$ is no greater than that of $J \times A^r$, and this set is countable since a finite product of countable sets is countable.

Thus S_{n+1} is countable and result follows by induction.

3.9 Exercise

Let $C_1, L_1, L \in K_0$ and suppose that $\pi: C_1 \hookrightarrow L_1$ and $\gamma: L_1 \hookrightarrow L$. Prove that $\gamma \circ \pi: C_1 \hookrightarrow L$.

Solution

Write C_1, L_1 as in 2.4 and L as $\langle C; \{T_i\}_{i \in I}; \{k_j\}_{j \in J}; \{b_k\}_{k \in K} \rangle$. We verify 2.4 (a)-(d).

2.4 (a) Clearly $\gamma \circ \pi$ is one-one as both γ, π are. (We are not required to show $\gamma \circ \pi$ is onto - but it is if both γ and π are.)

2.4 (b). Let $i \in I$ and say $p(i) = n$. Then for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle \in R_i & \text{ iff } \langle \pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_m) \rangle \in S_i & (\text{since } \pi: \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}) \\ & \text{ iff } \langle \gamma(\pi(a_1)), \dots, \gamma(\pi(a_m)) \rangle \in T_i & (\text{since } \gamma: \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}) \\ & \text{ iff } \langle \gamma \circ \pi(a_1), \dots, \gamma \circ \pi(a_m) \rangle \in T_i. \end{aligned}$$

2.4 (c) Let $j \in J$, say $\mu(j) = m$. Then for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f_j(a_1, \dots, a_m)) &= g_j(\pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_m)) & (\text{since } \pi: \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}) \\ \therefore \gamma(\pi(f_j(a_1, \dots, a_m))) &= \gamma(g_j(\pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_m))) \\ &= h_j(\gamma(\pi(a_1)), \dots, \gamma(\pi(a_m))) & (\text{since } \gamma: \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}) \\ &= h_j(\gamma \circ \pi(a_1), \dots, \gamma \circ \pi(a_m)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \gamma \circ \pi(f_j(a_1, \dots, a_m)) = h_j(\gamma \circ \pi(a_1), \dots, \gamma \circ \pi(a_m)).$$

2.4 (d) Let $k \in K$. Then $\pi(l_k) = d_k$ (since $\pi: \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}$)
 $\therefore \gamma(\pi(l_k)) = \gamma(d_k)$
 $\therefore \gamma \circ \pi(l_k) = b_k$ (since $\gamma: \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$).
