

2. Structures - the precise definition.

2.1 Definition

(a) A similarity type consists of three sets I, J, K (some, or all, of which may be empty) and two functions $\rho: I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $\mu: J \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{>0}$.

(b) Let $\sigma = \langle I, J, K, \rho, \mu \rangle$ be a similarity type. Then a structure of similarity type σ , or just σ -structure for short, is an object of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \langle A; \{R_i\}_{i \in I}; \{f_j\}_{j \in J}; \{e_k\}_{k \in K} \rangle$$

where:

(i) A is a non-empty set, called the domain of \mathcal{C}_σ ($A = \text{dom}(\mathcal{C}_\sigma)$);

(ii) for each $i \in I$, $R_i \subseteq A^n$ ($= \underbrace{A \times A \times \dots \times A}_n$) where

$n = \rho(i)$. We say that R_i is an n -ary relation on A ;

(iii) for each $j \in J$, $f_j: A^m \rightarrow A$ where $m = \mu(j)$. We say that f_j is an m -ary function on A ;

(iv) for each $k \in K$, $e_k \in A$. We say that e_k is a distinguished element of A .

2.2 Examples

Let us look at 1.1(a) and (b): $\langle \mathbb{R}; +; 0 \rangle$, $\langle \mathbb{R}; \cdot; 1 \rangle$. These are structures with $I = \emptyset$, $J = \{1\}$, $K = \{1\}$ and $\mu(1) = 2$.

In 1.1(a) we have f_1 is $+$, e_1 is 0 and $A = \mathbb{R}$.

In 1.1(b) we have f_1 is \cdot , e_1 is 1 and $A = \mathbb{R}$.

Now consider 1.2: $\langle \mathbb{R}; <; +; 0 \rangle$.

Here we have $I = \{1\}$, $J = \{1\}$, $K = \{1\}$, $\rho(1) = 2$, $\mu(1) = 2$.

Then $A = \mathbb{R}$, R_1 is $<$ (considered as the subset $\{\langle a, b \rangle : a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}$ of \mathbb{R}^2), f_1 is $+$, and e_1 is 0 .

2.3 Definition

Let σ be a similarity type. Then K_σ denotes the class of all structures of similarity type σ .

2.4 Definition (of isomorphism).

Let σ be a similarity type and suppose that $\mathcal{C}_\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\sigma \in K_\sigma$.

Say $\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \langle A; \{R_i\}_{i \in I}; \{f_j\}_{j \in J}; \{e_k\}_{k \in K} \rangle$

and

$\mathcal{D}_\sigma = \langle B; \{S_i\}_{i \in I}; \{g_j\}_{j \in J}; \{d_k\}_{k \in K} \rangle$.

Let $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ be a function. Then we say that π is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C}_σ to \mathcal{D}_σ if

(a) π is one-one and onto (i.e. a bijection from A to B);

(b) for each $i \in I$, if $\rho(i) = n$, then for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in R_i$ iff $\langle \pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_n) \rangle \in S_i$;

(c) for each $j \in J$, if $\mu(j) = m$, then for all $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$, $\pi(f_j(a_1, \dots, a_m)) = g_j(\pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_m))$;

(d) for each $k \in K$, $\pi(e_k) = d_k$.

We write $\pi : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \cong \mathcal{D}_\sigma$ to mean π is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C}_σ to \mathcal{D}_σ . We also write just $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \cong \mathcal{D}_\sigma$ to mean that there exists some π s.th. $\pi : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \cong \mathcal{D}_\sigma$, and then we say that \mathcal{C}_σ is isomorphic to \mathcal{D}_σ .

2.5 Example

Let $\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \langle \mathbb{R}; <; +; 0 \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}_\sigma = \langle \mathbb{R}_{>0}; <; \cdot; 1 \rangle$.

Then $\mathcal{C}_2 \cong \mathcal{L}$. For consider the function

$\pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ given by $\pi(x) = e^x$ (for $x \in \mathbb{R}$). Then

2.4(a)-(d) are easy to check. E.g. for (c) we have:-

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ $\pi(a_1 + a_2) = e^{(a_1 + a_2)} = e^{a_1} \cdot e^{a_2} = \pi(a_1) \cdot \pi(a_2)$.

2.6 A non-example is given by $\mathcal{C}_2 = \langle \mathbb{Q}; < \rangle$, $\mathcal{L} = \langle \mathbb{R}; < \rangle$. \mathcal{C}_2 cannot be isomorphic to \mathcal{L} since there is not even a bijection from \mathbb{Q} (which is countable) to \mathbb{R} (which is not). However, the identity function on \mathbb{Q} (considered as a function from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{R}) does satisfy all the conditions for being an isomorphism except that it is not onto \mathbb{R} . This gives rise to another important notion.

(An aside: We shall show later that $\text{Th}(\langle \mathbb{Q}; < \rangle) = \text{Th}(\langle \mathbb{R}; < \rangle)$, so it is certainly possible for two structures to have the same theory, but not be isomorphic - see 1.11(2)(c))

2.7 Definition

(a) In the notation of 2.4, if π satisfies (b), (c) and (d) and is one-one (but not necessarily onto), then π is called an embedding. We write $\pi: \mathcal{C}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ for this.

(b) If $A \subseteq B$ and π is the identity function on A (and also satisfies 2.4(b), (c) and (d)), then we say that \mathcal{C}_2 is a substructure of \mathcal{L} , written $\mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.

2.8 Examples

• $\langle \mathbb{R}; +, \cdot; 0 \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{C}; +, \cdot; 0 \rangle$; $\langle \mathbb{Q}; <; +; 0 \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{R}; <; +; 0 \rangle$,
but $\langle \mathbb{R}; +, \cdot; 0 \rangle \not\subseteq \langle \mathbb{C}; +, \cdot; 1 \rangle$ because 2.4(d) fails.
↑ "is not a substructure of"