

14.6 Lemma

Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be any \mathcal{L} -structures. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) for every existential sentence ϕ of \mathcal{L} , if $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$ then $\mathcal{B} \models \phi$;
- (2) there exists an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathcal{B}' such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ and an elementary embedding $\pi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ (so, in particular, $\mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{B}'$).

Proof.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose ϕ is existential and $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$. By 6.4 we have $\mathcal{B}' \models \phi$. So $\mathcal{B} \models \phi$ since $\mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{B}'$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): We use the method of diagrams. We choose the new constant symbols $\{c_a: a \in A\}$ and $\{c_b: b \in B\}$ to be disjoint (as well as different from the constant symbols in \mathcal{L}).

Let Σ be the following set of sentences (in the language containing all the constant symbols):
 $Diag(\mathcal{A}) \cup C Diag(\mathcal{B})$.

It is sufficient to show that Σ has a model, \mathcal{B}^* say. For by 14.4, the function $\pi: B \rightarrow B^*: b \mapsto c_b^{\mathcal{B}^*}$ is an elementary embedding from \mathcal{B} to $\mathcal{B}^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}$, and the function $\pi': A \rightarrow B^*: c_a \mapsto c_a^{\mathcal{B}^*}$ is an embedding from \mathcal{A} to $\mathcal{B}^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}$. We may assume that the latter embedding is the identity (so that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$) by simply renaming each element $\pi'(a)$ of B^* as a . Thus $\pi: \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$, where $\mathcal{B}' := \mathcal{B}^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}$.

Thus, by the Compactness Theorem, it is sufficient to

show that Σ is finitely satisfiable.

So let $\Sigma_0 \stackrel{\text{fin}}{\subseteq} \Sigma$.

Then there are $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \text{Diag}(C_\alpha)$ such that $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\} \cup C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L})$.

Let $\phi = \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \phi_i\right)$. Then $\phi \in \text{Diag}(C_\alpha)$ and we must show that $C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L}) \cup \{\phi\}$ has a model. So suppose that it does not. Then $C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L}) \models \neg\phi$.

Now we may write ϕ in the form $\psi(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$ where $\psi \in F_n(\mathcal{L})$ (for some $n \geq 0$: see 4.2), and ψ is quantifier-free.

Thus $C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L}) \models \neg\psi(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$.

But we chose our new constant symbols so that c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n} do not occur in any formula in $C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L})$.

Thus by 4.5

$$C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L}) \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n \neg\psi, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L}) \models \neg \exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \psi. \dots (*)$$

However, since $\phi \in \text{Diag}(C_\alpha)$, we have $C_\alpha^+ \models \phi$, i.e.

$C_\alpha^+ \models \psi(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})$. So $C_\alpha \models \psi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ (see 4.2).

Hence $C_\alpha \models \exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \psi$.

But $\exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \psi$ is an existential sentence of \mathcal{L} , so by our hypothesis (1), $\mathcal{L} \models \exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \psi$. So

$\exists v_1 \dots \exists v_n \psi \in C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L})$, which contradicts (*) (since $C\text{Diag}(\mathcal{L})$ is certainly satisfiable).

Thus ϕ , and hence Σ_0 , does have a model, and we are done.

□