

12 A characterization of  $\omega$ -categorical theories.

We fix a countable language  $L$  throughout this section.

12.1 Definitions

Let  $n \geq 0$ .

(1)  $F_n(L)$  denotes the set of all  $L$ -formulas  $\phi$  all of whose free variables are amongst  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . (Thus  $F_0(L)$  is the set of sentences of  $L$ .)

(2) If  $\phi \in F_n(L)$  and  $\bar{a} = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots \rangle \in A^\omega$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A; \dots \rangle$  is an  $L$ -structure, then we know that whether or not  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi[\bar{a}]$  only depends on  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . So we just write  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  if  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi[\bar{a}]$  for some (i.e. any)  $\bar{a} \in A^\omega$  that begins with  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . We also let

$$\phi^{\mathcal{A}} := \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in A^n : \mathcal{A} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n] \}$$

and call  $\phi^{\mathcal{A}}$  the set defined by  $\phi$ . Sets of this form are called definable (subsets of  $A^n$ ).

(3) For  $T$  an  $L$ -theory,  $E_n(T)$  denotes the relation on  $F_n(L)$  defined by for  $\phi, \psi \in F_n(L)$ ,  $\phi E_n(T) \psi \iff T \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ .

(This is clearly equivalent to:  $\phi E_n(T) \psi \iff$  for all  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ ,  $\phi^{\mathcal{A}} = \psi^{\mathcal{A}}$ .)

Clearly  $E_n(T)$  is an equivalence relation on  $F_n(L)$  and we write  $F_n(L)/E_n(T)$  for the set of equivalence classes.

The purpose of this section is to prove the famous:

12.2 Theorem (Ryll-Nardzewski Theorem)

Let  $T$  be a complete theory without finite models. Then  $T$  is  $\omega$ -categorical if and only if for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $F_n(L)/E_n(T)$  is finite.

12.3 Remarks

(1) The condition is clearly equivalent to the following: for all  $n \geq 0$  there exists  $d$  (depending only on  $n$ ) such that for every  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ , there are at most  $d$  definable subsets of  $A^n$ . (For the  $\Rightarrow$  direction one needs 12.4 below.)

(2) The assumption that  $T$  is complete is equivalent to saying  $|F_0(L)/E_0(T)| = 2$ .

Proof of 12.2 in the  $\Leftarrow$  direction.

Suppose that  $F_n(L)/E_n(T)$  is finite for all  $n \geq 0$  --- (\*)

12.4 Exercise

If  $\Sigma$  is any complete  $L$ -theory, and  $\phi$  is an  $L$ -sentence, then if  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$  for some model  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$  for every model  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ , and hence  $T \models \phi$ .

Now, back to  $T$  as in (\*). We first prove the following

Claim: For any  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ , there exists a formula  $\phi \in F_n(L)$  such that (i)  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  and (ii) if  $\psi \in F_n(L)$  also satisfies  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ , then  $T \models \forall v_1, \dots, v_n (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ .

Proof of Claim: Let  $d = |F_n(L)/E_n(T)|$  and pick representatives

$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d$  of the  $E_n(T)$ -equivalence classes.

Let  $\Delta = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \models \phi_i[a_1, \dots, a_n]\}$  and define

$$\phi := \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \phi_i \right).$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . Suppose  $\psi \in F_n(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . Let  $\phi_i$  be the chosen representative of the  $E_n(T)$ -equivalence class of  $\psi$ . Then  $T \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\psi \leftrightarrow \phi_i)$ , so since  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\psi \leftrightarrow \phi_i)$ . But  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ , so  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi_i[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . Hence  $i \in \Delta$ , so clearly

$\mathcal{A} \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\phi \rightarrow \phi_i)$ . As  $\mathcal{A} \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\phi_i \rightarrow \psi)$ , we have  $\mathcal{A} \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ . So  $T \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_n (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$  by 12.4.

□ (claim)

Terminology: A  $\phi$  as in the claim is called a principal formula for  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  (in  $\mathcal{A}$ ).

Now to prove the  $\omega$ -categoricity of  $T$  we use a back-and-forth construction. So let  $\mathcal{A} \models T, \mathcal{B} \models T$  with  $A, B$  both countably infinite. Say  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}, B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ . We construct new enumerations  $a'_1, a'_2, \dots$  and  $b'_1, b'_2, \dots$  of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively such that for all  $n \geq 0$  we have

$$(*)_n \text{ for all } \psi \in F_n(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{A} \models \psi[a'_1, \dots, a'_n] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} \models \psi[b'_1, \dots, b'_n].$$

Notice that this holds for  $n = 0$  by the completeness of  $T$  (so  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B}$ ).

Now suppose that we have constructed  $a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b'_1, \dots, b'_n$  so that  $(*)_n$  holds.

Case 1  $n$  is odd

Choose  $r$  minimal such that  $a_r \notin \{a'_1, \dots, a'_n\}$ , and set  $a'_{n+1} := a_r$ .

Let  $\phi \in F_{n+1}(\mathcal{L})$  be a principal formula for  $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n+1}$  (in  $\mathcal{C}$ ), as given by the claim.

Let  $\phi^* \in F_n(\mathcal{L})$  be the formula  $\exists v_{n+1} \phi$ .

Since  $\mathcal{C} \models \phi[a'_1, \dots, a'_{n+1}]$  we have  $\mathcal{C} \models \phi^*[a'_1, \dots, a'_n]$  and hence  $\mathcal{D} \models \phi^*[b'_1, \dots, b'_n]$  by our inductive assumption  $(*)_n$ .

So there is some  $b \in B$  such that  $\mathcal{D} \models \phi[b'_1, \dots, b'_n, b]$  and we let  $b'_{n+1}$  be such an element  $b$ .

Then  $(*)_{n+1}$  is satisfied because if  $\psi \in F_{n+1}(\mathcal{L})$  is such that  $\mathcal{C} \models \psi[a'_1, \dots, a'_{n+1}]$  then  $\mathcal{T} \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_{n+1} (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$

(because  $\phi$  is principal for  $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n+1}$  (in  $\mathcal{C}$ )) and so

$\mathcal{D} \models \forall v_1 \dots \forall v_{n+1} (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ . But  $\mathcal{D} \models \phi[b'_1, \dots, b'_{n+1}]$  (by the choice of  $b'_{n+1}$ ), so  $\mathcal{D} \models \psi[b'_1, \dots, b'_{n+1}]$  as required. (The  $\Leftarrow$  direction in  $(*)_{n+1}$  follows by considering  $\neg \psi$ .)

### Case 2 n is even

Choose  $\tau$  minimal such that  $b_\tau \notin \{b'_1, \dots, b'_n\}$  and repeat the case 1 construction (with  $b'_{n+1} = b_\tau$ ), with the rôles of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  interchanged, to find  $a'_{n+1} \in A$  to satisfy  $(*)_{n+1}$ .

This completes the construction. The fact that the correspondence  $a'_i \mapsto b'_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ) defines a bijection from  $A$  to  $B$  follows just as in the proof of 11.8.3. The fact that this bijection is an isomorphism follows from  $(*)_1, (*)_2, (*)_3, \dots$  (exercise).

Thus  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\omega$ -categorical. □

### 12.5 Exercise

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is a finite, purely relational language and  $\mathcal{T}$  is a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory without finite models. Suppose that for all  $n \geq 1$ , each  $E_n(\mathcal{T})$ -equivalence class contains a QF formula. Prove that  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\omega$ -categorical. (In fact, DLO has this property.)

12.6 Exercise

Let  $\mathcal{O}_2 = \langle \mathbb{N}; <; +, \cdot; 0, 1 \rangle$ . Use 12.2 to prove that there exists a structure  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{O}_2$  but  $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{O}_2$ . (Such a structure  $\mathcal{L}$  is called a non-standard model of number theory, and they were the subject of my Ph.D. thesis.)