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Arrangements for the Course

Aims and description

AIMS OF 0N1

• A basic course in pure mathematical topics for members of the foundation year.

• Key ingredient: language of Mathematics, including specific use of English in Mathematics.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION


9 lectures: Methods of proof for inequalities. Solution of inequalities containing unknown variables. Linear inequalities with one or two variables, systems of linear inequalities with two variables. Some simple problems of linear optimisation. Quadratic inequalities with one variable.

2 review lectures at the end of the course, Week 12.

A BRIEF VERY PRAGMATIC DESCRIPTION

The course contains all mathematics necessary for writing standard commercial time-dependent spreadsheets using the Excel (or a similar software package) macro language.

TEXTBOOKS:


Detailed lecture notes will be provided as course progresses.

**COURSE WEBPAGE:**
[http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~avb/math19861.html](http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~avb/math19861.html)

**SHORT URL**  [bit.ly/2cgtpRx](http://bit.ly/2cgtpRx)

**ARRANGEMENTS**

As of 2016–17 academic year

**Two lectures** a week in weeks 1–12:
- Monday 17:00, Renold/C16
- Wednesday 11:00, Renold/C16

Learn to take lecture notes!

**One tutorial** (in small groups) in weeks 2–12,
- Tuesday 13:00.

- Exercise sheets are posted on the Web/Blackboard a week before tutorial.
- Work on your own, in the tutorial discuss your solutions with an Instructor.
- Solutions to exercises are distributed after the tutorial.

**Hours of private study:** 68.

**Tests**

7-MINUTE MULTIPLE CHOICE TESTS: 10 minutes at the end of each of 10 tutorials are reserved for the test (but experience shows that clearing the desks from books, etc, and then
collection of scripts takes some time, so the actual test time is 7 minutes).

- Two questions, each costing 2%, so that together they make 4%.

- One problem is on material from the *previous* week, another – from all *previous* weeks at random.

- **Marking scheme:** 2 marks for a complete correct answer, 1 mark for an incomplete correct answer, 0 for an incorrect or partially incorrect answer or no answer. A correct answer might contain more than one choice.

- Missed test = 0%

- The 10 test marks make up to

\[ 10 \times 2 \times 2\% = 40\% \text{ of the total mark for course}. \]

- The actual formula for computation of the total mark $T$ for all tests excludes the worst result, so

\[ T = \frac{10}{9} \times [\text{the sume of 9 best marks}]. \]

For example, if you missed 1 test, but got full 4 points in each of 9 tests that you had taken, your total for tests is

\[ \frac{10}{9} \times [4 \times 9] = 40. \]

- Please notice that one of the tests will be on the last week of classes, Tuesday 12 December. *

Going to holidays early will not be accepted as an excuse for missing this test.

**Test Resits:** **No Resits or Reworks**

- All medical notes, honourable excuses, etc.: submit to Foundation Year Office. Their decision is final.

* The date is for 2017–18 academic year
• The Lecturer will not look into any detail.

• If Foundation Studies Office decides that you have a valid reason to miss a test, the total for tests will be adjusted in proportion to your marks for those tests that you sat.

**Rules for Tutorial Tests**

1. Students will be admitted to the test only after showing an official University ID card. **No ID ⇒ No Test.**

2. During the test, the ID card has to be positioned at the corner of the student’s desk and ready for inspection.

3. After the test has started, the examiner checks the IDs.

4. Students who have been more than 5 minutes late to the class are not allowed to take test, and **are not allowed to enter the class.**

5. Students are not allowed to leave the room until the end of the test. Examiners will not collect their scripts until the test is over.

6. No books or any papers other than test paper are allowed to be kept on the table.

7. Examiners should remove any remaining formulae from the blackboard/whiteboard.

8. If a student breaches any of these rules, or behaves noisy, etc., Examiners are instructed:

   8.1 confiscate the offender’s test script;
   8.2 write across the script: *report to the Lecturer*;
   8.3 make note of the offender’s name and ask him/her to leave the room, quietly;
   8.4 after the test, immediately report the incident to the Lecturer.

The Lecturer will take care of further necessary actions.
Detailed minute-by-minute instructions for tests:*  * Times are for 2016/17 academic year

1. At 13:40 the tutor makes a loud announcements in class:
   “Time is 13:40. Clear your desks for test.”

2. At 13:41 [or 13:42, if clearing desks, etc, took too much time] the tutor makes another announcement:
   “Time is 13:41 [or 13:42]. Start writing”

3. At 13:47 [or 13:48] the tutor announces:
   “one minute to the end of test”

4. At 13:48 [or 13:49] the tutor announces:
   “Stop writing and pass your test papers to me.”

5. By 13:50 all test papers have to be collected.

Examinations

2 hour examination (January):

• Weighting within course 60%.

• Eight problems, you choose and solve six of them. Each problem costs 10%; notice $6 \times 10\% = 60\%$.

• Unlike tests, examination problems are not multiple choice.

• You will have to give not only an answer, but justify it by a detailed calculation and/or a complete proof.

• Past exam papers are available at the course webpage:

  bit.ly/2cgtpRx

  or

  http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~avb/math19861.html.
E-mail policy:

- Questions are welcome, e-mail them to borovik \at\ manchester.ac.uk

- When relevant, the Lecturer will send a response (without naming the author of the original question) to all the class.

- Ensure that the subject line of your message is meaningful. Always include the name of the course e.g. “0N1 Tutorial”. Otherwise your message will be deleted as spam.

- Use your university e-mail account. The lecturer will delete, without reading, e-mails from outside of the University.

Questions for students, email policy

Questions from students

These lecture notes include some questions asked by students in the course. These parts of notes contain no compulsory material but still may be useful.

Notation and Terminology:

Some textbooks use notation and terminology slightly different from that used in the lectures. These notes make use of marginal* comments* like this one to give other words which are frequently used in English mathematical literature in the same sense as the marked word. Outside of mathematics, the usage of such words could be different. Also, the choice of words very much depends on the sentence in which they are used.

* marginal = written on the margin, the empty space at the side of a page—like this one.

margin = edge, border

* comment = remark, commentary, note
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Lecture Notes

Part I
Lecture Notes

1 Sets

1.1 Sets: Basic definitions

A set is any collection of objects, for example, set of numbers. The objects of a set are called the elements of the set.

A set may be specified by listing its elements. For example, \{1, 3, 6\} denotes the set with elements 1, 3 and 6. This is called the list form for the set. Note the curly brackets.

We usually use capital letters \(A, B, C\), etc., to denote sets.

The notation \(x \in A\) means “\(x\) is an element of \(A\)”.* But \(x \not\in A\) means “\(x\) is not an element of \(A\)”.

Example.

\[ 1 \in \{1, 3, 6\}, \quad 3 \in \{1, 3, 6\}, \quad 6 \in \{1, 3, 6\} \]

but

\[ 2 \not\in \{1, 3, 6\}. \]

A set can also be specified in predicate form, that is by giving a distinguished property of the elements of the set (or an explicit description of the elements in the set). For example, we can define set \(B\) by

\[ B = \{x : x \text{ is a positive integer less than } 5\}. \]

The way to read this notation is
"B is the set of all \( x \) such that \( x \) is a positive integer less than 5".

The curly brackets indicate a set and the colon \( \colon \) is used to denote "such that", and, not surprisingly, is read "such that".\(^1\)

Two sets are equal if they have exactly the same elements. Thus

\[
\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{x : x \text{ is a positive integer less than 5}\}.
\]

In list form the same set is denoted whatever order the elements are listed and however many times each element is listed. Thus

\[
\{2, 3, 5\} = \{5, 2, 3\} = \{5, 2, 3, 2, 3\}.
\]

Note that \( \{5, 2, 3, 2, 3\} \) is a set with only 3 elements: 2, 3 and 5.

Example.

\[
\{x : x \text{ is a letter in the word GOOD }\} = \{D, G, O\}.
\]

\(^1\)I have received this delightful email from David Rudling:

I have been working through your lecture notes at home now that I am retired and trying to catch up on not going to university when younger.

I have noticed that when introducing \( \colon \) as the symbol for “such that” in set theory you have not added an asterisk commentary note mentioning the American usage of the vertical bar \( | \) as an alternative which your students will undoubtedly encounter.

Might I have the temerity to suggest that an asterisk comment on this would be helpful?

Indeed, in some books you can find this notation for sets:

\[
B = \{x \mid x \text{ is a positive integer less than 5}\}.
\]
The set \(\{2\}\) is regarded as being different from the number 2. A set of numbers is not a number. \(\{2\}\) is a set with only one element which happens to be the number 2. But a set is not the same as the object it contains: \(\{2\} \neq 2\). The statement \(2 \in \{2\}\) is correct. The statement \(\{2\} \in \{2\}\) is wrong.

The set 

\[
\{x : x \text{ is an integer such that } x^2 = -1\}
\]

has no elements. This is called an empty set*. It was said earlier that two sets are equal if they have the same elements. Thus if \(A\) and \(B\) are empty sets we have \(A = B\). Mathematicians have found that this is the correct viewpoint, and this makes our first theorem.*

**Theorem.** If \(A\) and \(B\) are empty sets then \(A = B\).

**Proof.** The sets \(A\) and \(B\) are equal because they cannot be non-equal. Indeed, for \(A\) and \(B\) not to be equal we need an element in one of them, say \(a \in A\), that does not belong to \(B\). But \(A\) contains no elements! Similarly, we cannot find an element \(b \in B\) that does not belong to \(A\) – because \(B\) contains no elements at all. \(\Box\)

**Corollary.** There is only one empty set, THE* empty set.

The empty set is usually denoted by \(\emptyset\).

Thus 

\[
\{x : x \text{ is an integer such that } x^2 = -1\} = \emptyset.
\]

Consider the sets \(A\) and \(B\) where \(A = \{2,4\}\) and \(B = \{1,2,3,4,5\}\). Every element of the set \(A\) is an element of the set \(B\). We say that \(A\) is a subset of \(B\) and write \(A \subseteq B\), or \(B \supseteq A\). We can also say that \(B\) contains \(A\).*

Notice that the word “contains” is used in set theory in two meanings, it can be applied to elements and to subsets: the set \(\{a,b,c\}\) contains an element \(a\) and a subset \(\{a\}\). Symbols used are different:

\[
a \in \{a,b,c\}, \quad \{a\} \subseteq \{a,b,c\}, \quad \text{and} \quad a \neq \{a\}.
\]

* Some books call it null set.

* The word theorem means a statement that has been proved and therefore became part of mathematics. We shall also use words proposition and lemma: they are like theorem, but a proposition is usually a theorem of less importance, while lemma has no value on its own and is used as a step in a proof of a theorem.

* The word proof indicates that an argument establishing a theorem or other statement will follow.

* Corollary is something that easily follows from a theorem or a proposition.

* Notice the use of definite article THE.

* Also: \(A\) is contained in \(B\), \(A\) is included in \(B\). The expression \(B \supseteq A\) is read “\(B\) is a superset of \(A\)”, or \(B\) contains \(A\).
1.2 Questions from students

* This section contains no compulsory material but still may be useful.

1. My question is: Are all empty sets equal? No matter the conditions. For example is

\[ \{x : x \text{ is positive integer less than zero}\} \]

equal to

\[ \{x : x \text{ is an integer between 9 and 10}\} \]

Answer. Yes, all empty sets are equal. To see that in your example, let us denote

\[ A = \{x : x \text{ is positive integer less than zero}\} \]

and

\[ B = \{x : x \text{ is an integer between 9 and 10}\} \]

So, I claim that \( A = B \). If you do not agree with me, you have to show that \( A \) is different from \( B \). To do so, you have to show me an element in one set that does not belong to another set. Can you do that? Can you point to an offending element if both sets have no elements whatsoever?

Indeed, can you point to a “positive integer less than zero” which is not an “integer between 9 and 10”? Of course, you cannot, because there are no positive integers less than zero.

Can you point to an “integer between 9 and 10” which is not a “positive integer less than zero”? Of course, you cannot, because there are no integers between 9 and 10.

Hence you cannot prove that \( A \) is not equal to \( B \). Therefore you have to agree with me that \( A = B \).
2 Subsets; Finite and Infinite Sets

2.1 Subsets

![Venn diagram](image)

Figure 1: A diagram of $A \subseteq B$ (which is the same as $B \supseteq A$).

Figure 1 is a simple example of a Venn diagram for showing relationships between sets. Figure 2 is an example of a Venn diagram for three sets $G$, $L$, $C$ of uppercase letters of the Greek, Latin and Cyrillic alphabets, respectively.

![Venn diagram](image)

Figure 2: Venn diagram showing which uppercase letters are shared by the Greek, Latin and Cyrillic alphabets (sets $G$, $L$, $C$, respectively).

Some basic facts:
• $A \subseteq A$ for every set $A$. Every set is a subset of itself.
• The empty set is a subset of every set: $\emptyset \subseteq A$ for any set $A$.
• If $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq C$ then $A \subseteq C$.*
• If $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$ then $A = B$.

**Example.** Let $A = \{1, 2\}$. Denote by $B$ the set of subsets of $A$. Then

$$B = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}.$$ Notice that $1 \in \{1\}$ and $1 \in A$, but it is not true that $1 \in B$.

On the other hand, $\{1\} \in B$, but it is not true that $\{1\} \in A$.

**Example.** The subsets of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ are

$$\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}.$$ Note: don’t forget the empty set $\emptyset$ and the whole set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Thus $\{1, 2, 3\}$ has 8 subsets.

**Theorem.** If $A$ is a set with $n$ elements then $A$ has $2^n$ subsets. Here,

$$2^n = 2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2$$

with $n$ factors.

**Proof.** Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$. How many are there ways to choose a subset in $A$? When choosing a subset, we have to decide, for each element, whether we include this element into our subset or not. We have two choices for the first element: ‘include’ and ‘do not include’, two choices for the second element, etc., and finally two choices for the $n^{th}$ element:

$$2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2$$

choices overall. □

**Another proof.** When revising for the examination, prove this Theorem using the method of mathematical induction from the last lectures. □
Example. In some books, the set of subsets of a set $A$ is denoted $\mathcal{P}(A)$. Stating with the empty set $\emptyset$, let us take sets of subsets:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) &= \{\emptyset\} \\
\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\emptyset)) &= \mathcal{P}(\{\emptyset\}) = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \\
\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\emptyset))) &= \mathcal{P}(\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}) = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}
\end{align*}
\]

which have, correspondingly,

$2^0 = 1$, $2^1 = 2$, $2^2 = 4$, $2^4 = 16$, $\ldots$,

elements. In particular, the four sets

$\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$

(the subsets of $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$) are all different!

If $A \subseteq B$ and $A \neq B$ we call $A$ a proper subset of $B$ and write $A \subset B$ to denote this.*

Example. Let $A = \{1, 3\}$, $B = \{3, 1\}$, $C = \{1, 3, 4\}$. Then

$A = B$ true

$A \subset B$ false

$C \subseteq A$ false

$A \subseteq B$ true

$A \subseteq C$ true

$C \subset C$ false

$B \subseteq A$ true

$A \subset C$ true

Compare with inequalities for numbers:

$2 \leq 2$ true, $1 \leq 2$ true, $2 < 2$ false, $1 < 2$ true.

A set with $n$ elements contains $2^n - 1$ proper subsets.

* If $A \subset B$, we also write $B \supset A$. Similarly, $A \subseteq B$ is the same as $B \supseteq A$. 
2.2 Finite and infinite sets

A finite set is a set containing only finite number of elements. For example, \{1, 2, 3\} is finite. If \( A \) is a finite set, we denote by \(|A|\) the number of elements in \( A \). For example, \(|\{1, 2, 3\}| = 3\) and \(|\emptyset| = 0\).

A set with infinitely many elements is called an infinite set. The set of all positive integers (also called natural numbers)

\[ \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, \} \]

is infinite; the dots indicate that the sequence 1, 2, 3 is to be continued indefinitely.*

The set of all non-negative integers* is also infinite:

\[ \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \}. \]

More examples of infinite sets:

\[
\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \quad \text{(the set of integers)}
\]
\[
\{\ldots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \ldots\} \quad \text{(the set of all even integers)}
\]
\[
\{\ldots, -3, -1, 1, 3, \ldots\} \quad \text{(the set of all odd integers)}
\]

\[ \mathbb{Q} \text{ denotes the set of all rational numbers (that is, the numbers of the form } \frac{n}{m} \text{ where } n \text{ and } m \text{ are integers and } m \neq 0, \]

\[ \mathbb{R} \text{ the set of all real numbers (in particular, } \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \pi \in \mathbb{R}, \]

\[ \mathbb{C} \text{ the set of all complex numbers (that is, numbers of the form } x + yi \text{ where } x \text{ and } y \text{ are real and } i \text{ is a square root of } -1, i^2 = -1). \]

They are all infinite sets. We have the following inclusions:

\[ \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{N}_0 \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}. \]

* indefinitely = for ever, without end
* There is no universal agreement about whether to include zero in the set of natural numbers: some define the natural numbers to be the positive integers \( \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \), while for others the term designates the non-negative integers \( \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \). In this lecture course, we shall stick to the first one (and more traditional) convention: 0 is not a natural number.

* The letters \( \text{ABCDEFGHIJKLMOPRSTUVWXYZ} \) are called blackboard bold and were invented by mathematicians for writing on a blackboard instead of bold letters \( \text{ABC} \ldots \) which are difficult to write with chalk.
2.3 Questions from students

1. > When considering sets
   > {1}, {{1}}, {{{1}}}, {{{{1}}}}, ...
   > is it true that {1} is an element of {{1}},
   > but not of {{{{1}}}}?
   
   **Answer.** Yes, it is true.

2. > (c) Let U = {u, v, w, x, y, z}.
   > (i) Find the number of subsets of U.
   > (ii) Find the number of proper non-empty subsets of U.
   > i think the answer of question (ii) should be 63,
   > not 62 which is given by
   > exam sample solution. how do u think about it
   
   **Answer.** The answer is 62: there are $2^6 = 64$ subsets in $U$ altogether. We exclude two: $U$ itself (because is is not proper) and the empty set (because it is not non-empty.

3. > My question
   > relates to one of the mock exam questions,
   > worded slightly differently.
   >
   > Question: List the 8 subsets of {a,b,c,d}
   > containing {d}?
   
   **Answer.** A very good question—how to list in a systematic way all subsets of a given set? I emphasise the word systematic, this means that if you do the same problem a week later, you get exactly the same order of subsets in the list.

   There are several possible approaches, one of them is to use the principle of ordering words in a dictionary; I will illustrate it on the problem

   _List all subsets in the set {a, b, c}._

   In my answer to that problem, you will perhaps immediately recognise the alphabetic order:

   $\{\}^* \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}; \{b\}, \{b, c\}; \{c\}. \quad ^* \{\} \text{ is the empty set } \emptyset$

   Returning to the original question,

   _List the 8 subsets of \{a, b, c, d\} containing \{d\},_

   we have to add the element $d$ to each of the sets:

   $\{d\}; \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}; \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}; \{c, d\}.
3 Operations on Sets

Figure 3: Sets $A$ and $B$ and their intersection $A \cap B$ and union $A \cup B$.

3.1 Intersection

Suppose $A$ and $B$ are sets. Then $A \cap B$ denotes the set of all elements which belong to both $A$ and $B$:

\[ A \cap B = \{ x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B \}. \]

$A \cap B$ is called the intersection of $A$ and $B$.*

Example. Let $A = \{1, 3, 5, 6, 7\}$ and $B = \{3, 4, 5, 8\}$, then $A \cap B = \{3, 5\}$.

3.2 Union

$A \cup B$ denotes the set of all elements which belong to $A$ or to $B$:

\[ A \cup B = \{ x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \}. \]

$A \cup B$ is called the union of $A$ and $B$.*

Notice that, in mathematics, or is usually understood in the inclusive sense: elements from $A \cup B$ belong to $A$ or to

* The typographic symbol $\cap$ is sometimes called “cap”. Notice that the name of a typographical symbol for an operation is not necessary the same as the name of operation. For example, symbol plus is used to denote addition of numbers, like $2 + 3$.

* The typographic symbol $\cup$ is sometimes called “cup”.

B or to both A and B; or, in brief, to A and/or B. In some human languages, the connective* ‘or’ is understood in the exclusive sense: to A or to B, but not both A and B. We will always understand ‘or’ as inclusive ‘and/or’. In particular, this means that

\[ A \cap B \subseteq A \cup B. \]

Example 3.2.1 Let \( A = \{1, 3, 5, 6, 7\} \), \( B = \{3, 4, 5, 8\} \), then

\[ A \cup B = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}. \]

If A and B are sets such that \( A \cap B = \emptyset \), that is, A and B have no elements in common, we say that A is disjoint from B, or that A and B are disjoint* (from each other).

Example 3.2.2 A = \{1, 3, 5\}, B = \{2, 4, 6\}. Here A and B are disjoint.

3.3 Universal set and complement

In any application of set theory all the sets under consideration will be subsets of a background set, called the universal set. For example, when working with real numbers the universal set is the set \( \mathbb{R} \) of real numbers. We usually denote the universal set by \( U \).

\( U \) is conveniently shown as a “frame” when drawing a Venn diagram.

All the sets under consideration are subsets of \( U \) and so can be drawn inside the frame.

Let A be a set and \( U \) be the universal set. Then \( A' \) (called the complement* of A and pronounced “A prime”) denotes the set of all elements in \( U \) which do not belong to A:

\[ A' = \{ x : x \in U \text{ and } x \notin A \}. \]

* “Connective” is a word like ‘or’, ‘and’, ‘but’, ‘if’, . . .

* Notice that the complement \( A' \) is sometimes denoted \( \neg A \) and pronounced “not A”, or \( \overline{A} \) (pronounced “A bar”), or \( A^c \) (“A compliment”)
Example 3.3.1 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$, $A = \{a, c\}$, $B = \{b, c, f\}$, $C = \{b, d, e, f\}$. Then

$$B \cup C = \{b, c, d, e, f\},$$

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = \{c\},$$

$$A' = \{b, d, e, f\} = C,$$

$$A' \cap (B \cup C) = C \cap (B \cup C) = \{b, d, e, f\} = C.$$

It will be convenient for us to modify predicate notation: instead of writing

$$\{ x : x \in U \text{ and } x \text{ satisfies } \ldots \}$$

we shall write

$$\{ x \in U : x \text{ satisfies } \ldots \}$$
Example 3.3.2

\[ \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^2 = 4 \} = \{-2, 2\} \].

3.4 Relative complement

If \( A \) and \( B \) are two sets, we define the relative complement of \( B \) in \( A \) as

\[ A \setminus B = \{ a \in A : a \notin B \}. \]

Example 3.4.1 If

\[ A = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4 \} \]

and

\[ B = \{ 2, 4, 6, 8 \} \]

then

\[ A \setminus B = \{ 1, 3 \} \].

This operation can be easily expressed in terms of intersection and taking the complement:

\[ A \setminus B = A \cap B'. \]

3.5 Symmetric difference

The symmetric difference of sets \( A \) and \( B \) is defined as

\[ A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A). \]

Sets \( A \), \( B \), and \( A \Delta B \).
It can be seen (check!) that
\[ A \triangle B = \{ x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B, \text{ but } x \notin A \cap B \} \]
and also that
\[ A \triangle B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B). \]

**Example 3.5.1** If \( A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \) and \( B = \{4, 5, 6, 7\} \) then
\[ A \triangle B = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7\}. \]

Please notice that the symmetric difference of sets \( A \) and \( B \) does not depend on the universal set \( U \) to which they belong; the same applies to conjunction \( A \land B \), disjunction \( A \lor B \), and relative complement \( A \setminus B \); it is the complement \( A' \) where we have to take care of the universal set.

### 3.6 Boolean Algebra

When dealing with sets, we have operations \( \cap, \cup \) and \( ' \). The manipulation of expressions involving these symbols is called *Boolean algebra* (after George Boole, 1815–1864). The identities of Boolean algebra * are as follows. (\( A, B \) and \( C \) denote arbitrary sets all of which are subsets of \( U \).)

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap B & = B \cap A \quad \text{commutative laws} \quad (1) \\
A \cup B & = B \cup A
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap A & = A \\
A \cup A & = A \quad \text{idempotent laws} \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap (B \cap C) & = (A \cap B) \cap C \quad \text{associative laws} \quad (3) \\
A \cup (B \cup C) & = (A \cup B) \cup C
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap (B \cup C) & = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \quad \text{distributive laws} \quad (4) \\
A \cup (B \cap C) & = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap (A \cup B) &= A \\
A \cup (A \cap B) &= A \\
\end{align*}
\]
absorption laws \hspace{1cm} (5)

identity laws:

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap U &= A \\
A \cup U &= U \\
A \cup \emptyset &= A \\
A \cap \emptyset &= \emptyset \\
\end{align*}
\]
(6)

complement laws:

\[
\begin{align*}
(A')' &= A \\
A \cap A' &= \emptyset \\
A \cup A' &= U \\
U' &= \emptyset \\
\emptyset' &= U \\
\end{align*}
\]
(7)

\[
\begin{align*}
(A \cap B)' &= A' \cup B' \\
(A \cup B)' &= A' \cap B' \\
\end{align*}
\]
De Morgan’s laws \hspace{1cm} (8)

We shall prove these laws in the next lecture. Meanwhile, notice similarities and differences with laws of usual arithmetic. For example, multiplication is distributive with respect to addition:

\[
a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c),
\]

but addition is not distributive with respect to multiplication: it is NOT TRUE that

\[
a + (b \times c) = (a + b) \times (a + c).
\]

Notice also that the idempotent laws are not so alien to arithmetic as one may think: they hold for zero,

\[
0 + 0 = 0, \quad 0 \times 0 = 0.
\]

3.7 Sample Test Questions

\*

1. Let \( X = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^4 - 1 = 0\} \). Which of the following sets is equal to \( X \)?

* Marking scheme: 2 marks for a correct answer, 0 for an incorrect answer or no answer.
\[ (A) \quad \{1\} \cap \{-1\} \quad (B) \quad \{1\} \quad (C) \quad \{1\} \cup \{-1\} \]

**Answer:** (C), because the set \( X \) equals \{-1, 1\}.

2. Let \( X = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 = x^2\} \). Which of the following sets is equal to \( X \)?

\[ (A) \quad \emptyset \quad (B) \quad \{0, 1\} \quad (C) \quad \{0, 1, -1\} \]

3. How many subsets of \( \{a, b, c, d\} \) contain \( \{d\} \)?

\[ (A) \quad 6 \quad (B) \quad 8 \quad (C) \quad 15 \]

**Answer:** (B), because each of the subsets of \( \{a, b, c, d\} \) that contain \( \{d\} \) can be obtained from a (unique) subset of \( \{a, b, c\} \) by adding element \( d \). But the set of three elements \( \{a, b, c\} \) contains \( 2^3 = 8 \) subsets.

4. How many subsets of \( \{a, b, c, d, e\} \) contain \( \{b, e\} \)?

(A) \quad 8 \quad (B) \quad 15 \quad (C) \quad 6

**Answer:** (A), because if \( X \) is a subset of \( \{a, b, c, d, e\} \) which contains \( \{b, e\} \) then \( Y = X \setminus \{b, e\} \) is a subset of \( \{a, c, d\} \), and there are 8 possible subsets \( Y \) in \( \{a, c, d\} \).

### 3.8 Questions from Students

* This section contains no compulsory material but still may be useful.

1. This question appear to refer to the following problem from a test:

Which of the following sets is finite?

\[ (A) \quad \{1, 2\} \cap \mathbb{R} \quad (B) \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 < 9\} \quad (C) \quad [0, 1] \cap \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right] \]

A student wrote:

> what is the definition of finite and infinite sets?
> because the question that
> you gave us today confused me:
> I think all answers could be correct,
> for example, answer b is \(-3 < x < 3\) and
> I think it is correct.
Answer. A finite set is a set containing only finite number of elements. For example, 1, 2, 3 is finite. A set with infinitely many elements is called an infinite set. The set that you mentioned, \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : -3 < x < 3 \} \) is infinite: there are infinitely many real numbers between -3 and 3.

For example, take a real number which has decimal expansion 1.2345... No matter how you continue write more digits after the decimal point (and this can be done in infinitely many ways), you will have a number which is bigger than -3 and smaller that 3. Therefore the set \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : -3 < x < 3 \} \) is not finite.

However, the set \( \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} : -3 < x < 3 \} \) is finite, it equals \( \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\} \) and therefore has 5 elements.

2. > sorry to disturb you I have got one more question  
> Given that A and B are intersecting sets,  
> show following on venn  
> diagram:A’, AUB’, A’UB’, and A’nB’  
>can you please do these in the lecture

Answer is the following sequence of Venn diagrams:
Sets $A, B, U$.

Sets $A', A \cup B', A' \cup B'$.

Set $A' \cap B'$.

3. Dear Sir,

> Can you please help me with the following question?

[6 marks] Let

$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^4 + x > 2\}$

$B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 < 1\}$

and

$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^8 > 1\}$.

(i) Prove that $A \cap B \subseteq C$. 

Can you say that A and B are disjoint as they do not meet?
And therefore the Empty Set is a subset of C

**Answer:** It would be a valid argument if A and B were indeed disjoint. But they are not; one can easily see that \(-2\) belongs to both A and B.

A correct solution: Assume \(x \in A \cap B\). Then \(x \in A\) and \(x \in B\).
Since \(x \in A\), it satisfies
\[
x^4 + x > 2.
\]
Since \(x \in B\), it satisfies
\[
x^3 < 1
\]
which implies \(x < 1\) which is the same as \(1 > x\). Adding the last inequality to the inequality \(x^4 + x > 2\), one gets
\[
x^4 + x + 1 > 2 + x
\]
which simplifies as
\[
x^4 > 1.
\]
Both parts of this inequality are positive, therefore we can square it and get
\[
x^8 > 1.
\]
But this means that \(x \in C\). Hence \(A \cap B \subseteq C\).

4.
Say for eg you have a situation whereby you have
\[A \cup A' \cup B\]
Does this simply to A U U (which is U) or A U B?
Because i no A U A' is Union but i get confused
when simplifying these when you have A'U B. is it Union or is it B?

**Answer:** You are mixing the union symbol \(\cup\) and letter U used to denote the universal set. The correct calculation is
\[
A \cup A' \cup B = (A \cup A') \cup B = U \cup B = U,
\]
I set it in a large type to emphasise the difference between symbol \(\cup\) and letter U. The answer is U, the universal set.
5.
> Was just wandering about a note I took in your
> lecture that doesn’t seem right.
> I might have copied it down wrong but I wrote:
> > A = ‘Any integer’   B = ‘Any Real Number
> > A union B = any integer
> > Was just wandering whether that should be,
> > A union B = any real number

**Answer:** Of course, you are right: if \( A = \mathbb{Z} \) and \( B = \mathbb{R} \) then

\[
A \cup B = B \text{ and } A \cap B = A.
\]

I believe I gave in my lecture both equalities and also a general statement:

*If \( A \subseteq B \), then \( A \cup B = B \) and \( A \cap B = A \).*
4 Set theory

4.1 Proof of Laws of Boolean Algebra by Venn diagrams

The identities in (1)–(8) of the previous lecture are called the laws of Boolean algebra. Several of them are obvious* because of the definitions of $\cap$, $\cup$ and $. The others may be verified* by drawing Venn diagrams. For example, to verify that

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C),$$

we draw the following diagrams.

![Venn diagram for A intersect (B union C)](image)

(a) A, B, C

![Venn diagram for B union C](image)
(b) B union C

![Venn diagram for A intersect (B union C)](image)
(c) A intersect (B union C)

![Venn diagram for A intersect B](image)
(d) A intersect B
Equality holds* because diagrams (c) and (f) are the same.

Because of the associative laws in (1) of the previous lecture, we can write \( A \cap B \cap C \) and \( A \cup B \cup C \) with unambiguous* meanings. But we must not write \( A \cap B \cup C \) or \( A \cup B \cap C \) without brackets. This is because, in general*

\[
A \cap (B \cup C) \neq (A \cap B) \cup C,
\]

\[
A \cup (B \cap C) \neq (A \cup B) \cap C.
\]

(Give your examples!)

### 4.2 Proving inclusions of sets

To prove the property \( A \subseteq B \) for particular* sets \( A \) and \( B \) we have to prove that every element of \( A \) is an element of \( B \) (see definition of \( \subseteq \)). Sometimes this is clear.* But if not proceed as in the next examples.

**Example.** Let

\[
A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0\}.
\]

Prove that \( A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \).
Solution. Let $x \in A$. Then
\[
\begin{align*}
    x^2 - 3x + 2 &= 0, \\
    (x - 1)(x - 2) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{or} \\
2 \end{cases}
x \in \mathbb{Z}.

4.3 Proving equalities of sets

To prove $A = B$ for particular sets $A$ and $B$ we have to prove $A \subseteq B$ and then $B \subseteq A$.

Recall that a segment $[a, b]$ of the real line $\mathbb{R}$ is defined as the set *
\[
    [a, b] = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq x \leq b \}.
\]

Example 4.3.1 Let $A = [1, 2]$ and $B = [0, 2] \cap [1, 3]$.

Prove that $^*\ A = B$.

Solution. We first prove that
\[
    [1, 2] \subseteq [0, 2] \cap [1, 3].
\]
Let $x \in [1, 2]$. Then $1 \leq x \leq 2$. Hence $0 \leq x \leq 2$ and $1 \leq x \leq 3$. Hence $x \in [0, 2]$ and $x \in [1, 3]$. Hence
\[
x \in [0, 2] \cap [1, 3],
\]
and, since $x$ is an arbitrary * element of $[1, 2]$, this means that * arbitrary = taken at random
\[
[1, 2] \subseteq [0, 2] \cap [1, 3].
\]

Now we prove that
\[
[0, 2] \cap [1, 3] \subseteq [1, 2].
\]
Let $x \in [0, 2] \cap [1, 3]$. Then $x \in [0, 2]$ and $x \in [1, 3]$. Hence *
hence
= therefore, for this reason, thus, consequently, so
0 \leq x \leq 2 and 1 \leq x \leq 3. Therefore x \geq 1 and x \leq 2. For this reason 1 \leq x \leq 2. Consequently, x \in [1, 2].

**Comment:** In a lecture, an alternative* method could be used for solving a similar problem. It is based on a graphic representation of segments \([a, b]\) on the real line \(\mathbb{R}\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 & 2 \\
1 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\(\mathbb{R}\)

One can immediately see* from this picture that

\([0, 2] \cap [1, 3] = [1, 2].\)

Similarly, an **interval*** \(]a, b[\) of the real line \(\mathbb{R}\) is defined as the set

\(]a, b[ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b \}.\)

**Example 4.3.2** Notice that

\([0, 1] \cap [1, 2] = \{1\}\)

while

\(]0, 1[ \cap ]1, 2[ = \emptyset.\)

**Do not mix notation** \{a, b\}, \([a, b]\), \(]a, b[\)!

**Example 4.3.3** Please notice that if \(a > b\) then

\([a, b] = ]a, b[ = \emptyset.\)

Indeed, in that case there are no real numbers \(x\) which satisfy

\(a \leq x \leq b \text{ or } a < x < b.\)
4.4 Proving equalities of sets by Boolean Algebra

Inclusions of sets can be proven from Laws of Boolean Algebra.

Indeed it is easy to prove by Venn Diagrams that

\[ A \subseteq B \iff A \cap B = A \iff A \cup B = B \]

Example 4.4.1 Prove that if \( A \subseteq B \) then

\[ A \cup C \subseteq B \cup C. \]

Solution. \( A \subseteq B \) is the same as

\[ A \cup B = B. \]

Now we compute:

\[
(A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C) = ((A \cup C) \cup B) \cup C
\]

(by associativity of \( \cup \))

\[ = (A \cup (C \cup B)) \cup C \]

(by associativity of \( \cup \))

\[ = (A \cup (B \cup C)) \cup C \]

(by commutativity of \( \cup \))

\[ = ((A \cup B) \cup C) \cup C \]

(by associativity of \( \cup \))

\[ = (B \cup C) \cup C \]

(by the observation above)

\[ = B \cup (C \cup C) \]

(by associativity of \( \cup \))

\[ = B \cup C \]

(by the idempotent law for \( \cup \)).

Hence

\[ A \cup C \subseteq B \cup C. \]
4.5 Sample test questions

1. Which of the following sets is infinite?
   
   (A) \{0, 1\} \cap \mathbb{R} \quad (B) \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 < 4 \} \quad (C) [0, 1] \cap [\frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2}]
   
   **Answer.** (B). Indeed, this set is
   
   \{-2 < x < 2\} = ]-2, 2[
   
   and is infinite. The set A is finite because it is a subset of a finite set \{0, 1\}. The set C is empty and therefore finite.

2. Which of the following sets is finite?
   
   (A) \{0, 1\} \cap \mathbb{R} \quad (B) [0, 1] \cap [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}] \quad (C) \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 < 9 \}
   
   **Answer.** (A). Indeed, \{0, 1\} \cap \mathbb{R} = \{0, 1\} and consists of two elements.

3. Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be sets such that $Y \subseteq X$. Which of the following must be true?
   
   (A) $X \cap Z \subseteq Y \cap Z$
   (B) $Y' \cap Z' \supseteq X' \cap Z'$
   (C) $X \cap (Y \cup Z) = Y \cup (X \cap Z)$
   
   **Answer.** (B). Draw a Venn diagram. You may observe that (B) is true also the following way:
   
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   Y & \subseteq X \\
   Y \cup Z & \subseteq X \cup Z \\
   (Y \cup Z)' & \supseteq (X \cup Z)' \\
   Y' \cap Z' & \supseteq X' \cup Z'
   \end{align*}
   \]
   
   Of course you still have to figure out why (A) and (B) cannot always be true.

4.6 Additional Problems:

Some problems solved with the help of Venn diagrams

* This section contains no compulsory material but still may be useful.

Venn diagrams can be used to solve problems of the following type.
Example 1. 100 people are asked about three brands of soft drinks called $A$, $B$ and $C$.

(i) 18 like $A$ only (not $B$ and not $C$).
(ii) 23 like $A$ but not $B$ (and like $C$ or don’t like $C$).
(iii) 26 like $A$ (and like or don’t like other drinks).
(iv) 8 like $B$ and $C$ (and like $A$ or don’t like $A$).
(v) 48 like $C$ (and like or don’t like other drinks).
(vi) 8 like $A$ and $C$ (and like or don’t like $B$).
(vii) 54 like one and only one of the drinks.

Find how many people like $B$ and find how many people don’t like any of the drinks.

For solution, we draw a Venn diagram. Let

$a$ be number of people liking $A$ only
$b$ be number of people liking $B$ only
$c$ be number of people liking $C$ only
$d$ be number of people liking $A$ and $B$ but not $C$
$e$ be the number of people who like $A$ and $C$, but not $B$.
$f$ be the number of people who like $B$ and $C$, but not $A$.
$g$ be the number of people who like all three products $A$, $B$, and $C$.

$h$ be number of people liking none of the drinks,

as shown on the Venn diagram below.

From (i)–(vii) we get

(i) $a = 18$
(ii) $a + e = 23$
(iii) $a + d + e + g = 26$
(iv) $f + g = 8$
(v) $c + e + f + g = 48$
(vi) $e + g = 8$
(vii) $a + b + c = 54$

We also have
(viii) \( a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h = 100 \)

Now (i) gives \(* a = 18, (ii) gives e = 5, (vi) gives g = 3, * gives = yields (iii) gives d = 0, (iv) gives f = 5, (v) gives c = 35, (vii) gives b = 1, (viii) gives h = 33.

Therefore the number of people who like B is

\[ b + d + f + g = 9, \]

and the number of people who like none is \( h = 33. \)  

Example 2. \( X \) and \( Y \) are sets with the following three properties.

(i) \( X' \) has 12 elements.
(ii) \( Y' \) has 7 elements.
(iii) \( X \cap Y' \) has 4 elements.

How many elements in \( X' \cap Y'? \)

(A) 6   (B) 8   (C) 9
**Answer.** (C).

**Brief solution.** Denote $x = |X \cap Y'|$, $y = |X' \cap Y|$ (this is what we have to find), $z = |X \cap Y|$, $t = |(X \cup Y)'|$ (make a Venn diagram!), then

\[
\begin{align*}
|X'| &= y + t = 12 \\
|Y'| &= x + t = 7 \\
|X \cap Y'| &= x = 4
\end{align*}
\]

Excluding unknowns, we find $t = 3$ and $y = 9$. \qed

**Detailed solution.** Recall that we use notation $|A|$ for the number of elements in a finite set $A$.

Denote $x = |X \cap Y'|$, $y = |X' \cap Y|$ (this is what we have to find), $z = |X \cap Y|$, $t = |(X \cup Y)'|$, see a Venn diagram below.

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
|X'| &= y + t = 12 \\
|Y'| &= x + t = 7 \\
|X \cap Y'| &= x = 4
\end{align*}
\]

So we have a system of three equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
y + t &= 12 \\
x + t &= 7 \\
x &= 4
\end{align*}
\]

Excluding unknowns, we find $t = 3$ and $y = 9$.

This last step can be written in more detail. Substituting the value $x = 3$ from the third equation into the second equations, we get

\[4 + t = 7,\]
which solves as \( t = 3 \). Now we substitute this value of \( t \) in the first equation and get

\[
y + 3 = 12;
\]
solving it, we have \( y = 9 \).

\[\square\]

4.7 Questions from students

* This section contains no compulsory material but still may be useful.

1. A survey was made of 25 people to ask about
   their use of products A and B. The following information was recorded: 14 people used only one of the products; 9 people did not use B; 11 people did not use A.
   (i) How many people used A?
   (ii) How many people used both products?

Answer. A solution is straightforward: denote

\[
a \quad \text{number of people using A but not B} \\
b \quad \text{number of people using B but not A} \\
c \quad \text{number of people using both A and B} \\
d \quad \text{number of people not using any product}
\]

(it is useful to draw a Venn diagram and see that \( a, b, c, d \) correspond to its 4 regions).

Then

- “14 people used only one of the products” means \( a+b = 14 \)
- “9 people did not use B” means \( a+d = 9 \)
- “11 people did not use A” means \( b+d = 11 \)
- Finally, \( a+b+c+d = 25 \).

Thus you have a system of 4 linear equations with 4 variables:

\[
\begin{align*}
a + b &= 14 \\
a + d &= 9 \\
b + d &= 11 \\
a + b + c + d &= 25
\end{align*}
\]

and it is easy to solve; I leave it you to work out details. Answer: \( a = 6, b = 8, c = 8, d = 3 \).
5 Propositional Logic

5.1 Statements

A statement (or proposition) is a sentence which states or asserts something. It is either true or false. If true, we say that the statement has truth value $T$. If false, it has truth value $F$.

Example 5.1.1  
- "London is the capital of England" has truth value $T$.
- $2 \times 2 = 5$ has truth value $F$.
- "Are you asleep?" is not a statement.

Mathematically we do not distinguish between statements which make the same assertion, expressed differently. For example, "The capital of England is London" is regarded as equal to "London is the capital of England".

We use $p, q, r, \ldots$ to denote statements.

5.2 Conjunction

If $p$ and $q$ are statements then "$p$ and $q$" is a new statement called the conjunction of $p$ and $q$ and written $p \land q$. According to mathematical convention, $p \land q$ has truth value $T$ when both $p$ and $q$ have truth value $T$, but $p \land q$ has truth value $F$ in all other cases. Here is the truth table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$p \land q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$F$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 5.2.1  
- Suppose $p$ is "2 is even" and $q$ is "5 is odd". Then $p \land q$ is "2 is even and 5 is odd". Since $p$ has truth value $T$ and $q$ has truth value $T$, $p \land q$ has truth value $T$ (1st row of the table).
• “3 is odd and 2 is odd” has truth value $\mathbb{F}$ (see 2nd row of the truth table).

• If we know $q$ is true but $p \land q$ is false we can deduce that $p$ is false (the only possibility in the truth table).

\[ p \land q \]

$p \land q$ is sometimes expressed without using “and”. For example, “Harry is handsome, but George is rich” is the same, mathematically, as “Harry is handsome and George is rich”.

### 5.3 Disjunction

“$p$ or $q$” is called the disjunction of $p$ and $q$ and written $p \lor q$.

**Truth table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( q )</th>
<th>( p \lor q )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( F )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In effect, this table tells us how “or” is used in mathematics: it has the meaning of “and/or” (“inclusive” meaning of “or”).

Note that $p \lor q$ is true if at least one of $p$ and $q$ is true. It is only false when both $p$ and $q$ are false.

**Example 5.3.1**

• Suppose $p$ is “4 is odd” and $q$ is “5 is odd”. Then $p \lor q$ is “4 is odd or 5 is odd”. Since $p$ has truth value $\mathbb{F}$ and $q$ has truth value $\mathbb{T}$, $p \lor q$ has truth value $\mathbb{T}$ (3rd row of truth table).

• “3 > 4 or 5 > 6” has truth value $\mathbb{F}$ (see 4th row of truth table).

### 5.4 Negation

The statement obtained from $p$ by use of the word “not” is called the negation* of $p$ and is written $\neg p$. For example, if

\[ \neg p \]

* The typographical symbol $\lor$ is called “vee”.

* In Computer Science, the exclusive version of “or” is also used, it is usually called XOR (for eXclusive OR) and is denoted $p \oplus q$. Its truth table is

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>p \oplus q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( F )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( T )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>( F )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\end{array}
\]

* Symbols sometimes used to denote negation: $\neg p$, $\bar{p}$.

$\neg p$ is sometimes called “the opposite of $p$”
$p$ is “I like coffee” then $\sim p$ is “I don’t like coffee”. The truth value of $\sim p$ is the opposite of the truth value of $p$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$\sim p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 5.4.1** “2 is odd” is false, but “2 is not odd” is true.

□

### 5.5 Conditional

Suppose $p$ and $q$ are statements. The statement “If $p$ then $q$”, denoted $p \rightarrow q$, is called a conditional statement. The truth values to be given to $p \rightarrow q$ are open to some debate but the mathematical convention is as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$p \rightarrow q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You must work according to this table whether you like it or not!

The convention is that $p \rightarrow q$ is true when $p$ is false, regardless of the truth value of $q$. Rough explanation: when $p$ is false there is nothing wrong with $p \rightarrow q$ because it means “if $p$ then $q$” and so makes an assertion only when $p$ is true.

Another explanation: some conditional statements can be thought of as statements of promise. For example:

*If I have no cold, I’ll come to class.*

Here $p$ is “I have no cold” and $q$ is “I’ll come to class”. If $p$ is false, that is, if I have cold, you would agree that I have kept my promise even if I have not come to class (in which case $q$ is false).

* This example is expanded at the end of this lecture.
Perhaps the most surprising is the third row of the table. You may think of it as the principle of the absolute priority of Truth: Truth is Truth regardless of how we came to it or from whom we heard it. This is because our statements are about the world around us and are true if they describe the world correctly.* For a statement to be true, it is not necessary to receive it from a source of authority or trust.

Statements of promise also give a good explanation. Returning to the phrase if I have no cold, I’ll come to class, you would agree that if I have cold (p is F) but nevertheless came to class (q is T), I have kept my promise and told the truth; hence F → T is T.

**Example 5.5.1**  
Suppose p is “4 > 1” and q is “3 = 5.” Then p → q is “If 4 > 1 then 3 = 5”. This is false because p is true and q is false (see 2nd row of truth table).

- “If 3 = 5 then 2 = 0” is true (see 4th row of truth table).
- “If 3 = 5 then 2 = 2” is true (see 3rd row of truth table).
- If p → q has truth value F we can deduce that p is true and q is false (only the second row of the truth table gives p → q false). □

Statements of the form p → q usually arise only when there is a “variable” or “unknown” involved.

**Example 5.5.2** “If x > 2 then x² > 4” is a true statement, whatever the value of x. For example, when x = 3, x² = 9 > 4 and when x = 4, x² = 16 > 4. The statement is regarded as true, by convention, for values of x which do not satisfy x > 2. For numbers like x = −1 we do not care whether x² > 4 is true. □

The following example illustrates different expression of p → q in English. Let p be “x > 2 and q be “x² > 4”. Then all of the following expresses p → q.

- If x > 2 then x² > 4.

* This is why in the literature, our rule for implication is sometimes called material implication: it is about material world.
• $x^2 > 4$ if $x > 2$.
• $x > 2$ implies $x^2 > 4$.
• $x > 2$ only if $x^2 > 4$.
• $x > 2$ is sufficient condition for $x^2 > 4$.
• $x^2 > 4$ is necessary condition for $x > 2$.

5.6 Questions from students

* This section contains no compulsory material but still may be useful.

1. I'm having a bit of trouble with the propositional logic conditional statement.
   Surely if p implies q and p is false but q is true,
   the statement that p implies q is false?
   I know you said we would have trouble with this but
   I've found it difficult to trust my own logical reasoning when working out subsequently more
   complex compound statements. Could you suggest a more logical way of approaching this concept?

   ANSWER. I expand my example with interpretation of implication as promise. I am using here a large fragment from Peter Suber's paper *Paradoxes of Material Implication*, http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/courses/log/mat-imp.htm.

   It is important to note that material implication does conform to some of our ordinary intuitions about implication. For example, take the conditional statement,

   "If I am healthy, I will come to class."

   We can symbolize it, $H \rightarrow C$. The question is: when is this statement false? When will I have broken my promise?

   There are only four possibilities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H$</th>
<th>$C$</th>
<th>$H \rightarrow C$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   In case #1, I am healthy and I come to class. I have clearly kept my promise; the conditional is true.
In case #2, I am healthy, but I have decided to stay home and read magazines. I have broken my promise; the conditional is false.

In case #3, I am not healthy, but I have come to class anyway. I am sneezing all over you, and you’re not happy about it, but I did not violate my promise; the conditional is true.

In case #4, I am not healthy, and I did not come to class. I did not violate my promise; the conditional is true.

But this is exactly the outcome required by the material implication. The compound is only false when the antecedent \( H \) is true and the consequent is false (case #2); it is true every other time.

Many people complain about case #4, when a false antecedent and a false consequent make a true compound. Why should this be the case?

If the promise to come to class didn’t persuade you, here’s an example from mathematics.

“If \( n \) is a perfect square, then \( n \) is not prime.”

I hope you’ll agree that this is a true statement for any \( n \). Now substitute 3 for \( n \):

“If 3 is a perfect square, then 3 is not prime.”

As a compound, it is still true; yet its antecedent and consequent are both false.

Even more fun is to substitute 6 for \( n \):

“If 6 is a perfect square, then 6 is not prime.”

it is a true conditional, but its antecedent is false and consequent is true.

> Unfortunately, case #4 seemed perfectly logical to me. It was case #3 which I found illogical. If I told you that I would come to class IF I was not sick, and yet I came to class despite being sick, surely my promise was not honoured? If I had said I MAY not come to class if I am sick then I would always be honouring my promise so long as I came to class when I was well... Is this a more appropriate way to think about it? Would I have problems using the ‘may’ component?

**Answer.** An excellent question. I wish to emphasise:

Propositional Logic is designed for communication with machines, it gives only very crude description of the way how natural human language. Such constructions as “I MAY” are too subtle for Propositional Logic to capture their meaning.
Therefore we have to live with rules of material implication as they are: they present a best possible compromise between language for people and language for machines.

Logical constructions of the kind “I MAY” are studied in a more sophisticated branch of logic, Modal Logic. I simply copy the following description of Modal Logic from Wikipedia:

A modal logic is any system of formal logic that attempts to deal with modalities. Traditionally, there are three ‘modes’ or ‘moods’ or ‘modalities’ of the copula to be, namely, possibility, probability, and necessity. Logics for dealing with a number of related terms, such as eventually, formerly, can, could, might, may, must, are by extension also called modal logics, since it turns out that these can be treated in similar ways.

But we are not studying Modal Logics in our course. However, they are taught in Year 4 of School of Mathematics.
6 Propositional Logic, Continued

6.1 Converse

Notice that \( p \rightarrow q \) and \( q \rightarrow p \) are different; \( q \rightarrow p \) is called the converse of \( p \rightarrow q \).

**Example 6.1.1** Let \( p = "x > 2" \) and \( q = "x^2 > 4" \). Then \( p \rightarrow q \) is “If \( x > 2 \) then \( x^2 > 4" \) – TRUE. But \( q \rightarrow p \) is “If \( x^2 > 4 \) then \( x > 2" \). This is FALSE (for \( x = -3 \), for example).

6.2 Biconditional

“\( p \) if and only if \( q \)” is denoted by \( p \leftrightarrow q \) and called the biconditional of \( p \) and \( q \). The truth table is as follows.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( q )</th>
<th>( p \leftrightarrow q )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So, if \( p \) and \( q \) are both true or both false then \( p \leftrightarrow q \) is true: otherwise it is false.

The biconditional \( p \leftrightarrow q \) can be expressed as

“\( p \) if and only if \( q \)”

or

“\( p \) is a necessary and sufficient condition for \( q \)”.

**Example 6.2.1**

“\( x > 2 \) if and only if \( x + 1 > 3 \)” is the same as

“For \( x > 2 \) it is necessary and sufficient that \( x + 1 > 3 \)”.

\( p \leftrightarrow q \) may be thought of as a combination of \( p \rightarrow q \) and \( q \rightarrow p \).

* Notice that, in mathematical literature and blackboard writing, the expression “if and only if” is sometimes abbreviated “iff”.

---

---
6.3 XOR

Excluded OR, or XOR $p \oplus q$ is defined by the truth table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$p \oplus q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is the exclusive version of “or” (as opposed to inclusive “or” $\lor$). XOR is widely used in computer programming and Computer Science. Its name is an abbreviation of eXclusive OR.

Read more on XOR in Section 7.3.

6.4 Compound statements and truth tables

The symbols $\land$, $\lor$, $\neg$, $\rightarrow$, $\leftrightarrow$, and $\oplus$ are called connectives.

Compound statements may be built up from statements by means of connectives. We use brackets for punctuation as in

$$(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg r \land q).$$

We take the convention that $\neg$ applies only to the part of the expression which comes immediately after it. Thus $\neg r \land q$ means $(\neg r) \land q$, which is not the same as $\neg (r \land q)$.

The truth value of a compound statement involving statements $p, q, r, \ldots$ can be calculated from the truth values of $p, q, r, \ldots$ as follows.

**Example 6.4.1** Find the truth table of

$$\neg (p \rightarrow (q \lor r)).$$

**Solution** (We take 8 rows because there are 3 variables $p, q, r, \ldots$ each with two possible truth values.)

* Some typographic terminology: in the expression

$$\neg (p \rightarrow (q \lor r))$$

the first opening bracket and the last closing bracket (they are underlined) match each other. This is another pair of matching brackets:

$$\neg (p \rightarrow (q \lor r)).$$

See more in Section 6.7.
Please always write the rows in this order, it will help you to easier check your work for errors.

(We get each of the last 3 columns by use of the truth tables for $\lor$, $\to$ and $\sim$.)

This can also be set out as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc|c}
\sim (p \to (q \lor r)) & F & T & T & T \\
& T & T & T & T \\
& F & T & T & F \\
& T & T & F & T \\
& T & F & F & F \\
& F & T & T & T \\
& F & T & F & T \\
& F & F & T & F \\
& F & F & F & T \\
\end{array}
\]

(The truth values for $p, q, r$ (8 possibilities) are entered first:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc|c}
\sim (p \to (q \lor r)) & T & T & T & T \\
& T & T & F & F \\
& T & F & T & F \\
& T & F & T & F \\
& F & T & T & F \\
& F & T & F & T \\
& F & F & T & F \\
& F & F & T & F \\
\end{array}
\]
Then the other columns are completed in order 5, 3, 1.)

Example 6.4.2 Find the truth table of \( p \land (\sim q \rightarrow p) \).

Solution

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
p & q & \sim q & \sim q \rightarrow p & p \land (\sim q \rightarrow p) \\
\hline
T & T & F & T & T \\
T & F & T & T & T \\
F & T & F & T & F \\
F & F & T & F & F \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

or

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
p & \land & (\sim & q & \rightarrow & p) \\
\hline
T & T & F & T & T & T \\
T & T & T & F & T & T \\
F & F & F & T & T & F \\
F & F & T & F & F & F \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

6.5 Tautologies

The statements

\[ p \lor \sim p \text{ and } (p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q \]

have the following truth tables.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
p & \sim p & p \lor \sim p \\
\hline
T & F & T \\
F & T & T \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
p & q & p \rightarrow q & p \land (p \rightarrow q) & (p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q \\
\hline
T & T & T & T & T \\
T & F & F & F & T \\
F & T & T & F & T \\
F & F & T & F & T \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
Only $T$ occurs in the last column. In other words, the truth value of the statement is always $T$, regardless of the truth values of its components $p, q, r, \ldots$. A statement with this property is called a \textit{tautology}.

\textbf{Example 6.5.1}  

(i) Let $p = \text{“It is raining”}$. Then $p \lor \sim p$ is \textquotedblleft Either it is raining or it is not raining\textquotedblright. This is true regardless of whether it is raining or not.

(ii) Let $p = \text{“}x > 2\text{”}$ and $q = \text{“}y > 2\text{”}$. Then 

\[ (p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q \]

is \textquotedblleft If $x > 2$, and $x > 2$ implies $y > 2$, then $y > 2$.\textquotedblright. This is true because 

\[ (p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q \]

is a tautology: the meanings of $p$ and $q$ are not important. \hfill \Box

We can think of tautologies as statements which are true for entirely logical reasons.

\section{6.6 Contradictions}

A statement which is always $F$ regardless of the truth values of its components $p, q, r, \ldots$ is called a \textit{contradiction}. (Only $F$ occurs in the last column of the truth table.)

\textbf{Example 6.6.1} $p \land \sim p$. It is raining and it is not raining.\hfill \Box

\section{6.7 Matching brackets: a hard question}

\* 

This is a continuation of discussion in started in a marginal comment on Page 53.

It is obvious that in a sequence of brackets 

\[
( ( ) ( ( ( ) ) ) )
\]
properly match each other and correspond to a valid algebraic expression, for example

\[ ((a + b) \times (c + ((d \div e) + f))) \]

or

\[ ((a \lor b) \land (c \lor ((d \implies e) \lor f))) \]

while brackets

\[
( ( ) ) ( ) ( ( ) )
\]

do not match each other properly.

**Problem (non-compulsory and hard).** Formulate a simple and easy to use rule which allows to distinguish between “correct” and “incorrect” combinations of brackets.

### 6.8 Sample test questions

Do not expect that questions in the test will be exactly of the same type!

1. Given that \( p \lor q \) is \( T \) and \( q \lor r \) is \( F \), which of the following statements is \( T \)?

   (A) \((p \rightarrow q) \lor r \)
   (B) \((p \land q) \leftrightarrow r \)
   (C) \((\sim p \land q) \rightarrow r \)

2. Which of the following statements is a tautology?

   (A) \((p \rightarrow q) \lor (\sim p \rightarrow q) \)
   (B) \((p \land q) \lor (\sim p \land \sim q) \)
   (C) \((q \rightarrow p) \lor (\sim p \rightarrow \sim q) \)

**Answers:** 1C, 2A.

**Hints:** In Question 1, since \( q \lor r \equiv F \), we have \( q \equiv F \) and \( r \equiv F \). Hence \( p \lor q \equiv q \lor F \equiv F \equiv T \), which means \( q \equiv T \). Now we know the truth values of \( p \), \( q \), and \( r \), and the rest is easy.

In Question 2, one can compose truth tables for propositions (A), (B), and (C) (which takes time), or start asking questions. For example, for (A), when \((p \rightarrow q) \lor (\sim p \rightarrow q) \) is \( F \)? Obviously, only if both \( p \rightarrow q \)
and \( \sim p \rightarrow q \) are \( F \). But if \( p \rightarrow q \equiv F \) then \( p \equiv T \) and \( q \equiv F \). But then \( \sim p \rightarrow q \equiv T \). Hence the proposition (A) is never \( F \), hence it is a tautology.

One more approach to Question 2 is to rewrite the propositions using Boolean Laws. For example, (C) can be rearranged as

\[
(q \rightarrow p) \lor (\sim p \rightarrow \sim q) \\
\equiv (\sim q \lor p) \lor (\sim p \lor \sim q) \\
\equiv \sim q \lor p \lor \sim p \lor \sim q \\
\equiv p \lor \sim q.
\]

But \( p \lor \sim q \) is not a tautology!

Or you can assess first the meaning of the statements. In (B), one can easily see that the meaning of the statement is that \( p \) and \( q \) are both true or both false; now take \( p \equiv T \) and \( q \equiv F \), and you instantly see that (B) becomes \( F \). Hence (B) is not a tautology.

Also, for (C) you can observe that \( q \rightarrow p \) and \( \sim p \rightarrow \sim q \) are conditional statements contrapositive to each other, and therefore logically equivalent, and therefore their disjunction is logically equivalent to each of them, say to \( q \rightarrow p \) – which is not a tautology.

As you can see, there is a variety of methods for checking whether a statement is a tautology or not. It is useful to learn to understand which of this methods best suits a particular statement.

### 6.9 Questions from students

*This section contains no compulsory material but still may be useful.*

1. When drawing truth tables, I found that there are 2 types of you can do, is the correct method putting in T or F values underneath each of the symbols or I have seen in our notes that the answer can still be found without finding out each symbol and by breaking up the particular question.

   For example the question \( \sim q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \) can a truth table be written in the exam as this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>( \sim q )</th>
<th>( (p\rightarrow q) )</th>
<th>( \sim q \rightarrow (p\rightarrow q) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   etc. Will you be given full marks for this method or must you include values for each symbol?
My answer: either way of composing truth tables is valid, can be used in the exam and be given full marks.
But please, try to write in a neat and comprehensible way, so that table looks like a table and is not stretched diagonally all over page.

2. > can I have a simple English sentence illustrates
   > this statement p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)?
My answer: quite a number of English sentences built around an expression “even without” or “even if” belong to this type. For example, “the turkey is good, even without all the trimmings”:
   p is “the turkey is good”
   q is “without all the trimmings”.
The statement \( p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p) \) becomes
   “the turkey is good, and for that reason, even without all the trimmings, the turkey is still good”.

3. There is an example in the note which is :

   Given that \( p \lor q \) is T and \( q \lor r \) is F,

   2. Which of the following statements is a tautology?
   (A) \( (p \rightarrow q) \lor (\neg p \rightarrow q) \)
   (B) \( (p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q) \)
   (C) \( (q \rightarrow p) \lor (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \)

   The mentioned answer is A

   But when we apply the truth table we find C True as well.
My answer: Your question refers to Question 2 on Page 57, but the sentence

   Given that \( p \lor q \) is T and \( q \lor r \) is F,

   is from Question 1 and has no relation to Question 2 – perhaps this is the reason for your misunderstanding.
7 Logically equivalent statements

7.1 Logical equivalence: definitions and first examples

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two statements built up from the same components $p, q, r, \ldots$. If the truth value of $X$ is the same as the truth value of $Y$ for every combination of truth values of $p, q, r, \ldots$ then $X$ and $Y$ are said to be logically equivalent. In other words $X$ and $Y$ are logically equivalent if the final columns of their truth tables are the same.

Example 7.1.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$p \land q$</th>
<th>$\neg (p \land q)$</th>
<th>$\neg p$</th>
<th>$\neg q$</th>
<th>$\neg p \lor \neg q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Columns * and ** are the same, i.e. * for every choice of truth values for $p$ and $q$, $\neg (p \land q)$ and $\neg p \lor \neg q$ have the same truth values. Thus $\neg (p \land q)$ and $\neg p \lor \neg q$ are logically equivalent. □

If $X$ and $Y$ are logically equivalent statements we write $X \equiv Y$.

Example 7.1.2 $\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg \neg p \lor \neg q$.

A particular case of this is shown by taking

$p = \text{"You are French"}$ and
$q = \text{"You are a woman"}.$

Then

$\neg (p \land q) = \text{"You are not a French woman"}$ and
$\neg p \lor \neg q = \text{"Either you are not French or you are not a woman"}.$ □
7.2 Boolean algebra, revisited

The logical equivalence

\[ \sim(p \land q) \equiv \sim p \lor \sim q \]

is analogous to the set theory identity

\[ (A \cap B)' = A' \cup B'. \]

In fact it is remarkable that if we replace \( \cap \) by \( \land \), \( \cup \) by \( \lor \), \( ' \) by \( \sim \), \( U \) by \( T \) (to denote a tautology) and \( \emptyset \) by \( F \) (to denote a contradiction) then all the rules of Boolean algebra turn into logical equivalences.

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \land q & \equiv q \land p \quad \text{(commutative laws)} \\
    p \lor q & \equiv q \lor p \\
    p \land p & \equiv p \quad \text{(idempotent laws)} \\
    p \lor p & \equiv p \\
    p \land (q \land r) & \equiv (p \land q) \land r \quad \text{(associative laws)} \\
    p \lor (q \lor r) & \equiv (p \lor q) \lor r \\
   \end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \land (q \lor r) & \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r) \quad \text{(distributive laws)} \\
    p \lor (q \land r) & \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r) \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \land (p \lor q) & \equiv p \quad \text{(absorption laws)} \\
    p \lor (p \land q) & \equiv p \\
    p \land T & \equiv p \quad \text{ } \quad p \lor T \equiv T \\
    p \lor F & \equiv p \quad \text{ } \quad p \land F \equiv F \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    \sim(\sim p) & \equiv p \\
    p \land \sim p & \equiv F \\
    p \lor \sim p & \equiv T \\
    \sim T & \equiv F \\
    \sim F & \equiv T \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    \sim(p \land q) & \equiv \sim p \lor \sim q \quad \text{(De Morgan’s laws)} \\
    \sim(p \lor q) & \equiv \sim p \land \sim q \\
\end{align*}
\]
They may all be proved by means of truth tables as we did for
\[ \sim(p \land q) \equiv \sim p \lor \sim q. \]

Similarly:

\[ p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \lor q \] \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p) \] \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ p \oplus q \equiv (p \land \sim q) \lor (\sim p \land q) \] \hspace{1cm} (11)

We call (1)–(8) the fundamental logical equivalences. Rules 9, 10, and 11 enable us to rewrite $\rightarrow$, $\leftrightarrow$, and $\oplus$ entirely in terms of $\land$, $\lor$ and $\sim$. Expressions involving $\land$, $\lor$ and $\sim$ can be manipulated by means of rules (1)–(8).

**Example 7.2.1** Simplify $\sim p \lor (p \land q)$.

\[ \sim p \lor (p \land q) \equiv (\sim p \lor p) \land (\sim p \lor q) \] \hspace{1cm} \text{by (4)}
\[ \equiv (p \lor \sim p) \land (\sim p \lor q) \] \hspace{1cm} \text{by (1)}
\[ \equiv T \land (\sim p \lor q) \] \hspace{1cm} \text{by (7)}
\[ \equiv (\sim p \lor q) \land T \] \hspace{1cm} \text{by (1)}
\[ \equiv \sim p \lor q. \] \hspace{1cm} \text{by (6)}

To determine whether or not statements $X$ and $Y$ are logically equivalent we use truth tables. If the final columns are the same then $X \equiv Y$, otherwise $X \not\equiv Y$.

If we are trying to prove $X \equiv Y$ we can either use truth tables or we can try to obtain $Y$ from $X$ by means of fundamental logical equivalences (1)–(10).

**Example 7.2.2** Prove that $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p \equiv p \rightarrow q$. 
We could use truth tables or proceed as follows

\[ \sim q \to \sim p \quad \text{by (9)} \equiv \sim q \lor \sim p \]
\[ \quad \text{by (7)} \equiv q \lor \sim p \]
\[ \quad \text{by (1)} \equiv \sim p \lor q \]
\[ \quad \text{by (9)} \equiv p \to q. \]

### 7.3 “Either or” and “neither nor”

I am frequently asked by students whether an expression of everyday language “either \( p \) or \( q \)” is expressed in Propositional Logic by the “exclusive or” connective \( \oplus \). My answer is yes, it is so in most cases, but not always; you have to look at the context where the expression “either or” is used. There is an additional difficulty: if “either \( p \) or \( q \)” is understood as \( p \oplus q \), that is “either \( p \), or \( q \), but not both”, then the expression of everyday language “neither \( p \) nor \( q \)” is NOT the negation of ”either \( p \) or \( q \)”. Sketch Venn diagrams and see it for yourselves.

**Example 7.3.1** Let \( p \) means “John lives in Peterborough” and \( q \) means “John lives in Queensferry”.

Then \( p \oplus q \) means

“John lives either in Peterborough, or in Queensferry, but not in both”.

The negation \( \sim (p \oplus q) \) is

“John either does not live in Peterborough or in Queensferry, or lives in both of them”,

while

“John lives neither in Petersborough nor in Queensferry”

is \( (p \lor q) \).

Notice that \( \sim (p \oplus q) \) is not logically equivalent to \( \sim (p \lor q) \).
7.4 Exercises

1. Prove all Fundamental Logical Equivalences (1) – (11) by computing truth tables.

2. Use Fundamental Logical Equivalences (1) – (11) to prove logical equivalences involving XOR:

\[
\begin{align*}
 p \oplus F & \equiv p \\
 p \oplus p & \equiv F \\
 p \oplus q & \equiv q \oplus p \quad \text{(commutativity)} \\
 (p \oplus q) \oplus r & \equiv p \oplus (q \oplus r) \quad \text{(associativity)}
\end{align*}
\]

and logical equivalences involving XOR and the negation:

\[
\begin{align*}
 p \oplus \sim p & \equiv T \\
 p \oplus T & \equiv \sim p
\end{align*}
\]

3. Prove, by constructing truth table and by drawing Venn diagrams, that \( \sim (p \oplus q) \) is not logically equivalent to \( (p \lor q) \).
   (See Section 7.3 for discussion.)

7.5 Sample test question

1. Which of the following statements is logically equivalent to

\[ p \land \sim (p \rightarrow q)? \]

(A) \( q \rightarrow p \)  (B) \( p \land \sim q \)  (C) \( F \)

7.6 Questions from students

1. > In the exam are we going to receive a formula sheet with rules of boolean algebra?
   
   Answer. Yes, you are. AB
8 Predicate Logic

8.1 Predicates

Many mathematical sentences involve “unknowns” or “variables”.

Example 8.1.1  
(i) \( x > 2 \) (where \( x \) stands for an unknown real number).

(ii) \( A \subseteq B \) (where \( A \) and \( B \) stand for unknown sets).

Such sentences are called predicates. They are not statements because they do not have a definite truth value: the truth value depends on the unknowns.

Example 8.1.2  
(i) \( x > 2 \) is \( \mathbb{T} \) for \( x = 3, 3\frac{1}{2}, \) etc., \( \mathbb{F} \) for \( x = 2, -1, \) etc.

(ii) \( A \subseteq B \) is \( \mathbb{T} \) for \( A = \{1, 2\}, B = \mathbb{R}. \) 

\( A \subseteq B \) is \( \mathbb{F} \) for \( A = \{1, 2\}, B = \{2, 3, 4\}. \)

We can write \( p(x), q(x), \ldots \) for predicates involving an unknown \( x \), \( p(x, y), q(x, y), \ldots \) when there are unknowns \( x \) and \( y \), \( p(A, B), q(A, B), \ldots \) when there are unknowns \( A \) and \( B \), etc.

Example 8.1.3  
(i) Let \( p(x) \) denote the predicate \( x > 2 \). Then \( p(1) \) denotes the statement \( 1 > 2 \) (truth value \( \mathbb{F} \)) while \( p(3) \) denotes the statement \( 3 > 2 \) (truth value \( \mathbb{T} \)).

(ii) Let \( p(x, y) \) denote \( x^2 + y^2 = 1 \). Then \( p(0, 1) \) denotes \( 0^2 + 1^2 = 1 \) (true) while \( p(1, 1) \) denotes \( 1^2 + 1^2 = 1 \) (false).
8.2 Compound predicates

The logical connectives $\land$, $\lor$, $\neg$, $\rightarrow$, $\leftrightarrow$, $\oplus$ can be used to combine predicates to form compound predicates.

Example 8.2.1  
(i) Let $p(x)$ denote $x^2 > 5$ and let $q(x)$ denote “$x$ is positive”. Then $p(x) \land q(x)$ denotes the predicate “$x^2 > 5$ and $x$ is positive”.

(ii) Let $p(x, y)$ denote $x = y^2$. Then $\neg p(x, y)$ denotes $x \neq y^2$.

(iii) Let $p(A, B)$ denote $A \subseteq B$ and let $q(A)$ denote $A \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$. Then $q(A) \rightarrow p(A, B)$ denotes the predicate “If $A \cap \{1, 2\} = \emptyset$ then $A \subseteq B$”. □

We can calculate truth values as follows.

Example 8.2.2 Let $p(x, y)$ denote $x > y$ and let $q(x)$ denote $x < 2$. Find the truth value of the predicate

$$\neg (p(x, y) \land q(x))$$

when $x = 3$ and $y = 1$.

Solution. We need to find the truth value of the statement

$$\neg (p(3, 1) \land q(3)).$$

Now $p(3, 1)$ is $\top$ and $q(3)$ is $\bot$. Therefore $p(3, 1) \land q(3)$ is $\bot$. Therefore

$$\neg (p(3, 1) \land q(3))$$

is $\top$. □

8.3 Sample test question

Let $p(x)$ denote the predicate $x > -1$ and let $q(x)$ denote the predicate $x \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Which of the following statements is true?

□ (A) $p(1) \rightarrow q(-1)$
□ (B) $p(1) \land \sim p(-1)$  
□ (C) $\sim (p(2) \lor q(2))$

**Solution:** Notice that  
$p(1)$ is T, $q(-1)$ is F, $p(-1)$ is F, $p(2)$ is T, $q(2)$ is T  
Therefore statements (A), (B), (C) become  
(A) $T \rightarrow F$,  
(B) $T \land \sim F$,  
(C) $\sim (T \lor T)$,  
of which (B) is T.
9 Quantifiers

9.1 Universal quantifier

Many statements in mathematics involve the phrase “for all” or “for every” or “for each”: these all have the same meaning.

Examples.

(i) For every \( x \), \( x^2 \geq 0 \).

(ii) For all \( A \) and \( B \), \( A \cap B = B \cap A \). \( \square \)

If \( p(x) \) is a predicate we write \((\forall x)p(x)\) to denote the statement “For all \( x \), \( p(x) \)”.

Similarly, \((\forall x)(\forall y)p(x,y)\) denotes “For all \( x \) and all \( y \), \( p(x,y) \)”.

Examples.

(i) Let \( p(x) \) denote \( x^2 \geq 0 \). Then \((\forall x)p(x)\) denotes “For every \( x \), \( x^2 \geq 0 \)” or \( x \), “For each \( x \), \( x^2 \geq 0 \)”.

(ii) Let \( p(A,B) \) denote \( A \cap B = B \cap A \). Then

\[(\forall A)(\forall B)p(A,B)\]

denotes “For all \( A \) and \( B \), \( A \cap B = B \cap A \)”. \( \square \)

When we write \((\forall x)p(x)\) we have in mind that \( x \) belongs to some universal set \( U \). The truth of the statement \((\forall x)p(x)\) may depend on \( U \).

Example. Let \( p(x) \) denote \( x^2 \geq 0 \). Then \((\forall x)p(x)\) is true provided that the universal set is the set of all real numbers, but \((\forall x)p(x)\) is false if \( U = \mathbb{C} \) because \( i^2 = -1 \).

Usually the universal set is understood from the context. But if necessary we may specify it:

“For every real number \( x \), \( x^2 \geq 0 \)”
may be denoted by \((\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) p(x)\) instead of \((\forall x) p(x)\).

If \(p(x)\) is a PREDICATE then
\[
(\forall x)p(x) \text{ is a STATEMENT.}
\]

\((\forall x)p(x)\) is \textbf{true} if \(p(x)\) is true for every \(x \in U\), whereas\(\text{ whereas = while}\)
\((\forall x)p(x)\) is \textbf{false} if \(p(x)\) is false for at least one \(x \in U\).

Similar remarks apply to \((\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y)\), etc.

\textbf{Examples.}

(i) Let \(p(x)\) denote \(x^2 \geq 0\) where \(U = \mathbb{R}\). Then \((\forall x)p(x)\) is true.

(ii) The statement “For every integer \(x\), \(x^2 \geq 5\)” is false. Here \(U = \mathbb{Z}\) but there is at least one \(x \in \mathbb{Z}\) for which \(x^2 \geq 5\) is false, e.g. \(x = 1\).

(iii) Let \(p(x, y)\) denote
\[\text{“If } x \geq y \text{ then } x^2 \geq y^2,\]
where \(U = \mathbb{R}\). Then \((\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y)\) is false. Take, for example, \(x = 1\) and \(y = -2\). Then \(p(x, y)\) becomes
\[\text{“If } 1 > -2 \text{ then } 1 > 4\].
Here \(1 > -2\) is \(\top\) but \(1 > 4\) is \(\bot\). From the truth table for → we see that “If \(1 > -2\) then \(1 > 4\)” is \(\bot\). Hence \((\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y)\) is \(\bot\).

(iv) “For all \(x\) and all \(y\), if \(x \geq y\) then \(2x \geq 2y\)” is \(\top\). □

The symbol \(\forall\) is called the \textit{universal quantifier}: it has the meaning “for all”, “for every” or “for each”.

\section*{9.2 Existential quantifier}

We now also study \(\exists\), the \textit{existential quantifier}: it has the meaning “there is (at least one)”, “there exists” or “for some”.
Examples.

(i) Let \( p(x) \) denote \( x^2 \geq 5 \), where \( U = \mathbb{R} \). Then \( (\exists x)p(x) \) denotes

“There exists a real number \( x \) such that \( x^2 \geq 5 \).”

This can also be expressed as

“\( x^2 \geq 5 \) for some real number \( x \).”

(ii) The statement

“There exist sets \( A \) and \( B \) for which \( (A \cap B)' = A' \cap B' \)”

may be denoted by

\[
(\exists A)(\exists B)p(A, B)
\]

where \( p(A, B) \) denotes the predicate \( (A \cap B)' = A' \cap B' \),
or

\[
(\exists A)(\exists B)((A \cap B)' = A' \cap B').
\]

If \( p(x) \) is a PREDICATE then \( (\exists x)p(x) \) is a STATEMENT.

\( (\exists x)p(x) \) is true if \( p(x) \) is true for at least one \( x \in U \), whereas

\( (\exists x)p(x) \) is false if \( p(x) \) is false for all \( x \in U \).

Examples.

(i) Let \( U = \mathbb{R} \). The statement \( (\exists x)x^2 \geq 5 \) is \( \mathbb{T} \) because

\( x^2 \geq 5 \) is \( \mathbb{T} \) for at least one value of \( x \), e.g. \( x = 3 \).

(ii) Let \( p(x) \) denote \( x^2 < 0 \), where \( U = \mathbb{R} \). Then \( (\exists x)p(x) \)

is \( \mathbb{F} \) because \( p(x) \) is \( \mathbb{F} \) for all \( x \in U \).

(iii) \( (\exists x)(\exists y)(x + y)^2 = x^2 + y^2 \) (where \( U = \mathbb{R} \)) is \( \mathbb{T} \): take

\( x = 0, y = 0 \) for example. \( \square \)

Statements may involve both \( \forall \) and \( \exists \).
Example. Consider the following statements.

(i) Everyone likes all of Beethoven’s symphonies.
(ii) Everyone likes at least one of Beethoven’s symphonies.
(iii) There is one Beethoven’s symphony which everyone likes.
(iv) There is someone who likes all of Beethoven’s symphonies.
(v) Every Beethoven’s symphony is liked by someone.
(vi) There is someone who likes at least one of Beethoven’s symphonies.

If we let \( p(x, y) \) denote the predicate “\( x \) likes \( y \)” where \( x \) belongs to the universal set of all University of Manchester students and \( y \) belongs to the universal set of all Beethoven’s symphonies then the statements become:

(i) \( (\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \)
(ii) \( (\forall x)(\exists y)p(x, y) \)
(iii) \( (\exists y)(\forall x)p(x, y) \)
(iv) \( (\exists x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \)
(v) \( (\forall y)(\exists x)p(x, y) \)
(vi) \( (\exists x)(\exists y)p(x, y) \)

All have different meanings: in particular, \( (\forall x)(\exists y) \) is not the same as \( (\exists y)(\forall x) \).

Example 9.2.1 Consider the statements

(i) \( (\forall x)(\exists y)x < y \) and
(ii) \( (\exists y)(\forall x)x < y \)

where \( U = \mathbb{R} \).

Statement (i) is true but statement (ii) is false. Note that (i) states that whatever number \( x \) we choose we can find a number \( y \) which is greater than \( x \) (e.g. \( y = x + 1 \)). But (ii) states that there is a number \( y \) which is simultaneously greater than every number \( x \): this is impossible because, with \( x = y \), \( x < y \) does not hold.
9.3 Sample test question

1. For real numbers $x$ and $y$ let $p(x, y)$ denote the predicate $x \neq y$. Which of the following statements is false?

\begin{itemize}
  \item[(A)] $(\exists x)(\exists y)p(x, y)$
  \item[(B)] $(\forall x)(\exists y)p(x, y)$
  \item[(C)] $(\exists x)(\forall y)p(x, y)$
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Solution:} (C) is false, because every number is equal to itself and therefore the formula $(\exists x)(\forall y)p(x, y)$ which means

“there is a number $x$ such that every real number $y$

is not equal to $x$”

cannot be true.

\textbf{Another solution:} (C) is false because its negation $\sim (\exists x)(\forall y)p(x, y)$ is true. This can be seen because

$$\sim (\exists x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \equiv (\forall x)(\exists y) \sim p(x, y),$$

which means

“for every $x$ there is $y$ such that $x = y$”

which is obviously true.

\textbf{Why are (A) and (B) true?}

(A) is $(\exists x)(\exists y)x \neq y$ is true because you can take $x = 1$ and $y = 2$.

(B) is $(\forall x)(\exists y)x \neq y$, or

“for every $x$ there exists $y$ such that $x \neq y$”

this is true, because you may take for such $y$ the value $y = x + 1$.

9.4 Questions from Students

\begin{itemize}
  \item[*] This section contains no compulsory material but still may be useful.
\end{itemize}

1. I can not differentiate the true from the false
   when it comes to different arrangements of
   quantifiers or variables after the quantifier.
   
   For example:
   
   Let the Universal set be $\mathbb{Z}$.
   
   (i) For all $x$ there exists an integer $y$ such that $y^2 = x$.
   
   (ii) For all $y$ there exists an integer $x$ such that $y^2 = x$. 

> Which one of those statements is true?
> which one is false?
> are they both false or true?

**Answer:** (ii) is true, (i) is false.

Why (i) is false? If it is true, then, since it is true for all \( x \), it has to be true for \( x = 2 \). So let us plug \( x = 2 \) into the statement:

\[
\text{For } x = 2 \text{ there exists an integer } y \text{ such that } y^2 = x.
\]

but this is the same as to say

\[
\text{there exists an integer } y \text{ such that } y^2 = 2.
\]

But this obviously false – there is no such integer \( y \).

Why is (ii) true? Because, for every \( y \), we can set \( x = y^2 \).

For example,

- for \( y = 1 \) there exists an integer \( x \) such that \( 1^2 = x \) (indeed, take \( x = 1 \));
- for \( y = 2 \) there exists an integer \( x \) such that \( 2^2 = x \) (indeed, take \( x = 4 \));
- for \( y = 3 \) there exists an integer \( x \) such that \( 3^2 = x \) (indeed, take \( x = 9 \));
- for \( y = 4 \) there exists an integer \( x \) such that \( 4^2 = x \) (indeed, take \( x = 16 \));
- for \( y = 5 \) there exists an integer \( x \) such that \( 5^2 = x \) (indeed, take \( x = 25 \)).
10 Logical equivalences

Statements can be formed from predicates by means of a mixture of connectives and quantifiers.

Examples.

(i) Let $p(x,y)$ denote $x < y$ and let $q(y)$ denote $y \neq 2$. Then

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)(p(x,y) \land q(y))$$

denotes

“For all $x$ there exists $y$ such that $x < y$ and $y \neq 2$”.

(This is $\mathbb{T}$).

(ii) Let $p(x)$ denote $x > 2$ and let $q(x)$ denote $x^2 > 4$. Then

$$(\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$$

denotes

“For all $x$, if $x > 2$ then $x^2 > 4$”.

(True).

(iii) Let $p(x)$ denote $x > 2$ and let $q(x)$ denote $x < 2$. Then we may form

$$( (\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists x)q(x) ) \rightarrow (\exists x)(p(x) \land q(x)).$$

This is $\mathbb{F}$ because

$$(\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists x)q(x)$$

is $\mathbb{T}$ but

$$(\exists x)(p(x) \land q(x))$$

is $\mathbb{F}$. $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ gives $\mathbb{F}$.

As in propositional logic, we say that two statements $X$ and $Y$ are **logically equivalent**, and write $X \equiv Y$, if $X$ and $Y$ have the same truth value for purely logical reasons.
Example. \( \sim (\exists x)p(x) \equiv (\exists x)p(x) \). We don’t need to know the meaning of \( p(x) \). \( \square \)

Fundamental logical equivalence (6) of propositional logic is

\[ \sim \sim p \equiv p. \]

This can be applied to predicate logic to show that

\[ \sim \sim (\exists x)p(x) \equiv (\exists x)p(x), \]
\[ \sim \sim (\forall x)(\exists y)p(x, y) \equiv (\forall x)(\exists y)p(x, y), \]

etc. We can use all of the fundamental logical equivalences (1)–(10) in this way, plus two additional equivalences:

(11) \( \sim (\forall x)p(x) \equiv (\exists x)\sim p(x) \).

(12) \( \sim (\exists x)p(x) \equiv (\forall x)\sim p(x) \).

Example of (11). Let \( U \) be the set of all University of Manchester students. Let \( p(x) \) denote “\( x \) is British”. Then

\( \sim (\forall x)p(x) \) denotes

“It is not true that every University of Manchester student is British”

and \( (\exists x)\sim p(x) \) denotes

“There is a University of Manchester student who is not British”.

These are logically equivalent. \( \square \)

Example of (12). Let \( U = \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( p(x) \) denote \( x^2 = 2 \). Then

\( \sim (\exists x)p(x) \) denotes

“It is false that there exists \( x \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( x^2 = 2 \)”

and \( (\forall x)\sim p(x) \) denotes

“For all \( x \in \mathbb{Z}, x^2 \neq 2 \)”.

These are logically equivalent. \( \square \)
Example. Prove that
\[ \sim (\forall x)(\forall y)(p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x, y)) \equiv (\exists x)(\exists y)(p(x, y) \land \sim q(x, y)). \]

Solution.
\[
\sim (\forall x)(\forall y)(p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x, y)) \quad \text{by (11)} \\
\equiv (\exists x)(\forall y) \sim (p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x, y)) \\
\quad \text{by (11)} \\
\equiv (\exists x)(\exists y) \sim (p(x, y) \lor q(x, y)) \\
\quad \text{by (8)} \\
\equiv (\exists x)(\exists y)(\sim p(x, y) \land \sim q(x, y)) \\
\quad \text{by (7)} \\
\equiv (\exists x)(\exists y)(p(x, y) \land \sim q(x, y)).
\]

Perhaps, the very first line in this solution needs a comment: we apply rule (11)
\[ \sim (\forall x)p(x) \equiv (\exists x)\sim p(x) \]
with the formula
\[ p(x) = (\forall y)(p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x, y)) \]
highlighted by use of a boldface font.

10.1 Sample test questions

1. One (or more) of the following statement(s) is (are) contradiction(s) (that is, they are false no matter how we interpret the predicate \( p(x, y) \)). Mark the statement(s) which is (are) not a contradiction.

   □ (A) \((\forall x)(\forall y)(p(x, y) \leftrightarrow \sim p(x, y))\)
   □ (B) \((\forall x)(\exists y)p(x, y) \leftrightarrow \sim (\exists y)(\forall x)p(x, y)\)
   □ (C) \((\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists y)(\exists x)p(x, y)\)

Solution. Answer: (B) and (C).
(A) is always false because
\[ p \leftrightarrow \sim p \]
is always false, it says ““p is true if and only if ∼p is true”, or “p is true if and only if “not p” is true”; the phrase can be even re-told as “p is true and false simultaneously”. Of course, the sentence “p is true and false simultaneously” is false no matter what is the statement p. So (A) is a contradiction.

Meanwhile, the statement (B),

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)p(x, y) \leftrightarrow \sim (\exists y)(\forall x)p(x, y)$$

is true if we take the set of real numbers ℝ for the universal domain and and interpret the predicate p(x, y) as “x < y”. So (B) is not a contradiction.

(C) happens to be true if $(\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y)$ is false (for example, take ℝ for the universal set and define p(x, y) as x ≠ y*), so (C) is not a contradiction.

2. Two of the following statements are tautologies (that is, they are true no matter how we interpret the predicate p(x, y)). Mark the statement which is not a tautology.

- (A) $(\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x)p(x, y)$
- (B) $(\forall x)(\exists y)p(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists y)(\forall x)p(x, y)$
- (C) $(\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\exists y)p(x, y)$

Solution. Statements (A) and (C) are always true.

Indeed, to see that (A)* is true observe that both universal statements

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \text{ and } (\forall y)(\forall x)p(x, y)$$

can be expressed by a phrase which does not mention names x and y for variables:

all elements in U are in relation p.

For example, take for U the set of all people and for p(x, y) the relation “x and y are friends”; then both

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \text{ and } (\forall y)(\forall x)p(x, y)$$

become

“all people are friends [to each other and themselves]”

and

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)p(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x)p(x, y)$$

becomes

* I added a detailed explanation on a request from a student; of course, you do not have to write it down in a multiple choice test.
“if all people are friends then all people are friends”,
which is obviously true. And notice that the actual meaning of
the predicate \( p(x, y) \) does not matter here.
Similarly, (C) reads in our interpretation as
“if all people are friends then there is someone who
befriends someone”,
and again the actual meaning of predicate \( p(x, y) \) does not matter.
Statement (B) is false when we take \( \mathbb{R} \) for the universal domain
and interpret the predicate \( p(x, y) \) as “\( x < y \)”. 

10.2 Questions from Students

* This section contains no compulsory
material but still may be useful.

1. > Since I can not use symbols,
  > \( A\)=for all and \( E\)=there exists.
  >
  > If there was a statement like this:
  >
  > “\( ((Ax)(Ey)(p(x,y)\neg(Ey)\neg q(y))) \)
  >
  > If I want to simplify this,
  > I multiply the negation inside the brackets,
  > but I am not sure of what would happen,
  > will the negation be multiplied by both \( (Ax)(Ex) \) ?
  > as it will be
  > “\( (Ax)\neg(Ey) \neg((p(x,y)\neg(Ey)\neg q(y)) ??

**Answer.** I am afraid it works differently. Here is a sequence of
transformations:

\[
\neg((\forall x)(\exists y)(p(x,y) \land (\exists y) \sim q(y))) \\
\equiv (\exists x) \neg(\exists y)(p(x,y) \land (\exists y) \sim q(y)) \\
\equiv (\exists x)(\forall y) \sim (p(x,y) \land (\exists y) \sim q(y)) \\
\equiv (\exists x)(\forall y)(\sim p(x,y) \lor (\forall y) \sim q(y)) \\
\equiv (\exists x)(\forall y)(\sim p(x,y) \lor (\forall y) q(y)).
\]

2. I refer to Example (iii) in this Lecture.

Let \( p(x) \) denote \( x > 2 \) and let \( q(x) \) denote \( x < 2 \).
Then we may form

\[
((\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists x)q(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x)(p(x) \land q(x)).
\]
This is \( F \) because
\[
(\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists x)q(x)
\]
is \( T \) but
\[
(\exists x)(p(x) \land q(x))
\]
is \( F \). \( T \rightarrow F \) gives \( F \).

**MY PROBLEM.** I entirely accept that
\[
(\exists x)(p(x)q(x))
\]
is \( F \). I can find no value of \( x \) for which \( p(x) \) i.e. \( x > 2 \) is true and for which \( q(x) \) i.e. \( x < 2 \) is also true for that same value of \( x \). If we let \( x = 3 \) then \( x > 2 \) which is \( 3 > 2 \) is \( T \) but \( x < 2 \) which is \( 3 < 2 \) is \( F \).
From the conjunction truth table for \( \land \) we see that \( T \land F \) gives \( F \).
If we let \( x = 1 \) then \( x > 2 \) which is \( 1 > 2 \) is \( F \) but \( x < 2 \) which is \( 1 < 2 \) is \( T \). Again from the truth table for \( \land \) we see that \( F \land T \) gives \( F \).
So far so good but now we come to
\[
(\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists x)q(x)
\]
and the brackets appear to produce an unexpected result. I read this as the logical statement that

“there exists some value of \( x \) for which \( x > 2 \) is true

and there exists some value of \( x \) for which \( x < 2 \) is true”.

But the normal method of testing by giving \( x \) a value of, let us say 1, gives us \( (\exists x)p(x) \) which is \( 1 > 2 \) which is \( F \) and \( (\exists x)q(x) \) which is \( 1 < 2 \) which is \( T \).
From the truth table for \( \land \) we see that \( F \land T \) gives \( F \).
Testing by giving \( x \) a value of 3 gives us \( (\exists x)p(x) \) which is \( 3 > 2 \) which is \( T \) and \( (\exists x)q(x) \) which is \( 3 < 2 \) which is \( F \).
From the truth table for \( \land \) we see that \( T \land F \) also gives \( F \).
I am therefore unable to identify a value of \( x \) in the two predicates \( p(x) \) and \( q(x) \) for which
\[
(\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists x)q(x)
\]
gives \( T \) as stated in example (iii).
The only possibility I can see is that, because of the brackets, I should read the logical statement as being that
“there exists some value of \( x \) for which \( x > 2 \) is true (it is true for the value \( x = 3 \)) and separately there exists some potentially different value of \( x \) for which \( x < 2 \) is true (it is true for the value \( x = 1 \)).”

Only then can I get \( T \land T \) which is the required condition in the \( \land \) truth table to give a result of \( T \).

**Answer.** Your problem disappears if

\[(\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists x)q(x)\]

is replaced by

\[(\exists x)p(x) \land (\exists y)q(y)\]

which says exactly the same.

3. For real numbers \( x \) and \( y \), let \( p(x,y) \) denote the predicate \( x < y \). In the statement

\[(Ax)(Ay)(p(x,y)p(y,x))\]

For answer B does this mean the predicate \( p(y,x) \) is \( y < x \) because \( y \) and \( x \) have switched positions?

**Answer.** Yes, it does, \( p(y,x) \) means \( y < x \).

4. I wanted to know if you could provide me with specific examples for when \( (Ax)(Ey) p(x,y) \) is logically equivalent to \( (Ey)(Ax) p(x,y) \)?

**Answer.** I cannot provide you with specific, because these two statements are not logical equivalent. It is like asking: “when are you alive?” I am either alive or not, and the word “when” cannot be used in a question.

By definition, two statements of Predicate Logic are logically equivalent if they are simultaneously true of false for purely logical reasons, regardless of their meaning, regardless of concrete interpretation of predicates involved, regardless of choice of universal sets to which they are applied. For example, if the universal set is the set of real numbers and \( p(x,y) \) has meaning \( x = y \), then the both statements \((\forall x)(\exists y)p(x,y)\) and \((\exists y)(\forall x)p(x,y)\) are true; if the universal set is the set of natural numbers and the predicate \( p(x,y) \) has meaning \( x < y \), then \((\forall x)(\exists y)p(x,y)\) is \( T \) while \((\exists y)(\forall x)p(x,y)\) is \( F \). This second example automatically makes the two particular sentences NOT elementary equivalent.
11 Inequalities

In this and next lectures we shall study, in more detail, properties of inequality, or order relation, \( x \leq y \) on the set \( \mathbb{R} \) of real numbers.

\( x \leq y \) is read

“\( x \) is less or equal \( y \)”

or

“\( x \) is at most \( y \).”

11.1 Basic properties of inequalities

Let \( x,y,z \in \mathbb{R} \) be arbitrary real numbers. Then

- \( x \leq x \);
- \( x = y \) if and only if \( x \leq y \) and \( y \leq x \);
- if \( x \leq y \) and \( y \leq z \) then \( x \leq z \);
- \( x \leq y \) or \( y \leq x \).

It is a useful exercise to rewrite these properties in formal logical notation:

- \( (\forall x)(x \leq x) \);
- \( (\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y \iff (x \leq y \land y \leq x)) \);
- \( (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((x \leq y \land y \leq z) \rightarrow x \leq z) \);
- \( (\forall x)(\forall y)(x \leq y \lor y \leq x) \).

Some additional notation:

- If \( x \leq y \), we write \( y \geq x \).
- if \( x \leq y \) and \( x \neq y \), we write \( x < y \)
- if \( x \geq y \) and \( x \neq y \), we write \( x > y \).
11.2 Intervals and segments

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$.

By definition,

- **interval** $]a, b[$ is the set 
  $$ ]a, b[= \{ x : a < x < b \}.$$ 

- **segment** $[a, b]$ is the set 
  $$ [a, b] = \{ x : a \leq x \leq b \}.$$ 

- **semi-closed intervals** are sets 
  $$ [a, b]= \{ x : a \leq x < b \}.$$ 

and 
  $$ ]a, b] = \{ x : a < x \leq b \}.$$ 

The numbers $a$ and $b$ are called the **endpoints** of segments, intervals, semi-closed intervals

$$ ]a, b[ , [a, b] , [a, b[ , ]a, b],$$

and the number $b - a$ is called their **length**.

We also define

- **positive-directed ray** $[a, +\infty[$ is the set 
  $$ [a, +\infty[= \{ x : a \leq x \}.$$ 

- **negative directed ray** $]-\infty, a]$ is the set 
  $$ ]-\infty, a] = \{ x : x \leq a \}.$$ 

- **half-lines** $]-\infty, a[$ and $]a, +\infty[$ are sets 
  $$ ]-\infty, a[ = \{ x : x < a \}.$$ 

and 
  $$ ]a, +\infty[ = \{ x : a < x \}.$$
12 Operations over Inequalities

12.1 Formal properties of real numbers

It is time for us to make list of some properties of real numbers. Let $a$, $b$, $c$ be arbitrary real numbers.

Addition

R1 $a + b$ is a unique real number \hspace{1cm} \text{(Closure Law)}
R2 $a + b = b + a$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Commutative Law)}
R3 $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Associative Law)}
R4 $a + 0 = 0 + a = a$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Identity Law)}
R5 $a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Inverse Law)}

Multiplication

R6 $a \cdot b$ is a unique real number \hspace{1cm} \text{(Closure Law)}
R7 $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Commutative Law)}
R8 $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Associative Law)}
R9 $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Identity Law)}
R10 $a \cdot \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{a} \cdot a = 1$ for $a \neq 0$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Inverse Law)}
R11 $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Distributive Law)}

Inequality

R12 $a \leq a$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Reflexive Law)}
R13 $a = b$ iff $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$; \hspace{1cm} \text{(Antisymmetric Law)} \hspace{1cm} \text{* Recall “iff” = “if and only if”}
R14 If $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$ then $a \leq c$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Transitive Law)}
R15 $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(Total Order Law)}
R16 If $a \leq b$ then $a + c \leq b + c$
R17 If $a \leq b$ and $0 \leq c$ then $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot c$
12.2 Properties of strict inequality

We need two types of inequality, \( \leq \) and \(<\) because they allow to express the negations of each other:

\[
\sim (a \leq b) \iff b < a
\]
\[
\sim (a < b) \iff b \leq a
\]

\textbf{R'12} It is never true that \( a < a \) (Anti-reflexive Law)

\textbf{R'13} One and only one of the following is true:

\[
a < b \quad a = b \quad b < a
\]

(Antisymmetric + Total Order Law)

\textbf{R'14} If \( a < b \) and \( b < c \) then \( a < c \) (Transitive Law)

\textbf{R'15} \( a < b \) or \( a = b \) or \( b < a \) (Total Order Law)

\textbf{R'16} If \( a < b \) then \( a + c < b + c \)

\textbf{R'17} If \( a < b \) and \( 0 < c \) then \( a \cdot c < b \cdot c \)

On a number of occasions I have been asked by students:

\textit{How can we claim that} \( 2 \leq 3 \) \textit{if we already know that} \( 2 < 3 \) ?

Propositional Logic helps:

\[
a \leq b \equiv (a < b) \lor (a = b),
\]

in particular,

\[
2 \leq 3 \equiv (2 < 3) \lor (2 = 3).
\]

Obviously, \( 2 < 3 \) is \( \mathbb{T} \), \( 2 = 3 \) is \( \mathbb{F} \), therefore their disjunction \( 2 \leq 3 \) has truth value \( \mathbb{T} \lor \mathbb{F} \equiv \mathbb{T} \).
12.3 Inequalities can be added

Theorem 12.1 If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$ then

$$a + c \leq b + d.$$ 

Proof.

1. $a + c \leq b + c$ \hspace{1cm} [R16]
2. $c + b \leq d + b$ \hspace{1cm} [R16]
3. $b + c \leq b + d$ \hspace{1cm} [R2]
4. $a + c \leq b + d$ \hspace{1cm} [R14 applied to 1. and 2.]

\[\square\]

12.4 Proofs can be re-used

Theorem 12.2 If $0 \leq a \leq b$ and $0 \leq c \leq d$ then

$$a \cdot c \leq b \cdot d.$$ 

Proof.

1. $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot c$ \hspace{1cm} [R17]
2. $c \cdot b \leq d \cdot b$ \hspace{1cm} [R17]
3. $b \cdot c \leq b \cdot d$ \hspace{1cm} [R2]
4. $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot d$ \hspace{1cm} [R14 applied to 1. and 2.]

\[\square\]

Corollary 12.3 For all $0 \leq x \leq y,$

$$x^2 \leq y^2.$$ 

Proof. In the theorem above, set $a = c = x$ and $b = d = y.$

\[\square\]
Theorem 12.4 If \(a < b\) and \(c < d\) then
\[ a + c < b + d. \]

Proof.

1. \(a + c < b + c\) \hfill [R\#16]
2. \(c + b < d + b\) \hfill [R\#16]
3. \(b + c < b + d\) \hfill [R2]
4. \(a + c < b + d\) \hfill [R\#14 applied to 1. and 2.]

More on proving inequalities (and on proof in mathematics in general) is the next lecture.
13 Methods of Proof

*  

13.1 Statements of the form \((\forall x)p(x)\)

To prove \((\forall x)p(x)\) is \(T\) we must prove that \(p(x)\) is \(T\) for all \(x \in U\). (The method will vary.)

**Theorem 13.1** For all real numbers \(x\),

\[
0 \leq x \text{ if and only if } -x \leq 0.
\]

In formal logical notation, this theorem reads as

\[
(\forall x)(0 \leq x \leftrightarrow -x \leq 0)
\]

**Proof.** We will prove first that if \(0 \leq x\) then \(-x \leq 0\)

1. \(0 \leq x\) \hspace{1cm} (given)
2. \(0 + (-x) \leq x + (-x)\) \hspace{1cm} (R16)
3. \(-x \leq 0\) \hspace{1cm} (algebra)

Now we prove that if \(-x \leq 0\) then \(0 \leq x\).

1. \(-x \leq 0\) \hspace{1cm} (given)
2. \(-x + x \leq 0 + x\) \hspace{1cm} (R16)
3. \(0 \leq x\) \hspace{1cm} (algebra)

So we proved both

\[0 \leq x \rightarrow -x \leq 0\]

and

\[-x \leq 0 \rightarrow 0 \leq x,\]

hence proved

\[0 \leq x \leftrightarrow -x \leq 0\]

for all real numbers \(x\). \(\square\)
13.2 Change of sign in an inequality

**Theorem 13.2** For all real numbers \( x \) and \( y \),

\[ x \leq y \text{ if and only if } -y \leq -x. \]

In formal logical notation, this theorem reads as

\[ (\forall x)(x \leq y \leftrightarrow -y \leq -x) \]

**Proof.**

We will prove first that if \( x \leq y \) then \(-y \leq -x\)

\begin{align*}
1. & \quad x \leq y \quad \text{(given)} \\
2. & \quad x + [-x - y] \leq y + [-x - y] \quad \text{(R16)} \\
3. & \quad -y \leq -x \quad \text{(algebra)}
\end{align*}

The proof of the implication in other direction, from right to left, is left as an exercise – use the previous theorem as a hint. 

The statement of this theorem is frequently written as

\[ (\forall x)(x \leq y \leftrightarrow -x \leq -y) \]

and is read as

*If we change the signs of the both sides of the inequality, we change its direction.*

Recall that a real number \( a \) is called

- **positive** if \( 0 < a \),
- **negative** if \( a < 0 \),
- **non-negative** if \( 0 \leq a \),
- **non-positive** if \( a \leq 0 \).
13.3 Squares are non-negative

**Theorem 13.3** *For all real numbers* \( x \),

\[
0 \leq x^2.
\]

**Proof.** We shall write this proof in a less formal way.*

If \( x \) is non-negative, then \( x^2 \) is non-negative by Corollary 12.3.

If \( x \) is negative, then \( x \leq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq -x \) by Theorem 13.1, so \(-x\) is non-negative. Now, by Corollary 12.3 again, \( 0 \leq (-x)^2 = x^2 \). \( \Box \)

**Remark.** Observe that if a statement \((\forall x)p(x)\) about real numbers is true then it remains true if we substitute for \( x \) an arbitrary function or expression \( x = f(y) \).

For example, since \((\forall x)(x^2 \geq 0)\) is \( \top \), the following statements are also true for all \( x \) and \( y \):

\[
(y + 1)^2 \geq 0; \quad (x + y)^2 \geq 0; \quad \sin^2 x \geq 0
\]

and therefore

\[
(\forall y)((y + 1)^2 \geq 0);
\]

\[
(\forall x)(\forall y)((x + y)^2 \geq 0);
\]

\[
(\forall x)(\sin^2 x \geq 0).
\]

**Example.** Prove that the statement

“For all real numbers \( y \), \( y^2 + 2y + 3 > 0 \)”

is true.

**Proof.** Let \( y \) be an arbitrary real number. We can rewrite

\[
y^2 + 2y + 3 = (y^2 + 2y + 1) + 2 = (y + 1)^2 + 2.
\]
But we know from the previous remark that, for all \( y \),
\[
(y + 1)^2 \geq 0.
\]
Therefore
\[
(y + 1)^2 + 2 \geq 0 + 2 = 2 > 0.
\]
Hence
\[
y^2 + 2y + 3 = (y + 1)^2 + 2 > 0,
\]
and the statement is true.

**Example.** Prove that the statement

“For all real numbers \( x \) and \( y \), \( x^2 + y^2 \geq 2xy \)”

is true.

### 13.4 Counterexamples

To prove \( (\forall x)p(x) \) is \( \mathbb{F}^* \) we must show that there exists at least one \( x \in U \) such that \( p(x) \) is \( \mathbb{F} \) for this \( x \). Such a value of \( x \) is called a **counterexample** to the statement \( (\forall x)p(x) \).

**Example.** Prove that

“For all real numbers \( x \), \( x^2 - 3x + 2 \geq 0 \)”

is false.

Note that
\[
x^2 - 3x + 2 = (x - 1)(x - 2).
\]
If \( 1 < x < 2 \) then \( x - 1 \) is positive: \( x - 1 > 0 \), and \( x - 2 \) is negative: \( x - 2 < 0 \), so their product \( (x - 1)(x - 2) \) is negative:
\[
(x - 1)(x - 2) < 0.
\]
Thus any number \( x \) with \( 1 < x < 2 \) is a counterexample: the statement is false. For a concrete* value of \( x \), we can take \( x = 1\frac{1}{2} \). One counterexample is enough: we do not have to show that
\[
x^2 - 3x + 2 \geq 0
\]
is false for all \( x \).
Example. Prove that the statement

“For all sets $A$, $B$ and $C$,

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup C'$$

is false.

We try to find a counterexample by experiment. Try $A = \emptyset$, $B = \emptyset$, $C = \{1\}$. Then

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = \emptyset$$

but

$$(A \cap B) \cup C = \{1\}.$$ 

Thus $A = \emptyset$, $B = \emptyset$, $C = \{1\}$ gives a counterexample: the statement is false.

Example. Prove that

$$\forall x \left(0 \leq x^3\right)$$

is false.

For a counterexample, you can take $x = -1$.

NOTE. One counterexample is enough to prove that a statement is false.

13.5 Statements of the form

$$(\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$$

An example is

“For all $x$, if $x > 2$ then $x^2 > 4$.”

In practice such a sentence is often expressed as

“If $x > 2$ then $x^2 > 4$”

where the phrase “For all $x$” is taken as obvious. However, in symbols, we should write

$$(\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x)).$$
Example. “If $A \subseteq B$ then $A \cup B = B$” is shorthand* for “For all $A$ and all $B$, if $A \subseteq B$ then $A \cup B = B$”, written as \((\forall A)(\forall B)(p(A, B) \rightarrow q(A, B))\) where \(p(A, B)\) denotes $A \subseteq B$ and \(q(A, B)\) denotes $A \cup B = B$.

To prove that \((\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))\) is $\mathbb{T}$ we need to prove that $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ is $\mathbb{T}$ for each element $x$ of $U$. The truth table for $\rightarrow$ shows that $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ is automatically $\mathbb{T}$ when $p(x)$ is $\mathbb{F}$. Therefore we only need to prove that $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ is $\mathbb{T}$ for elements $x$ of $U$ such that $p(x)$ is $\mathbb{T}$. We take an arbitrary value of $x$ for which $p(x)$ is $\mathbb{T}$ and try to deduce that $q(x)$ is $\mathbb{T}$. (The method will vary.) It then follows that \((\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))\) is $\mathbb{T}$.

Example. Prove the statement

“If $x \in ]1, 2[$ then $x^2 - 3x + 2 < 0$”.

Note that

\[ x^2 - 3x + 2 = (x - 1)(x - 2). \]

If $x \in ]1, 2[$ then $1 < x < 2$, hence $x - 1 > 0$ is positive and $x - 2 < 0$ is negative, and their product $(x - 1)(x - 2)$ is negative.

To prove that \((\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))\) is $\mathbb{F}$ we have to show that there exists $x \in U$ such that $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ is $\mathbb{F}$. The truth table of $\rightarrow$ shows that $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ can only be $\mathbb{F}$ when $p(x)$ is $\mathbb{T}$ and $q(x)$ is $\mathbb{F}$. Thus we have to show that there exists $x \in U$ such that $p(x)$ is $\mathbb{T}$ and $q(x)$ is $\mathbb{F}$. This will be a counterexample to \((\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))\).

Example. Prove that the statement

“If $x$ is a real number such that $x^2 > 4$ then $x > 2$”

is false. Let $x = -3$. Then $x^2 > 4$ is $\mathbb{T}$ but $x > 2$ is $\mathbb{F}$. Thus $x = -3$ is a counterexample: the statement is false.
14 Methods of Proof, Continued

14.1 Contrapositive

* Recommended reading: *Book of Proof* by Richard Hammack, Chapter 5.

* Do that as an exercise!

By the method of truth tables we can prove

\[ p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim q \rightarrow \sim p. \]

Alternatively, we can prove this from Fundamental Logical equivalences:

\[ p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \lor q \]
\[ \equiv \sim q \lor \sim p \]
\[ \equiv \sim (\sim q) \lor \sim p \]
\[ \equiv \sim q \rightarrow \sim p. \]

\( \sim q \rightarrow \sim p \) is called the **contrapositive** of \( p \rightarrow q \). It follows that

\[ (\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x)) \equiv (\forall x)(\sim q(x) \rightarrow \sim p(x)). \]

\[ (\forall x)(\sim q(x) \rightarrow \sim p(x)) \]

is called the **contrapositive** of

\[ (\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x)). \]

To prove a statement \( p \rightarrow q \) or \((\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))\) it is enough to prove the contrapositive. Sometimes this is easier.

**Example 14.1.1** Prove the statement

“If \( x \) is an integer such that \( x^2 \) is odd then \( x \) is odd”.

The contrapositive is

“If \( x \) is an integer such that \( x \) is not odd then \( x^2 \) is not odd”.
However “not odd” is the same as “even”. So the contrapositive is

“If $x$ is an even integer then $x^2$ is even”.

This statement is much easier to prove: if $x$ is even, $x = 2u$ for some integer $u$. But then

$$x^2 = (2u)^2 = 2^2 \cdot u^2 = 2 \cdot (2u^2)$$

is also even. □

### 14.2 Converse

A conditional statement $q \rightarrow p$ is called the converse of $p \rightarrow q$.

Similarly,

$$(\forall x)(q(x) \rightarrow p(x))$$

is called the converse of

$$(\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x)).$$

The converse is NOT equivalent to the original statement.

**Example 14.2.1** Let $p$ be “You got full marks” and let $q$ be “You passed the exam”.

$p \rightarrow q$ is “If you got full marks you passed the exam”.

The contrapositive $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$ is

“If you did not pass the exam you did not get full marks”.

The converse $q \rightarrow p$ is

“If you passed the exam you got full marks”.

$\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$ is equivalent to $p \rightarrow q$, but $q \rightarrow p$ is not.

**Example 14.2.2** The statement
“If \( x > 2 \) then \( x^2 > 4 \)”

is true, but the converse

“If \( x^2 > 4 \) then \( x > 2 \)”

is false. * Indeed, give a counterexample!

14.3 Inequalities for square roots

**Theorem 14.1** If \( 0 \leq x, 0 \leq y \) and

\[
x^2 < y^2
\]

then

\[
x < y
\]

**Proof.** The contrapositive to

\[
x^2 < y^2 \rightarrow x < y
\]

is

\[
\sim (x < y) \rightarrow \sim (x^2 < y^2)
\]

or

\[
(y \leq x) \rightarrow (y^2 \leq x^2)
\]

But this is a theorem proved in Corollary 12.3.

By setting in Theorem 14.1 \( u = x^2 \) and \( v = y^2 \), we have the following important inequality for square roots:

**Corollary 14.2** If \( 0 \leq u, 0 \leq v \) and

\[
u < v
\]

then

\[
\sqrt{u} < \sqrt{v}.
\]

I leave proving the following version of that result as an exercise to the reader.

**Theorem 14.3** If

\[
0 \leq u \leq v
\]

then

\[
\sqrt{u} \leq \sqrt{v}.
\]
14.4 Statements of the form 

\((\forall x)(p(x) \leftrightarrow q(x))\)

We make use of the logical equivalence 

\[ p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p). \]

Thus to prove that \(p \leftrightarrow q\) is \(\mathbb{T}\) it is sufficient to prove two things

(i) \(p \rightarrow q\) is \(\mathbb{T}\)

(ii) \(q \rightarrow p\) is \(\mathbb{T}\).

To prove that \(p \leftrightarrow q\) is \(\mathbb{F}\) it is sufficient to prove that either \(p \rightarrow q\) is \(\mathbb{F}\) or \(q \rightarrow p\) is \(\mathbb{F}\).

Similarly to prove that \((\forall x)(p(x) \leftrightarrow q(x))\) is \(\mathbb{T}\) we usually proceed in TWO STEPS.

(i) We prove \((\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))\).

(ii) We prove (the converse) \((\forall x)(q(x) \rightarrow p(x))\).

In order to prove (i) we follow the method described in II above: we take an arbitrary \(x\) such that \(p(x)\) is \(\mathbb{T}\) and try to deduce that \(q(x)\) is \(\mathbb{T}\). Than to prove (ii) we take an arbitrary \(x\) such that \(q(x)\) is \(\mathbb{T}\) and try to deduce that \(p(x)\) is \(\mathbb{T}\).

To prove that \((\forall x)(p(x) \leftrightarrow q(x))\) is \(\mathbb{F}\) we prove that

\[(\forall x)(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))\text{ is }\mathbb{F}\]

or

\[(\forall x)(q(x) \rightarrow p(x))\text{ is }\mathbb{F}.\]

Example 14.4.1 Prove that 

\[(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x \geq 0 \leftrightarrow x^3 \geq 0)\]

14.5 Case-by-case proofs

* It is easy to check that this is a tautology:* 

\[((P \rightarrow Q) \land (\sim P \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow Q\]

* Compare with Section 13.3.

* Check it!
Therefore, to prove \((\forall x)Q(x)\), it suffices to prove

\[ (\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \land (\forall x)(\sim P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \]

More generally, we have a tautology

\[ ((P' \lor P'') \land (P' \rightarrow Q) \land (P'' \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow Q \]

Therefore, to prove \((\forall x)Q(x)\), it suffices to prove

\[ (\forall x)(P'(x) \lor P''(x)) \land (\forall x)(P'(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \land (\forall x)(P''(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \]

**Example 14.5.1** Prove that, for all real numbers \(x\),

\[
\text{if } x \neq 0 \text{ then } x^2 > 0.
\]

### 14.6 Absolute value

For a real number \(x\), we define its *absolute value*\(^*\) as

\[
|x| = \begin{cases} 
  x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \\
  -x & \text{if } x < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

For example, \(|-2| = 2\), \(|3| = 3\).

Observe that \(|-x| = |x|\).

**Geometric interpretation:** \(|a-b|\) is the distance between the points \(a\) and \(b\) on the real line.

**Example 14.6.1** Prove that, for all real numbers \(x\) and \(y\),

\[
|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|.
\]

### 14.7 Sample test questions

Tick the correct box:
1. Of the following three statements, two are converse to each other; mark the statement which is not converse to the other two.

□ (A) If a cat is black then its kittens are black.
□ (B) If the kittens of a cat are not black then the cat is not black.
□ (C) If a cat is not black then its kittens are not black.

**Answer:** (A).

**Solution:** Let $p$ means “cat is black”, $q$ means “kittens are black”. Then the statements can be written as

- (A) $p \rightarrow q$;
- (B) $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$;
- (C) $\sim p \rightarrow \sim q$.

By definition, (B) and (C) are converse to each other.
15 Proof by contradiction

Suppose we want to prove some statement \( q \). Assume that \( q \) is false, i.e. assume \( \sim q \) is true. Try to deduce from \( \sim q \) a statement which we know is definitely false. But a true statement cannot imply a false one. Hence \( \sim q \) must be false, i.e. \( q \) must be true.

The same can be formulated differently: notice that

\[
(\sim q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow q
\]

is a tautology\(^*\). Therefore if we prove

\[
\sim q \rightarrow \mathbb{F},
\]

\( q \) will follow.

15.1 An example: proof of an inequality

I will illustrate a proof by contradiction by showing a proof of an inequality which is perhaps hard to prove by any other method.

**Theorem 15.1** Suppose \( x \) is a positive real number. Then

\[
x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2.
\]

**Remark.** In formal logical notation, it means proving

\[
(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) \left( x > 0 \rightarrow x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2 \right).
\]

**Proof.** Consider some arbitrary positive real number \( x \). Let \( P(x) \) be statement

\[
x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2.
\]

We want to prove that \( P(x) \) is \( \mathbb{T} \). By the way of contradiction, it suffices to prove that

\[
\sim P(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}
\]

\(^*\) I leave its proof to you as an exercise.
is true.

So we assume that $\sim P(x)$ is $T$, that is,

\[ x + \frac{1}{x} < 2 \]

is $T$. Since $x$ is positive, we can multiply the both sides of this inequality by $x$ and get

\[ x^2 + 1 < 2x, \]

which can be rearranged as

\[ x^2 - 2x + 1 < 0 \]

and then as

\[ (x - 1)^2 < 0. \]

But squares cannot be negative – a contradiction. Hence our assumption that

\[ x + \frac{1}{x} < 2 \]

was false, which means that

\[ x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2 \]

for all positive real numbers $x$. \qed

Later, when we shall study inequalities in more detail, we will frequently use proofs by contradiction; they are quite useful in case of inequalities, and for a simple reason: the negation of the inequality $a \leq b$ is the inequality $b < a$.

### 15.2 Three proofs of irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$

Recall that a real number $x$ is *rational* if it can be written as a ratio of two integers

\[ x = \frac{m}{n} \]

with $n \neq 0$, and that the set of all rational numbers is denoted by $\mathbb{Q}$. Real numbers which are not rational are called *irrational*. 


Here, we will consider three proofs of irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$, a classical mathematical theorem, often seen as one of the most important results in the history of mathematics. This will help us to discuss general approaches to proofs by contradiction, see Section 15.3 for more detail.

**Theorem 15.2** $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

**Proof 1.** Assume the contrary, that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. It means that it can be written as

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$$

where $a$ and $b$ are integers. Since $\sqrt{2}$ is positive, we can also assume that $a$ and $b$ are positive. By squaring both parts of the equation, we get

$$2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$$

and

$$2b^2 = a^2.$$

Let us treat numbers $a^2$ and $b^2$ as areas of two squares in the plane with integer sides respectively $a$ and $b$, the first of which has twice the area of the second. Now place two copies of the smaller square in the larger as shown in diagram below.  

* By Vaughan Pratt – Own work, CC BY-SA 4.0, bit.ly/2hlZ99d
The square overlap region in the middle \((2b - a)^2\) must equal the sum of the two uncovered squares \(2(a - b)^2\). But these squares on the diagonal have positive integer sides that are smaller than the original squares. Repeating this process we can find arbitrarily small squares one twice the area of the other, yet both having positive integer sides, which is impossible since positive integers cannot be less than 1. □

The second proof is an algebraic version of the geometric Proof 1.

**Proof 2.** Assume the contrary, that \(\sqrt{2}\) is rational. It means that it can be written as

\[
\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}
\]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are integers. Since \(\sqrt{2}\) is positive, we can also assume that \(a\) and \(b\) are positive. Perhaps \(\sqrt{2}\) can be written as a fraction of positive integers in many different ways; take among them the one with the smallest positive numerator \(a\).

Now look at the fraction

\[
\frac{2b - a}{a - b}
\]

and rearrange it first by dividing the numerator and denominator by \(b\) and then simplifying by using the fact that \(\frac{a}{b} = \sqrt{2}:

\[
\frac{2b - a}{a - b} = \frac{2 - \frac{a}{b}}{\frac{a}{b} - 1} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2} - 1} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2} - 1} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot (\sqrt{2} - 1)}{\sqrt{2} - 1} = \sqrt{2}
\]

I leave it to the readers as an exercise to check that

\[
2b - a < a, \quad 2b - a > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a - b > 0.
\]
Denote $a' = 2b - a$ and $b' = a - b$. Then

$$\frac{a'}{b'} = \sqrt{2}$$

is another representation of $\sqrt{2}$ as a ratio of two positive integers, but with smaller denominator than that of $\frac{a}{b}$. We got a contradiction since we have chosen, at the beginning of this proof, $\frac{a}{b}$ as the fraction with the smallest positive integer which can be used for that purpose. □

**Proof 3.** Assume the contrary, that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. It means that it can be written as

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$$

where $a$ and $b$ are integers. Since $\sqrt{2}$ is positive, we can also assume that $a$ and $b$ are positive. Also, we can assume that $a$ and $b$ are not both even – otherwise we can cancel factor 2 from the numerator and denominator of $\frac{a}{b}$ and repeat it until one of $a$ or $b$ becomes odd.

As we have seen in Proof 1, we have $a^2 = 2b^2$. Hence $a^2$ is even. I leave to you as an exercise to prove that this implies that $a$ is even* and can be written as $a = 2a_1$. But now * Hint: use proof by contrapositive

$$a^2 = (2a_1)^2 = 4a_1^2,$$

and

$$4a_1^2 = 2b^2.$$

Cancelling factor 2 from the both sidess of this equality, we have

$$2a_1^2 = b^2,$$

hence $b^2$ is even, hence $b$ is even. So both $a$ and $b$ are even – a contradiction, because we made sure that $a$ is odd or $b$ is odd. □

## 15.3 Proof by contradiction: a discussion

* Material of this section is not compulsory.
This may sound as a paradox, but proofs by contradiction could be much easier than direct proofs. And here are reasons for that:

- Students frequently complain that they do not know where to start a proof. Here, you know where to start: by assuming the contrary to what you wish to prove.
- You know where to go – to a contradiction of some sort;
- Moreover, it does not matter what kind of contradiction you eventually get: as we already know, all contradictions are logically equivalent.

This was illustrated in Section 15.2: three proofs of irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$ started exactly at the same point:

Assume the contrary, that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. It means that it can be written as

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$$

where $a$ and $b$ are integers. Since $\sqrt{2}$ is positive, we can also assume that $a$ and $b$ are positive.

But then the proofs went three different ways: Geometry, Algebra, and Number Theory, each one leading to a contradiction.

The famous conversation between Alice and the Cheshire Cat in Alice in Wonderland is very relevant here:

"Would you tell me, please, which way I ought to go from here?"
"That depends a good deal on where you want to get to," said the Cat.
"I don't much care where" said Alice.
"Then it doesn't matter which way you go," said the Cat.
"so long as I get SOMEWHERE," Alice added as an explanation.
"Oh, you're sure to do that," said the Cat, "if you only walk long enough."
Lewis Carroll, the author of Alice in Wonderland, was one of the first mathematical logicians at the time when this branch of mathematics was still very young; his real name was Charles Dodgson. The set theory was also non-existent at his time, and in his book on logic he talks about classes rather than sets, and in a very peculiar way:

‘Classification’, or the formation of Classes, is a Mental Process, in which we imagine that we have put together, in a group, certain things. Such a group is called a ‘Class’.

As this Process is entirely Mental, we can perform it whether there is, or is not, an existing Thing [in that Class – AB]. If there is, the Class is said to be ‘Real’; if not, it is said to be ‘Unreal’, or ‘Imaginary’.

For us, all ‘Imaginary Classes’ are just the empty sets, and, for us, all empty sets are equal; for Lewis Carroll (aka Charles Dodgson), the class of real roots of the equation $x^2 = -1$ and the class of flying pigs would be different.

However, what is disturbing about Proof 1 of irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$ is that we are talking about, and analysing, a non-existent object (‘Thing’): a square with the side of integer
length such that its area is twice the area of another square with the side of integer length. In Proofs 2 and 3 we analysed non-existent fractions of integers which equal $\sqrt{2}$, and manipulated them, replacing non-existent fractions by other fractions, which were also non-existent, but had smaller denominators.

It is like studying flying pigs, replacing, in the process, one flying pig by another one – of smaller weight.

Proofs from contradiction are Wonderland of mathematics; doing them, you have to be prepared to meet creatures no less strange than Cheshire Cat or Mad Hatter.

### 15.4 A few words about abstraction

Mathematicians adore *Alice in Wonderland* because the essence of mathematical abstraction is captured in another famous episode:

‘All right,’ said the Cat; and this time it vanished quite slowly, beginning with the end of the tail, and ending with the grin, which remained some time after the rest of it had gone.

‘Well! I’ve often seen a cat without a grin,’ thought Alice; ‘but a grin without a cat! It’s the most curious thing I ever saw in my life!’

I have already had a chance to tell you that statements of Propositional Logic have no meaning, they have only truth values. This is why “2+2=5” implies “London is the capital of Britain” – because the former is F, the latter is T. The meaning of the statements is irrelevant. The truth value of a statement is ‘a grin without a cat’ left after the meaning of the statement vanished.

It is easy to check that the following statement of Propositional Logic is a tautology*:

$$ (p \rightarrow q) \lor (q \rightarrow p) $$

* Material in this section is not compulsory and can be skipped.

* I leave its proof as an exercise for the reader.
it takes truth value $T$ regardless of the meaning of $p$ and $q$. For example, if we take

$p$ is “there is life on Mars”

and

$q$ is “today is Wednesday”,

the compound statement $(p \rightarrow q) \lor (q \rightarrow p)$ remains $T$ regardless of the day of the week.

There is nothing unusual in that, exactly the same is happening in arithmetic: numbers 1, 2, 3, ... have no meaning, but have ‘numerical values’, and can be compared by their values and operated according to them. In arithmetic, the sentence

‘The number of cats in London is larger than the number of books in the town of Winesburg, Ohio’,

is fully legitimate, even if cats in London have no connection whatsoever with books on the other side of Atlantic. Even more: we can take the number of cats in London and multiply it by the number of books in Winesburg, Ohio.

Or another example: I can claim that

‘The number of my children is less than the number of Jupiter’s moons’,

despite the fact that the two numbers have no relation to each other whatsoever.

And notice that no-one would claim that arithmetic was absurd or counter-intuitive; over the history, people got used, and stopped paying attention, to the level of mathematical abstraction present in ordinary prime school arithmetic. Propositional logic (manipulation with truth values $T$ and $F$) is arithmetic of formal logical thinking. It is much younger than arithmetic of numbers, but we have to get used to it, too, because of its tremendous importance for all things electronic, IT, computing in our lives.
15.5 Wonderland of Mathematics

* Material in this section is not compulsory and can be skipped.

To illustrate the power of proofs from contradiction, I give an example which shows that sometimes we can easily prove by contradiction a statement which otherwise is very hard to comprehend.

**Example 15.5.1** \(\log_2 3\) is an irrational number.

**Proof** Assume the contrary, that \(\log_2 3\) is not irrational. Then \(\log_2 3\) is a rational number, that is,

\[
\log_2 3 = \frac{m}{n}
\]

for integers \(m\) and \(n\), with \(n \neq 0\). By definition of logarithm, it means that

\[
2^\frac{m}{n} = 3.
\]

Since \(2 < 3\), we conclude that \(\frac{m}{n} > 0\). Now we may assume that \(m > 0\) and \(n > 0\) are natural numbers. But then

\[
2^m = 3^n
\]

for \(m, n \in \mathbb{N}\), and \(2^m\) and \(3^n\) are also natural numbers. But one of them is even, the other one is odd. We reached an obvious contradiction which completes our proof. \(\square\)

You would perhaps agree that this proof is very simple and very natural – but it also is a wonder.

And now is something completely different: a proof by contradiction which leads to a very paradoxical situation. Indeed, look for yourself:

**Example 15.5.2** The game of “double chess” follows all the usual rules of chess, with one exception: both players are allowed to make two moves in a row.

Prove that White has a strategy which ensures a draw or a win.
Proof A proof is deceptively simple: assume that White has no such strategy.

Then Black has a winning strategy.

But White may use the property that Knight can jump over other pieces, in the first two moves of the game, move a Knight forth and back, returns the chessboard into the pre-game state:

That way, White yields the first move to Black, in effect, changing his own color to Black.

But Black has a winning strategy, hence White, which has become Black, also has a winning strategy – a contradiction. □

This is what mathematicians call “a pure proof of existence”: it says nothing whatsoever about the actual strategy! We have forced White into the ridiculous situation that he must to react to the whole optimal strategy of Black – without even knowing whether Black’s strategy brings victory or just a draw.

And here is another slightly paradoxical situation when a proof by contradiction provides some insight by not a total knowledge:
Example 15.5.3 There are two irrational real numbers \( r \) and \( s \) such that \( r^s \) is rational.

Proof We now definitely know that \( \sqrt{2} \) is irrational, so consider the pair of numbers \( r = s = \sqrt{2} \). If \( r^s = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}} \) is rational, we are done.

But if \( \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}} \) is irrational, take

\[
r = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \sqrt{2},
\]

then, by properties of exponentiation, we have

\[
r^s = \left(\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2
\]

which is rational.

As simple as that. \( \square \)

In this proof, we got two options for irrational numbers \( r \) and \( s \) – we know that in one of them \( r^s \) is irrational, but we do not know in which one.

15.6 Winning strategy

* Material of this section is not compulsory.

Notice that in solution of Example 15.5.2, we used the term “strategy”: it is a rule which, given any possible position in a game, prescribes which move the player has to make (of course, this move has to be allowed by the rules of the game). A strategy is winning if the player who follows this rule, always wins, no matter what the moves of the other player are. Similarly, we can talk about a strategy which ensures a win or draw. So, a strategy is subset in the set of all imaginable pairs (position, move).

It is interesting to compare the solution of the “double chess” game with other “yield the first move in a symmetric situation” strategies, as in the following game:
Example 15.6.1 Two players take turns to place equal round coins on a rectangular table. Coins should not touch each other; the player who places the last coin wins (and takes the money). Describe the winning strategy for the first player.

Solution It is a simple game, and the solution is simple: the first player has to place his first coin exactly at the center of the table, and then mirror the moves of the second player (under 180° rotation with respect to the center of the table).

Example 15.6.1 is a good example of a strategy as a simple rule which prescribes how one has to react to the moves of another player.

Returning to chess, it is remarkable that it was only a century ago (in 1923) that Ernst Zermelo, one of the founders of the Set Theory, proved that in chess, one of the players has a strategy which ensures a win or draw. Before Zermelo, it was difficult even formulate the problem because of the absence of the necessary set theoretic concepts. But now you have almost all the necessary ingredients for Zermelo’s proof:

- basic set-theoretic concepts;
- understanding that the set of positions and the sets of moves are finite, and therefore the set of all strategies is finite;
- the rule of 50 moves means repeated occurrence of the same position for too long forces draw.

One more ingredient is the principle of mathematical induction that we shall study in the last two lecture of the course.

Still, a proof still requires some work (we may revisit it armed with mathematical induction) – but Zermelo’s theorem somehow becomes self-evident.
15.7 Exercises

Problem 15.1 Fill in details in Proof 2 of Theorem 15.2: prove that, in the notation of the Proof 2,

\[ 2b - a < a, \quad 2b - a > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a - b > 0. \]

Problem 15.2 Fill in details in Proof 3 of Theorem 15.2: prove that if \( b \) is an integers and \( b^2 \) is even than \( b \) is even (HINT: use a contrapositive and prove instead that if \( b \) is odd (and hence can be written as \( b = 2k+ \) for an integer \( k \)) then \( b^2 \) is also odd.

Problem 15.3 Using the fact that \( \sqrt{2} \) is irrational, prove that if \( r \) is a rational number then \( r + \sqrt{2} \) is irrational.

Problem 15.4 Using the fact that \( \sqrt{2} \) is irrational, prove that, for every integer \( k \neq 2 \), the number \( \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{2} \) is also irrational.

Problem 15.5 Prove the tautology

\[(p \rightarrow q) \lor (q \rightarrow p).\]

Problem 15.6 Prove that a chessboard with two opposite squares cut off,

\[ \text{cannot be cut in “dominoes” of size } 1 \times 2: \]

![Chessboard](image)
Problem 15.7 In a certain English city, two local football clubs, $A$ and $B$, face each other in a derby*. The sum of salaries of players in team $A$ is bigger than the sum of salaries of team $B$, and the sum of salaries of foreign players (in both teams taken together) is bigger than the sum of salaries of British players.

Could it happen that there are no foreign players in team $A$?

15.8 Some more challenging problems

Problem 15.8 Prove that the product of three consecutive positive integers is never a cube of an integer. (You may need some results about inequalities from later lectures.)

Problem 15.9 Investigate this question: can the product of 4 consecutive integers be a $4^{th}$ power of an integer?* I do not know the answer, but the problem appears to be accessible.

Problem 15.10 Prove that* the point of the form $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ cannot lie strictly inside (that is, inside, but not on the sides) of the triangle with the vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$, and $(0,1)$.

* Derby: a sports event between two rival teams in the same area

15.9 Solutions
16 Harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic means

16.1 Averaging and mixing

A few examples of how “mixing” leads to “averaging”.

Example 16.1.1 A rectangular sheet of paper of dimensions $a$ by $b$, where $a < b$, is cut and rearranged, without holes and overlaps, as a square of side $c$. Then $a < c < b$.

Proof The area of the square, $c^2$, is the same as the area $ab$ of the rectangle. If $c \leq a$ then $c < b$ and

$$c^2 = c \cdot c < ab,$$

a contradiction.

Similarly, if $b \leq c$ the $a < c$

$$ab < c^2 = c \cdot c,$$

again a contradiction. \qedsymbol

Example 16.1.2 Two jars with salt solutions of concentrations $p\%$ and $q\%$, with $p < q$, are emptied into a third jar. We assume that both jars were not empty, that is, both contained some amount of solution. Then the concentration of salt in the third jar, $r\%$, satisfies the same inequality, $p \leq r < q$.

Proof Let the volumes of solutions in the first and in the second jar be $U$ and $V$. Then the amount of salt in both solutions is $pU + qV$, and amount of salt after mixing of solutions is $r(U + V)$. Obviously,

$$pU + qV = r(U + V).$$

If $q \leq r$, then

$$pU + qV < qU + qV = q(U + V) \leq r(U + V),$$
a contradiction. 

If \( r \leq p \), then 

\[
r(U + V) \leq p(U + V) = pU + PV < pU + qV.
\]

also a contradiction.

Hence \( p \leq r < q \). \hfill \Box

**Example 16.1.3** Two cisterns of different shape and sizes are positioned at different levels above the ground and connected by a pipe with a valve, initially closed. The cisterns are filled with water to levels \( h_1 < h_2 \) above the ground and then valve is opened. The water now is at the shared level \( h \) above the ground in the both cisterns. Of course, \( h_1 < h < h_2 \).

**Example 16.1.4** Two cyclist started at the same time on a route from \( A \) to \( B \) and back. The first cyclist was cycling from \( A \) to \( B \) with average speed \( u \) km/h, and on way from \( B \) to \( A \) with average speed \( v \) km/h, where \( u < v \). The second cyclist had average speed \( w \) km/h over the whole route, \( A \) to \( B \) to \( A \). They returned to \( A \) simultaneously. In that case, \( u < w < v \).

**Why?** Because if \( w < u \), then \( w < u < v \), then the second cyclist is always behind the first one.

If \( v < w \), then \( u < v < w \), and the second cyclist is always ahead of the first one.

Hence \( u \leq w \) and \( w \leq v \), and \( u \leq w \leq v \). \hfill \Box

### 16.2 Arithmetic mean

**Example 16.2.1** John and Mary are married. This tax year, John’s income tax increased by £40, and Mary’s income tax increased by £60. Between them, what is the average increase in income tax?
Solution. 

\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}40 + \mathcal{L}60}{2} = \mathcal{L}50.
\]

This is an example of an arithmetic mean. For real numbers \(a\) and \(b\), their arithmetic mean is 

\[
a + b \over 2.
\]

More generally, the arithmetic mean of \(n\) numbers 

\[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\]

is 

\[a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \over n.\]

16.3 Harmonic mean

16.3.1 Example.

A car traveled from city A to city B with speed 40 miles per hour, and back with speed 60 miles per hour. What was the average speed of the car on the round trip?

Many students give an almost instant answer: 50 miles per hour, that is, the arithmetic mean of the two speeds:

\[50 = \frac{60 + 40}{2}.
\]

But this answer immediately collapses into absurdity if we slightly change the problem: what would happen if the speed of the car on its way back from B to A was 0 miles per hour? Will the average speed be

\[\frac{60 + 0}{2} = 30 \text{ mph}\?\]

But the car will never return!

This suggests that the arithmetic mean is not a solution to this problem.
16.3.2 A simpler example.

Let us make a problem a bit more concrete by assuming that we know the distance from $A$ to $B$.

**Example 16.3.1** The distance between $A$ and $B$ is 120 miles. A car traveled from $A$ to $B$ with speed 40 miles per hour, and back with speed 60 miles per hour. What was the average speed of the car on the round trip?

**Solution.** It took 
\[
\frac{120}{40} = 3 \text{ hours}
\]
for a truck to get from $A$ to $B$ and 
\[
\frac{120}{60} = 2 \text{ hours}
\]
to get back. Therefore the average speed on the round trip of 240 miles was 
\[
\frac{240 \text{ miles}}{5 \text{ hours}} = 48 \text{m/h}
\]
\[\square\]

This result shows that speeds are averaging not by the law of arithmetic mean! So let us look at this example in more detail.

**Example 16.3.2** The distance between $A$ and $B$ is $d$ miles. A track traveled from $A$ to $B$ with speed $u$ miles per hour, and back with speed $v$ miles per hour. What was the average speed of the car on the round trip?

**Solution.** It took 
\[
\frac{d}{u} \text{ hours}
\]
for a truck to get from $A$ to $B$ and 
\[
\frac{d}{v} \text{ hours}
\]
to get back. Therefore it took
\[ \frac{d}{u} + \frac{d}{v} \]
hours to make the round trip of 2d miles. Hence the average speed on the entire round trip was
\[ \frac{2d}{\frac{d}{u} + \frac{d}{v}} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v}} \]
miles per hour. Please observe:

- The result does not depend on the distance \(d\).
- the expression
  \[ \frac{2}{\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v}} \]
does not look at all as the arithmetic mean of \(u\) and \(v\).

What we get is the *harmonic mean*: it is defined for positive real numbers \(a, b > 0\) as
\[ \frac{2}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}}. \]
A simple algebraic rearrangement allow to write the harmonic mean in a bit more compact form:
\[ \frac{2}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}} = \frac{2ab}{a + b}. \]
This form is more preferable because it allows one of \(a\) or \(b\) be non-negative: if \(a > 0\) and \(b = 0\)
\[ \frac{2a \cdot 0}{a + 0} = 0, \]
thus resolving the paradox with the zero speed on the way back.

### 16.4 Geometric mean

**Example.** In an epidemics, the daily number of new cases had grow up by factor of 4 over November and by factor of 9 over December. What was the average monthly growth in the daily number in new cases over the two months?
Solution. Assume that daily number of new cases was equal $R$ at the beginning of November, then at the beginning of December it was $4 \cdot R$, and at the end of December it became equal $9 \cdot 4 \cdot R = 36R$.

The average monthly growth is the coefficient $k$ such that, if it were equally applied to November and to December, it would produce the same outcome: that is, $R$ at the beginning of November, $k \cdot R$ at the beginning of December and $k \cdot k \cdot R$ at the end of December, which means that

$$k \cdot k \cdot R = 36R,$$

$$k^2 = 36$$

and

$$k = \sqrt{36} = 6.$$

Observe that the result is different from the arithmetic mean of 4 and 9 (which equals $6\frac{1}{2}$). To see why this is happening we need to take a look at the same problem in “general notation”:

In an epidemic, the daily number of new cases had grow up by factor of $a$ over November and by factor of $b$ over December. What was the average monthly growth in the daily number in new cases over the two months?

The same argument gives us

$$k \cdot k \cdot R = a \cdot b \cdot R,$$

$$k^2 = ab$$

and

$$k = \sqrt{ab}.$$

For positive real numbers $a$ and $b$, the quantity $\sqrt{ab}$ is called the geometric mean of $a$ and $b$.

More generally, the geometric mean of $n$ positive numbers

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$$

is

$$\sqrt[n]{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n}.$$
16.5 A basic quadratic inequality

To analyse harmonic and geometric means, we shall need a basic inequality about quadratic expressions.

Theorem 16.1 Assume that $a, b > 0$ are positive real numbers. Then

$$4ab \leq (a + b)^2.$$ 

If, in addition, $a \neq b$, we have a strict inequality:

$$4ab < (a + b)^2.$$ 

Proof. Assume the contrary, that the negation of the desired inequality

$$4ab \leq (a + b)^2$$

is true, that is,

$$(a + b)^2 < 4ab.$$ 

Open brackets:

$$a^2 + 2ab + b^2 < 4ab$$

and add $-4ab$ to the both parts of the inequality:

$$a^2 + 2ab + b^2 - 4ab < 4ab - 4ab.$$

Simplify:

$$a^2 - 2ab + b^2 < 0$$

and rearrange:

$$(a - b)^2 < 0.$$ 

This is a contradiction because squares are non-negative by Theorem 13.3. 

We still have to do the “in addition” part of the theorem and prove the strict inequality

$$4ab < (a + b)^2.$$ 

in the case of $a \neq b$. But we have proved

$$4ab \leq (a + b)^2;$$
if the strict inequality does not hold, then
\[ 4ab = (a + b)^2, \]
which can be easily rearranged as
\[ 4ab = a^2 + 2ab + b^2, \]
\[ 0 = a^2 - 2ab + b^2, \]
\[ 0 = (a - b)^2, \]
and we get \( a = b \) in contradiction to our assumption \( a \neq b \).
\[ \Box \Box \]

16.6 Comparing the three means

Theorem 16.2 For all positive real numbers \( a \) and \( b \),
\[ \frac{2ab}{a+b} \leq \sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}. \]

Our proof of this theorem will be based on a simpler inequality of Theorem 16.1.

Proof. We shall prove the two inequalities
\[ \frac{2ab}{a+b} \leq \sqrt{ab} \]
and
\[ \sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \]
separately but by the same method, in both cases starting from the inequality of Theorem 16.1:
\[ 4ab \leq (a + b)^2. \]
(A) Proof of
\[ \frac{2ab}{a+b} \leq \sqrt{ab}. \]

We start with
\[ 4ab \leq (a+b)^2. \]
divide the both sides of the inequality by the positive number \((a+b)^2\):
\[ \frac{4ab}{(a+b)^2} \leq 1, \]
then multiply the both sides by \(ab > 0\):
\[ \frac{4a^2b^2}{(a+b)^2} \leq ab, \]
and extract the square roots from the both (positive!) sides of the inequality (Theorem 14.3 on Page 95):
\[ \frac{2ab}{a+b} \leq \sqrt{ab}. \]

(B) Proof of
\[ \sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \]
is even simpler. Again, we start with Theorem 16.1
\[ 4ab \leq (a+b)^2 \]
and, using the same Theorem 13.3, take the square roots of both parts:
\[ 2\sqrt{ab} \leq a + b, \]
and divide by 2:
\[ \sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}. \]

□
16.7 Advanced problems

The material in this section is not compulsory. The reason for its excrescence is a request from students: some students ask for more advanced, or harder, problems. Here are some of such problems.

The first problems, 16.1 to 16.9, require only basic arithmetic and some understanding of inequalities.

Problem 16.1 A hiker walked for 3.5 hours covering, in each one hour long interval of time, exactly 2 miles. Does it necessarily follow that his average speed over his hike was 3 miles per hour?

Problem 16.2 The front tyres of a car get worn out after 15,000 miles, the back ones after 25,000 miles. When they have to be swapped to achieve the longest possible run?

Problem 16.3 A paddle-steamer takes five days to travel from St Louis to New Orleans, and seven days for the return journey. Assuming that the rate of flow of the current is constant, calculate how long it takes for a raft to drift from St Louis to New Orleans.

Problem 16.4 A train carriage is called overcrowded if there are more than 60 passengers in it. On a Friday 19:00 train from London Euston to Manchester Piccadilly, what is higher: the percentage of overcrowded carriages or the percentage of passengers travelling in overcrowded carriages?

Problem 16.5 The average age of 11 players in a football team on the field is 22 years. During the game, one player got a red card. The average age of his teammates left of the field is 21 years. What is the age of the player who got the red card?

Problem 16.6 20 people sit around a big table. The age of each of them is the arithmetic mean of the ages of his/her two neighbours. Prove that all of them have the same age.

Problem 16.7 Gulnar has an average score of 87 after 6 tests. What does Gulnar need to get on the next test to finish with an average of 78 on all 7 tests?
Problem 16.8 Ms Fontaine, a teacher of French at a school, teaches two groups of students. In the following table you can see the lists of groups with the end of term marks. Can Ms Fontaine transfer students from one group to another in such a way that the mean marks in both groups will increase?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arithmetic mean</th>
<th>Arithmetic mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Headmaster expects the mean marks to grow from one year to another. Ms Fontaine cannot change marks, but she can transfer students from one group to another. Can she make the mean marks in the both groups higher than they were last year?

Problem 16.9 Place these numbers in increasing order:

\[ 222^2, \quad 2^{22}, \quad 2^{222}. \]

The following problems involve a bit of school level algebra.

Problem 16.10 Prove that if

\[ 0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_8 < a_9 \]

then

\[ \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_9}{a_3 + a_6 + a_9} < 3. \]

Problem 16.11 Without using Theorem 16.2, give a direct proof of an inequality for harmonic and arithmetic means:

\[ \frac{2ab}{a+b} \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \]

for all \( a > 0 \) and \( b > 0 \).
Problem 16.12 Prove the inequality between the quadratic mean and the arithmetic mean:

\[
\frac{a + b}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}}.
\]

Problem 16.13 Prove that, for all \( x \geq 0 \),

\[
1 + x \geq 2\sqrt{x}.
\]

Problem 16.14 Prove that, for all \( x > 0 \) and \( y > 0 \),

\[
\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \geq \frac{4}{x + y}.
\]

Problem 16.15 Prove that if the product of two positive numbers is bigger than their sum, then the sum is bigger than 4.* Hint: Use Problem 16.14 or Theorem 15.1.

Problem 16.16 If you ask junior school children: what is bigger,

\[
\frac{2}{3} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{4}{5},
\]

they perhaps will not be able to answer. But if you ask them: what is better, 2 bags of sweets for 3 kids of 3 bags for 4 kids, they will immediately give you the correct answer.

Indeed there is an easy line of reasoning which leads to this conclusion. Let us treat fractions not as numbers but descriptions of certain situations: \( \frac{2}{3} \) means 2 bags, 3 kids, \( \frac{3}{4} \) means 3 bags, 4 kids. How to get situation \( \frac{3}{4} \) from \( \frac{2}{3} \)? The fourth kid comes, bringing with him a bag. He has more for him compared with his three friends, who have 2 bags for 3, and of course 3 kids will benefit if the fourth one shares with them his bag.

This argument amounts to claiming (correctly) that

\[
\frac{2}{3} < \frac{2 + 1}{3 + 1} < \frac{1}{1}
\]

What we see here is a version of the Mediant Inequality:

if \( a, b, c, d > 0 \) and \( \frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d} \)

then \( \frac{a}{b} < \frac{a + c}{b + d} < \frac{c}{d} \)
Prove it.

The expression \( \frac{a + c}{b + d} \)
is called the **mediant** of \( \frac{a}{b} \) and \( \frac{c}{d} \); it makes sense and is used only for positive numbers \( a, b, c, d \).

### 16.8 Solutions to advanced problems

16.1 Assume that the hiker walks for half an hour with speed 4 m/h, then rests for half an hour, etc. Then in any given hour he will advance by exactly 2 miles, and, since he walks for 4 half an hour intervals, he will cover 8 miles. Hence his average speed is \( 8 \div 3.5 \geq 2 \text{ m/h} \).

16.2 **Answer:** After 9,375 miles – it will ensure ensure the run of 18,750 miles, the harmonic mean of 15,000 and 25,000.

16.3 **Answer:** 35 days.

16.4 Let us paint overcrowded carriages red. In each carriage, increase or decrease the number of passengers so it becomes exactly 60. Now each carriage has the same number of passengers, and the percentage of red carriages equals the percentage of passengers in red carriages. But in order to achieve that, we removed some passengers from red carriages and added passengers to other carriages. Hence, prior to this change, the percentage of passengers in red carriages was higher then the percentage of red carriages.

16.5 **Answer:** 22.

16.6 Consider an oldest person: his two neighbours have to have the same age as him/her. Continue applying the same arguments around the table.

16.7 **Solution 1.** What follows are hints provided, one after another, by the Khan Academy website.

**Hint 1:** Since the average score of the first 6 tests is 87, the sum of the scores of the first 6 tests is \( 6 \times 87 = 522 \).

**Hint 2:** If Gulnar gets a score of \( x \) on the 7th test, then the average score on all 7 tests will be: \( \frac{522 + x}{7} \).

**Hint 3:** This average needs to be equal to 78 so: \( \frac{522 + x}{7} = 78 \).

**Hint 4:** \( x = 24 \).

**Solution 2.** And here is how the same problem would be solved by the “steps” or “questions” method as it was taught in schools half a century ago, in 1950–60s.

**Question 1:** How many points in total did Gulnar get in 6 tests? **Answer:** \( 6 \times 87 = 522 \).

**Question 2:** How many points in total does Gulnar need to get in 7 tests? **Answer:** \( 7 \times 78 = 546 \).

**Question 3:** How many points does Gulnar need to get in the 7th test? **Answer:** \( 546 - 522 = 24 \).

**Solution 3.** There is a quicker solution which requires a bit better understanding of averages.\(^3\)

**Question 1:** How many “extra” – that is, above the requirement – points did Gulnar get, on average, in 6 tests? **Answer:** \( 87 - 78 = 9 \).

**Question 2:** How many “extra” points does Gulnar have? **Answer:** \( 9 \times 6 = 54 \).

**Question 3:** How many points does Gulnar need to get in the last test? **Answer:** \( 78 - 54 = 24 \).

16.8 To increase the mean mark in both groups, it is necessary to move from Group A to Group B students with marks which are higher than the mean mark in Group B but lower than the mean mark in Group A; these students are Fryer and Marsh. If they are moved from from Group A to Group B, the mean mark in Group A becomes

\[
\frac{44.2 \times 10 - 41 - 44}{8} = 44.625 > 44.4.
\]


\(^3\)Proposed by John Baldwin.
and in Group B

\[
\frac{38.8 \times 10 + 41 + 44}{12} = \frac{473}{12} = 39.4166\ldots > 39.2.
\]

that is, higher than the last year mean marks.

16.9 They are already in the increasing order:

\[222 < 22 < 222,\]

Indeed \(222^2 < 1000^2\) contains at most 9 digits, while \(22^2 > 10^2\) contains at least 22 digits. Similarly, \(22^2 < 100^2\) contains at most 44 digits, while

\[222 > 2^{220} = 2^{4 \times 55} = (2^4)^{55} = 16^{55} > 10^{55}\]

contains at least 55 digits. As you can see, the problem can me solved by mental arithmetic. And where would you place \(222^2\)?

16.10

\[\]

16.11

\[\]

16.12

\[\]

16.13 Substitute \(x = u^2\).

\[\]

16.14

16.15 Solution 1. By Problem 16.14, \(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \geq \frac{1}{x+y}\), which is equivalent to \(\frac{1}{x+y} \geq \frac{1}{u+v}\). But since \(xy > x + y\), we have \(1 > \frac{1}{x+y} \geq \frac{1}{u+v}\), hence \(x + y > 4\). Solution 2. The inequality \(xy > x + y\) can be rearranged as \(xy - x - y > 0\), and after adding 1 to both sides becomes \((x - 1)(y - 1) > 1\). The left-hand side can be factorised: \((x - 1)(y - 1) > 1\). Now replace the variables: set \(u = x - 1\) and \(v = y - 1\) (check that \(u > 0\) and \(v > 0\)). We have a new problem equivalent to our original problem: given positive numbers \(u\) and \(v\) such that \(uv > 1\), prove that \(u + v > 2\). Notice now that \(uv > 1\) is equivalent to \(v > \frac{1}{u}\) and \(u + v > u + \frac{1}{u} > 2\) by Theorem 15.1.

16.16 Since all \(a, b, c, d\) are positive, the inequality \(\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}\) is equivalent to \(ad < bc\).

To prove \(\frac{2}{3} < \frac{a + b}{3a + 2b}\), we can replace it by an equivalent inequality \(a(b + d) < b(a + c)\) (that is, the two inequalities are true or false simultaneously), which is equivalent to \(ab + ad < ab + bc\), which is equivalent to the one we already know: \(ad < bc\).

The other inequality, \(\frac{a}{a+b} < \frac{c}{c+d}\), can be done in a similar way.
17 Inequalities in single variable

17.1 Linear inequalities in single variable

We shall look at inequalities of the form

\[ ax + b > cx + d \]
\[ ax + b \geq cx + d \]
\[ ax + b \leq cx + d \]
\[ ax + b < cx + d \]

where \( x \) is an unknown (variable) and \( a, b, c, d \) are real coefficients. This type of inequalities are called linear inequality in single variable because they involve only linear functions of the same variable.

The solution set of an inequality with the unknown \( x \) is the set of all real numbers \( x \) for which it is true.

Two inequalities are called equivalent if they have the same solution set.

**Theorem 17.1** The solution sets of an inequality

\[ ax + b \leq cx + d \]

is either empty, or equal to the set of all real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \), or a ray.

Similarly, the solution set of an inequality

\[ ax + b < cx + d \]

is either empty, or equal to the set of all real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \), or a half-line.

**Example 17.1.1**

- The inequality
  \[ x + 1 \leq x - 1 \]
  has no solution.
• Every real number is a solution of the inequality
  \[ x - 1 \leq x + 1. \]

• The inequality
  \[ 2x - 1 \leq x + 1 \]
can be rearranged, by adding \(-x\) to the both sides, as
  \[ x - 1 \leq 1 \]
and then, by adding 1 to the both sides, as
  \[ x \leq 2. \]

Hence the solution set is the ray
  \[ \{ x : x \leq 2 \} = ] -\infty, 2]. \]

• Similarly, the inequality
  \[ x - 1 \leq 2x + 1 \]
has the solution set \([-2, +\infty[, a\ ray\ of\ another\ direction.\]

• The same examples remain valid if we replace \( \leq \) by \(<\) and the rays by half-lines.

### 17.2 Quadratic inequalities in single variable

In this lecture, we consider inequalities involving quadratic functions such as

\[ ax^2 + bx + c > 0, \]
\[ ax^2 + bx + c \geq 0, \]
\[ ax^2 + bx + c \leq 0, \]
\[ ax^2 + bx + c < 0. \]

We assume that \( a \neq 0 \) (for otherwise we would have just a linear inequalities of the kind \( bx + c \geq 0, \text{etc.}\). We can divide
the inequalities by \( a \) – of course, taking into account the sign of \( a \) and changing the directions of inequalities appropriately, so that

**if** \( a > 0 \),

\[
ax^2 + bx + c > 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} > 0
\]

\[
ax^2 + bx + c \geq 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} \geq 0
\]

\[
ax^2 + bx + c \leq 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} \leq 0
\]

\[
ax^2 + bx + c < 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} < 0
\]

**if** \( a < 0 \),

\[
ax^2 + bx + c > 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} < 0
\]

\[
ax^2 + bx + c \geq 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} \leq 0
\]

\[
ax^2 + bx + c \leq 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} \geq 0
\]

\[
ax^2 + bx + c < 0 \quad \text{becomes} \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} > 0
\]

so we can assume, after changing notation

\[
\frac{b}{a} \quad \text{back to} \quad b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{a} \quad \text{back to} \quad c,
\]

and without loss of generality, that we are dealing with one of the inequalities

\[
x^2 + bx + c > 0,
\]

\[
x^2 + bx + c \geq 0,
\]

\[
x^2 + bx + c \leq 0,
\]

\[
x^2 + bx + c < 0.
\]
Example 17.2.1 Now consider two quadratic functions
\[ f(x) = x^2 + 4x + 3 \]
and
\[ g(x) = x^2 + 4x + 5. \]
Obviously,
\[ f(x) = x^2 + 4x + 3 = x^2 + 4x + 4 - 1 = (x + 2)^2 - 1 \]
and
\[ g(x) = x^2 + 4x + 5 = x^2 + 4x + 4 + 1 = (x + 2)^2 + 1. \]
Now it becomes obvious that the function
\[ g(x) = (x + 2)^2 + 1 \]
takes only positive values (because, for all real \( x \), \( (x + 2)^2 \geq 0 \) and \( (x + 2)^2 + 1 \geq 1 > 0 \), hence inequalities
\[ x^2 + 4x + 5 \leq 0 \]
and
\[ x^2 + 4x + 5 < 0 \]
have no solution, while
\[ x^2 + 4x + 5 > 0 \]
and
\[ x^2 + 4x + 5 \geq 0 \]
have the whole real line \( \mathbb{R} \) as solution sets.

The behaviour of the quadratic function
\[ f(x) = (x + 2)^2 - 1 \]
is different. We can use the formula
\[ u^2 - v^2 = (u + v)(u - v) \]
and factorise
\[ f(x) = (x + 2)^2 - 1 = [(x + 2) + 1] \cdot [(x + 2) - 1] = (x + 3)(x + 1). \]
We can see now that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if} & \quad x < -3 \quad \text{then} \quad (x + 3)(x + 1) > 0 \\
\text{if} & \quad x = -3 \quad \text{then} \quad (x + 3)(x + 1) = 0 \\
\text{if} & \quad -3 < x < -1 \quad \text{then} \quad (x + 3)(x + 1) < 0 \\
\text{if} & \quad -1 < x \quad \text{then} \quad (x + 3)(x + 1) > 0
\end{align*}
\]

This allows us to solve every inequality

\[
\begin{align*}
x^2 + 4x + 3 > 0 & \quad : \quad x \in ]-\infty, -3[ \cup ]-1, +\infty[ \\
x^2 + 4x + 3 \geq 0 & \quad : \quad x \in ]-\infty, -3] \cup [-1, +\infty[ \\
x^2 + 4x + 3 \leq 0 & \quad : \quad x \in [-3, -1] \\
x^2 + 4x + 3 < 0 & \quad : \quad x \in ]-3, -1[ 
\end{align*}
\]

As we can see, the crucial step is \textit{completion of square}, rewriting a quadratic function \(x^2 + bx + c\) as

\[
x^2 + bx + c = (x + e)^2 + d
\]

where \((x + e)^2\) is always non-negative for all real \(x\), while \(d\) is a constant that can be negative, zero, or positive.

We can easily get a formula expressing \(e\) and \(d\) in terms of \(b\) and \(c\). For that purpose, open brackets in the previous formula:

\[
x^2 + bx + c = x^2 + 2ex + e^2 + d.
\]

We can cancel \(x^2\) from the both sides of the equation and get an equality of linear functions:

\[
bx + c = 2ex + (e^2 + d).
\]

Hence

\[
b = 2e \quad \text{and} \quad c = e^2 + d.
\]

Substituting \(e = \frac{b}{2}\) into \(c = e^2 + d\), we see that

\[
e = \frac{b}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad d = c - \frac{b^2}{4}.
\]

Hence

\[
x^2 + bx + c = \left(x + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + \left(c - \frac{b^2}{4}\right)
\]

which is traditionally written as

\[
= \left(x + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{b^2}{4} - e\right)
\]
As we discovered, the behaviour of solutions sets of inequalities
\[ x^2 + bx + c > 0, \]
\[ x^2 + bx + c \geq 0, \]
\[ x^2 + bx + c \leq 0, \]
\[ x^2 + bx + c < 0 \]
on which of the following is true:
\[ \frac{b^2}{4} - c > 0 \]
\[ \frac{b^2}{4} - c = 0 \]
\[ \frac{b^2}{4} - c < 0 \]

In the literature, usually a slightly different form of this expression is used, which, however, has the same sign:
\[ \Delta = b^2 - 4c = 4 \cdot \left( \frac{b^2}{4} - c \right) ; \]

\( \Delta \) is called the discriminant of the quadratic function
\[ y = x^2 + bx + c. \]
18 Linear inequalities in two variables

18.1 Two variables: equations of lines

Every line in the plane with coordinates $x$ and $y$ has an equation of the form

$$ax + by + c = 0.$$ 

This equation can be rearranged to one of the forms

$$x = C$$

(vertical lines),

$$y = C$$

(horizontal lines),

$$y = Cx$$

(lines passing through the origin $O(0,0)$), or

$$\frac{x}{A} + \frac{y}{B} = 1$$

(the so-called intercept equations). In the latter case, the points $(A,0)$ and $(0,B)$ are intersection points of the line with the $x$-axis and $y$-axis, respectively, (and are called intercepts), and the line given by an intercept equation is easy to plot.

**Example 18.1.1** Equation of a straight line

$$2x + 3y = 6$$
rewritten in terms of intercepts becomes
\[ \frac{x}{3} + \frac{y}{2} = 6. \]

### 18.2 Linear inequalities in two variables

We shall look at inequalities of the form
\[
\begin{align*}
ax + by &> c \\
ax + by &\geq c \\
ax + by &\leq c \\
ax + by &< c
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x \) and \( y \) are unknowns (variables) and \( a, b, c \) are real coefficients.

Notice that linear inequalities in single variable are special cases of linear inequalities in two variables: if \( b = 0 \), we have
\[
ax > c, \quad ax \geq c, \quad ax \leq c, \quad ax < c.
\]

The solution set of a linear inequality in two variables \( x \) and \( y \) is the set of all pairs \((x, y)\) of real numbers which satisfy the inequality. It is natural to represent \((x, y)\) as a point with coordinates \( x \) and \( y \) in the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

The line
\[ ax + by = c \]
divides the plane in two halfplanes: the one is the solution set of the inequality
\[ ax + by > c \]
another one is the solution set of the inequality

\[ ax + by < c \]

The line \( ax + by = c \) itself is the border line between the two halflines, it separates them.

Here is a sample of some more common linear inequalities.
18.3 Systems of simultaneous linear inequalities in two variables

The solution set of a system of inequalities in two variables

\[ ax + by > c \]
\[ dx + ey > f \]

is the intersection of two halfplanes, the solution set of the inequality

\[ ax + by > c \]

and of the inequality

\[ dx + ey > f \]
The solution set of the system of inequalities \( x > a \) and \( x + y > c \).

Solution sets of systems of several simultaneous inequalities are intersections of halfplanes. In the examples above in this section halfplanes were \textit{open}, they corresponded to strict inequalities

\[
ax + by > c
\]

or

\[
ax + by < c;
\]

and did not contained the border line

\[
ax + by + c = 0.
\]

Non-strict inequality

\[
ax + by \geq c
\]

or

\[
ax + by \leq c;
\]

correspond to \textit{closed} halfplanes which contain the border line

\[
ax + by + c = 0.
\]

A system of simultaneous inequalities could combine strict and non-strict inequalities, and the the correspondent solution sets contain some parts of their borders but not others. Try to sketch the solution set of the system

\[
\begin{align*}
x & > 1 \\
x + y & \geq 2
\end{align*}
\]

and you will see it for yourselves.
18.4 Questions from students and some more advanced problem

One of the students asked me:

> Are we allowed to take a plain sheet of graph paper into the ON1 exam in January?

The answer is NO. But ruled paper of examination notebooks suffices for crude sketches. Below is an example of such sketch. As you can see, nothing difficult. Actually, it illustrates a problem: the triangle $ABC$ is formed by lines

\[
\begin{align*}
    x & = 1 \\
    x + y & = 4 \\
    x + 2y & = 4
\end{align*}
\]

and therefore points inside of the triangle are solutions of the system of simultaneous inequalities
\[
\begin{align*}
x & \leq 1 \\
x + y & \leq 4 \\
x + 2y & \leq 4
\end{align*}
\]
where, in each case, \(\leq\) stands for one of the symbols \(<\) and \(>\).

Determine which of the signs \(<\) or \(>\) have to be put in the inequalities.
19 Interval Arithmetic and Convexity

19.1 Interval arithmetic

Example. The length $L$ and width $W$ of a rectangular sheet of plastic can be measured only approximately and are, in centimeters,

$$195 \leq L \leq 205 \text{ and } 95 \leq W \leq 105$$

What are possible values of the area of the sheet?

These typical practical problem motivates the following definitions.

For any two sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$A + B = \{ a + b : a \in A, b \in B \}$$

and

$$A \times B = \{ ab : a \in A, b \in B \}.$$  

Notice that

$$\emptyset + B = \emptyset \text{ and } \emptyset \times B = \emptyset.$$  

**Theorem 19.1** For all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$

$$[a, b] + [c, d] = [a + c, b + d]$$

$$]a, b[ + ]c, d[ = ]a + c, b + d[.$$  

Similar statements hold for sums of all kinds of segments, intervals and semi-open intervals (such as $]a, b]$ and $[c, d[$). Derivation of the corresponding formulae is left to the reader as an exercise – there are $2^4 = 16$ of them (why?), and it does not make sense to list them all.

**Theorem 19.2** For all non-negative real numbers $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$

$$[a, b] \times [c, d] = [ac, bd]$$

$$]a, b[ \times ]c, d[ = ]ac, bd[.$$
Again, similar statements hold for sums of all kinds of segments, intervals and semi-open intervals, and derivation of the corresponding formulae is left to the reader as an exercise.

In the example above, the area $S$ of the sheet is approximated (in cm$^2$) as

$$S \in [195 \times 95, 205 \times 105].$$

It is essential that in the statement of Theorem 19.2 the numbers $a, b, c, d$ are all non-negative, as the following example shows:

$$[-2, 3] \times [5, 7] = [-14, 21],$$

and is not equal

$$[-2 \times 5, 3 \times 7]$$

as would follow from the blind application of a formula from Theorem 19.2.

### 19.2 Convexity

A set $S$ in the plane is called **convex** if, with any two points $A, B \in S$, it contains the segment $[A, B]$ connecting the points.

**Theorem 19.3** Intersection of convex sets is convex.

**Theorem 19.4** Half planes are convex.

**Theorem 19.5** The solution set of a system of homogeneous linear inequalities is convex.

This no longer true if inequalities are not linear (for example, quadratic): the solution set of

$$y \geq x^2$$

is convex, but of

$$y < x^2$$

is not (check!).

**Corollary 19.6** If a system of homogeneous linear inequalities has two distinct solution then it has infinitely many solutions.
20 The idea of linear programming

20.1 A real life problem

Consider the following problem:

Example 20.1.1 A factory has a dual fuel heating system, it could interchangeably use coal or heavy oil. It needs to store some fuel, \( x \) tonnes of coal and \( y \) tonnes of oil, for use in Winter. There are natural restrictions:

- The cost of a tonne of coal is \( a \) pounds, a tonne of oil is \( b \) pounds, and the heating budget of \( M \) pounds cannot be exceeded;
- The factory cannot store more than \( V \) tonnes of oil.
- Because of El Niño previous year, the long term weather forecast is very alarming, and the manager wants to ensure the highest possible heat output from fuel; the thermal output of a tonne of coal is \( c \) Joules, of a tonne of oil is \( b \) Joules.

In mathematical terms, we have to find values of \( x \) and \( y \) which satisfy restrictions

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0 \\
y & \geq 0 \\
a x + b y & \leq M \\
y & \leq V
\end{align*}
\]

such that the thermal output function

\[
T(x, y) = cx + dy
\]

takes the maximal possible value subject to these restrictions.

This a typical problem of Linear Programming.

Let us do it with concrete values of parameters involved.
Example 20.1.2 Maximise $T(x, y) = x + y$ subject to restrictions

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0 \\
y & \geq 0 \\
2x + y & \leq 6 \\
y & \leq 4
\end{align*}
\]

subsection A bit more sophisticated examples

Example 20.1.3 In the same problem with the dual fuel heating system, assume that we also have the environmental pollution limit,

\[px + qy \leq E,
\]

so we have to maximise the thermal output function $T(x, y) = cx + dy$ subject to more restrictions

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0 \\
y & \geq 0 \\
ax + by & \leq M \\
px + qy & \leq E \\
y & \leq V
\end{align*}
\]

For ease of drawing, I pick numbers

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0 \\
y & \geq 0 \\
x + 2y & \leq 10 \quad \text{cost restriction} \\
3x + 2y & \leq 18 \quad \text{pollution limit} \\
y & \leq 4 \quad \text{liquid fuel storage restriction}
\end{align*}
\]
21 Principle of Mathematical Induction

21.1 Formulation of the Principle of Mathematical Induction

Let $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of statements, one statement $p_n$ for each positive integer $n$. For example,

- $p_1$ is “$9^1 - 1$ is divisible by 8”
- $p_2$ is “$9^2 - 1$ is divisible by 8”
- $p_3$ is “$9^3 - 1$ is divisible by 8”

so for each positive integer $n$, $p_n$ is the statement $p_n$ is “$9^n - 1$ is divisible by 8”.

Suppose that we have the following information

1. $p_1$ is true.
2. The statements $p_1 \rightarrow p_2$, $p_2 \rightarrow p_3$, $p_3 \rightarrow p_4$, $p_4 \rightarrow p_5 \ldots$ are all true, i.e.

   $p_k \rightarrow p_{k+1}$

   is true for each positive integer $k$.

Then we can deduce

- $p_1$ is true and $p_1 \rightarrow p_2$ is true implies $p_2$ is true,
- $p_2$ is true and $p_2 \rightarrow p_3$ is true implies $p_3$ is true,
- $p_3$ is true and $p_3 \rightarrow p_4$ is true implies $p_4$ is true,

that is,

$p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$ are all true i.e.

$p_n$ is true for all $n$. 

21.2 Examples

Example 21.2.1 Prove, by induction on \( n \), that

\[ 1 + 3 + 5 + \cdots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \]

for every positive integer \( n \).

Solution. For each positive integer \( n \), \( p_n \) denotes the statement

\[ 1 + 3 + 5 + \cdots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \]

In particular,

\[ p_1 \text{ is } 1 = 1^2 \quad \top \]
\[ p_2 \text{ is } 1 + 3 = 2^2 \quad \top \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ p_k \text{ is } 1 + 3 + \cdots + (2k - 1) = k^2 \]
\[ p_{k+1} \text{ is } 1 + 3 + \cdots + (2k - 1) + (2k + 1) = (k + 1)^2 \]

- (1) \( p_1 \) is the statement “1 = 1^2” which is clearly true.

- (1) Suppose the statement \( p_n \) is true for \( n = k \), i.e.

\[ 1 + 3 + \cdots + (2k - 1) = k^2. \]

Add \( 2(k + 1) - 1 = 2k + 1 \) to both sides:

\[ 1 + 3 + \cdots + (2k - 1) + (2k + 1) = k^2 + (2k + 1) \]
\[ = k^2 + 2k + 1 \]
\[ = (k + 1)^2. \]

But this is the statement \( p_n \) for \( n = k \) as required.

Hence, by mathematical induction, \( p_n \) is true for all \( n \). \( \square \)

Example 21.2.2 (Examination of January 2007). Let \( p_1 \) denote the statement

\[ \frac{1}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}; \]
furthermore, for each positive integer \( n \), let \( p_n \) denote the statement
\[
\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}.
\]
Prove, by induction, that \( p_n \) is true for all \( n \).

**Solution.** Basis of induction is the statement \( p_1 \),
\[
\frac{1}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} ;
\]
it is obviously true.

Inductive step: We need to prove that \( p_k \rightarrow p_{k+1} \) for all \( k \). To do that, assume that \( p_k \) is true, that is,
\[
\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} .
\]
Form this identity, we need to get \( p_{k+1} \). This is achieved by adding
\[
\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}
\]
to the both sides of the equality \( p_k \):
\[
\left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^k} \right] + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \right] + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} .
\]
But the righthand side simplifies as
\[
1 - \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = 1 - \frac{2}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = 1 - \frac{2}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = 1 - \left( \frac{2}{2^{k+1}} - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \right) = 1 - \frac{2 - 1}{2^{k+1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}
\]
and the result of this rearrangement is
\[
\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} ,
\]
which is exactly the statement \( p_{k+1} \). This completes the proof of the inductive step. □
21.3 Is mathematical induction the most confusing method ever taught?

* Material of this section is not compulsory

If you think so and see the question above as well justified then read this answer given by Toby Ovod-Everett at QUORA*:

My Mother [Carol Everett] taught me induction when I was seven. We were driving down the highway one morning (this was when kids could ride in the front seat). She looked at my shirt, noticed it was dirty, and said I should have changed it. I replied that it had been clean enough the day before, implying that meant it was clean enough today.

She recognized the perfect moment to introduce induction. **If given that the shirt was clean enough the day before meant it was clean enough today, then if it was clean enough on one day it would be clean enough forever!**

Maybe we just fail to teach induction at an early enough age. Come to think of it, I think she taught me proof by contradiction at the same time.

The principle of mathematical induction as we know it now was first published by the British mathematician Augustus De Morgan (the one of the De Morgan laws in Boolean Algebra) in 1838 in *The Penny Cyclopedia of the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge*. The title of this encyclopaedia clearly tells that it was produced for the general public. You can find the text of De Morgan’s original article at [http://bit.ly/2iD9jsi](http://bit.ly/2iD9jsi).
22 Mathematical Induction: Examples with briefer solutions

22.1 The sum of arithmetic progression

Example 22.1.1 Prove by induction on \( n \) that
\[
1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{1}{2} n(n + 1)
\]
for every positive integer \( n \).

Solution.

Let \( p_n \) be the statement “\( 1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{1}{2} n(n + 1) \)”. \( p_1 \) is the statement “\( 1 = \frac{1}{2} \times 1 \times 2 \)”. This is clearly true.

Suppose \( p_n \) is true for \( n = k \), i.e. \( 1 + 2 + \cdots + k = \frac{1}{2} k(k+1) \).

Then
\[
1 + 2 + \cdots + k + (k + 1) = (1 + 2 + \cdots + k) + (k + 1)
= \frac{1}{2} k(k + 1) + (k + 1)
= \frac{1}{2} k(k + 1) + \frac{1}{2} 2(k + 1)
= \frac{1}{2} (k + 1)(k + 2).
\]

Thus
\[
1 + 2 + \cdots + k + (k + 1) = \frac{1}{2} (k + 1)((k + 1) + 1).
\]

Therefore \( p_n \) is true for \( n = k + 1 \). By induction, \( p_n \) is true for all \( n \). \( \square \)

22.2 A historic remark

* Material of this section is not compulsory

There is a famous legend about Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777–1855), one of the greatest mathematicians of all time.
The story goes that, in school, at the age of 8, his teacher set up a task to his class: add up the first 100 natural numbers,

\[1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \cdots + 9 + 100.\]

It is frequently claimed that the teacher used this trick many times to keep the class busy for long periods while he took a snooze.

Unfortunately for the teacher, young Gauss instantly produced the answer: 5050. He observed that if the same sum is written in direct and reversed orders:

\[S = 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + 99 + 100,\]

\[S = 100 + 99 + 98 + \cdots + 2 + 1,\]

then each of 100 columns at the RHS sums up to 101:

\[2S = 101 + 101 + 101 + \cdots + 101 + 101,\]

and therefore

\[2S = 100 \times 101\]

and

\[S = 50 \times 101 = 5050.\]

Of course, we can repeat the same for arbitrary positive integer \(n:\)

\[S = 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n - 1 + n,\]

\[S = n + n - 1 + n - 2 + \cdots + 2 + 1,\]

Then each of 1n columns at the RHS sums up to \(n + 1,\) and therefore

\[2S = n \cdot (n + 1)\]

and

\[S = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2},\]

thus proving the formula

\[1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1).\]
for every positive integer $n$ – without the use of mathematical induction.

Many problems which can be solved by mathematical induction can also be solved by beautiful tricks like that, each trick specifically invented for a particular problem. But mathematical induction has the advantage of being a general method, applicable, with some slight modification, to a vast number of problems.

![Figure 6: German 10-Deutsche Mark Banknote (1993; discontinued). Source: WIKIPEDIA.](image)

If this clever summation was the only mathematical achievement of little Carl, he would not be known to us, the unit for measurement of a magnetic field (in the centimeter / gram / second system) would not be called *gauss*, and his portrait would not be on banknotes—see Figure 6. But Gauss did much more in mathematics, statistics, astronomy, physics.

Remarkably, WIKIPEDIA gives the names of Gauss’ teacher, J. G. Büttner, and the teaching assistant, Martin Bartels. Perhaps Carl’s teachers were not so bad after all – especially after taking into consideration that Bartels (1769–1836) later became a teacher of another universally acknowledged genius of mathematics, Nikolai Lobachevsky (1792–1856).

If you find this story interesting, please consider a career in teaching of mathematics. The humanity needs you.
The story about Gauss was reminded to me by Nataša Strabić, who for a few years was a tutorial class teacher in this course, after she told it to her students in the class. She is a good teacher.

22.3 Mathematical induction in proofs of inequalities

Example 22.3.1 Prove, by induction on $n$, that $n < 2^n$ for every positive integer $n$.

Solution. Basis of induction, $n = 1$:

\[ 1 < 2^1 \]

is obviously true.

Inductive step. Assume that, for some $k > 1$,

\[ k < 2^k \]

is true. Since $1 < k$ by assumption, we also have

\[ 1 < 2^k. \]

Add the two inequalities together:

\[ k + 1 < 2^k + 2^k = 2^{k+1}. \]

This proves the inductive step. \(\square\)

Example 22.3.2 Let $x \geq -1$ and $n$ a natural number. Prove that

\[ (1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx. \]
23 Review of the course

The review of the course will focus on students’ questions, and for that reason lecture notes are not prepared in advance. Hopefully, the captured video stream from the visualiser will suffice. It will be available at the usual place,

https://video.manchester.ac.uk/lectures
Appendix I: Laws of Boolean Algebra

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap B &= B \cap A \\
A \cup B &= B \cup A \\
\end{align*}
\]  
commutative laws  \(1\)

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap A &= A \\
A \cup A &= A \\
\end{align*}
\]  
idempotent laws \(2\)

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap (B \cap C) &= (A \cap B) \cap C \\
A \cup (B \cup C) &= (A \cup B) \cup C \\
\end{align*}
\]  
associative laws \(3\)

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap (B \cup C) &= (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \\
A \cup (B \cap C) &= (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C) \\
\end{align*}
\]  
distributive laws \(4\)

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap (A \cup B) &= A \\
A \cup (A \cap B) &= A \\
\end{align*}
\]  
absorption laws \(5\)

\[
\begin{align*}
A \cap U &= A \\
A \cup \emptyset &= A \\
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
\begin{align*}
A \cup U &= U \\
A \cap \emptyset &= \emptyset \\
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
\begin{align*}
(A')' &= A \\
A \cap A' &= \emptyset \\
U' &= \emptyset \\
A \cup A' &= U \\
\emptyset' &= U \\
\end{align*}
\]  
De Morgan's laws \(6\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(A \cap B)' &= A' \cup B' \\
(A \cup B)' &= A' \cap B' \\
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
\begin{align*}
(A \cap B)' &= (A \cup B') \cup (B \cap A') \  
\end{align*}
\]  
De Morgan’s laws \(7\)

Additional operations

\[
A \setminus B = A \cap B' \\
A \Delta B = (A \cap B') \cup (B \cap A')
\]
Appendix II: Laws of Propositional Logic

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \land q & \equiv q \land p \quad \text{commutative laws (1)} \\
    p \lor q & \equiv q \lor p \\
    p \land p & \equiv p \\
    p \lor p & \equiv p \\
\end{align*}
\]

idempotent laws (2)

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \land (q \land r) & \equiv (p \land q) \land r \\
    p \lor (q \lor r) & \equiv (p \lor q) \lor r \quad \text{associative laws (3)} \\
    p \land (q \lor r) & \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r) \\
    p \lor (q \land r) & \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r) \quad \text{distributive laws (4)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \land (p \lor q) & \equiv p \\
    p \lor (p \land q) & \equiv p \\
\end{align*}
\]

absorbtion laws (5)

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \land T & \equiv p \\
    p \lor F & \equiv p \\
    p \lor T & \equiv T \\
    p \land F & \equiv F \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    \sim(\sim p) & \equiv p \\
    p \land \sim p & \equiv F \\
    p \lor \sim p & \equiv T \\
    \sim T & \equiv F \\
    \sim F & \equiv T \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    \sim(p \land q) & \equiv \sim p \lor \sim q \\
    \sim(p \lor q) & \equiv \sim p \land \sim q \\
\end{align*}
\]

De Morgan’s laws (8)

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \rightarrow q & \equiv \sim p \lor q \quad (9)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    (p \leftrightarrow q) & \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p) \quad (10)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    p \oplus q & \equiv (p \land \sim q) \lor (\sim p \land q) \quad (11)
\end{align*}
\]
Equivalences relating $\forall$ and $\exists$:

$$\sim (\forall x) p(x) \equiv (\exists x) \sim p(x) \quad (12)$$

$$\sim (\exists x) p(x) \equiv (\forall x) \sim p(x) \quad (13)$$
Appendix III: Weekly Tests, 2015

General arrangements

- Each test counts costs 4 points, 10 best tests make up to $4 \times 10 = 40\%$ of the course mark (another 60\% are from the examination). *

- Time allowed: 10 minutes from 11:40 to 11:50. *

  This includes all the preparatory manipulations: clearing desks from books and papers, distribution of test papers, collection of scripts, etc. The actual writing time is about 7 minutes.

- Marking scheme:
  - 2 marks for a complete correct answer
  - 1 mark for an incomplete correct answer,
  - 0 for an incorrect or partially incorrect answer or no answer.
  - A correct answer might contain more than one choice.

  In practice it means that if in a particular test the options are A, B, and C, and the correct answers are A and B, then

  - $AB = 2$ marks
  - $A = 1$ mark
  - $B = 1$ mark
  - C, AC, BC, ABC are equal 0 marks.

* Rules could change in later years, check the current arrangements in the Introduction, page 9.

* Time is for 2015

Answers

Test 01: 1BC, 2A
Test 02: 1AC, 2BC
Test 03: 1BC, 2BC
This question is “The following statements are about subsets of the universal set $U$. Which of them are false?” Option (D) says: All of the above. (D) is false, because (A), (B), (C) are true.

1. Friday 9 October 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Let $X = \{1, \frac{2}{2}, 2, \frac{6}{2}\}$. Which of the following sets is a subset of $X$?
   
   □ (A) \{0\}
   □ (B) \{1, 2, 3\}
   □ (C) \emptyset
   □ (D) None of the above.

2. How many subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ contain no odd numbers?
   
   □ (A) 8
   □ (B) 4
   □ (C) 16
   □ (D) None of the above.

2. Friday 16 October 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be sets and $Z$ is the set of all elements which belong to exactly one of the two sets $X$ or $Y$. Which of the following sets equals $Z$?
(A) \((X \cup Y) \cap (X' \cup Y')\)
(B) \((X \cap Y) \cup (X' \cap Y')\)
(C) \((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cap Y)'\)
(D) None of the above

2. Some of the sets listed below are equal to other sets on the list. Which ones?

(A) \(A = [1, 2] \cap (2, 3)\)
(B) \(B = [1, 2] \cap [2, 3]\)
(C) \(C = \{1, 2\} \cap \{2, 3\}\)
(D) \(D = \{1, 3\} \cap [2, 3]\)

3. Friday 23 October 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Given that \(p \rightarrow q\) is \(\mathbb{F}\), which of the following statements is definitely \(T\)?

(A) \(\sim q \rightarrow (p \land q)\)
(B) \((q \rightarrow p) \lor q\)
(C) \((q \land q) \rightarrow p\)
(D) None of the above

2. Which of the following sets is finite?

(A) \([0, 1] \cup [2, 3]\)
(B) \([0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Z}\)
(C) \(\{0, 1\} \cup \{1, 2\}\)
(D) None of the above.
4. Friday 30 October 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Which of the following statement is a tautology?

☐ (A) \((p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p)\)
☐ (B) \((p \rightarrow q) \lor (q \rightarrow p)\)
☐ (C) \((p \rightarrow p) \land (q \rightarrow q)\)
☐ (D) None of the above

2. Which of the following statements is a contradiction?

☐ (A) \(p \rightarrow \sim p\)
☐ (B) \(p \lor \sim p\)
☐ (C) \(p \land \sim p\)
☐ (D) None of the above

5. Friday 6 November 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. For real numbers \(x\) and \(y\), let \(p(x, y)\) denote the predicate \(x < y\). Which of the following statements are true?

☐ (A) \((\exists x)p(x, 0)\)
☐ (B) \((\forall x)(\forall y)(p(x, y) \lor p(y, x))\)
☐ (C) \((\exists x)(\exists y)(p(x, y) \land p(y, x))\)
☐ (D) None of the above

2. For real numbers \(x\) and \(y\), let \(q(x, y)\) denote the predicate \(xy = 0\). Which of the following statements are true?

☐ (A) \((\forall x)(\forall y)q(x, y)\) ☐ (B) \((\forall x)(\exists y)q(x, y)\)
6. Friday 13 November 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. The following statements are about subsets of the universal set $U$. Which of them are false?

   □ (A) $(\exists X)(\forall Y)(X \cap Y = Y)$
   □ (B) $(\exists X)(\forall Y)(X \cup Y = Y)$
   □ (C) $(\forall X)(\exists Y)(X \cap Y = Y)$
   □ (D) All of the above

2. In the following statements, the universal set is the set $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers with usual operations. Which of the statements are true?

   □ (A) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x + y = 0 \rightarrow x^2 = y^2)$
   □ (B) $(\forall x)(\exists y)(x + y = 2x)$
   □ (C) $(\exists x)(\exists y)(x + y = 0 \land xy = 1)$
   □ (D) None of the above

7. Friday 20 November 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Which of the following sets are finite?

   □ (A) The set of all dogs in Britain.
   □ (B) $[0, 1] \cap [1, 2]$
   □ (C) $[0, 3] \cap [1, 2]$
None of the above sets is finite.

2. Which of the following sets are subsets of the segment $[0, 1]$?

- (A) $[0, 1] \cup [1, 2]$
- (B) $[0, 1] \cap ]−1, 2[
- (C) $\{1, 0\}$
- (D) None of the above sets is a subset of $[0, 1]$.

8. Friday 27 November 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Which of the following sets is the solution set of the inequality
   \[3x + 2 \geq 2x + 3?\]

- (A) The set $\{x : x > 1\}$
- (B) The segment $[2, 3]$
- (C) The ray $[1, +\infty[$
- (D) None of the above.

2. Which of the following sets is the solution set of the system of simultaneous inequalities
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   3x + 2 & \geq 2x + 3 \\
   2x + 2 & \geq 3x + 1
   \end{align*}
   \]

- (A) $\{1\}$
- (B) $]−1, 1[$
- (C) $[−1, 1]$
- (D) None of the above.
9. Friday 4 December 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Which of the following four points lie(s) on the same side of the line $x + 2y = 5$, but not on the line itself?

- (A) $A(1, 2)$
- (B) $B(-2, 4)$
- (C) $C(-2, 2)$
- (D) $D(-2, 3)$

2. The solution set(s) of which of the following systems of simultaneous inequalities is (are) infinite?

- (A) $x \leq 2, y \geq 2, x \geq y$
- (B) $x \leq 1, y \geq 2, x \geq y$
- (C) $x \leq 2, y \geq 1, x \geq y$
- (D) None of the above.

10. Friday 11 December 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Which of the following set(s) is (are) the solution set(s) of the inequality $x^2 > 4x - 3$?

- (A) The segment $[-1, -3]$
- (B) The union of halflines $]-\infty, 1[ \cup ]3, +\infty[$
- (C) The interval $]1, 3[$
- (D) The empty set $\emptyset$
2. Which of the following point(s) lie(s) strictly inside (and not on the sides) of the triangle formed by straight lines

\[ x + 2y = 4, \quad x = 2y, \quad x = 4? \]

- (A) A(4, 1)
- (B) B(1, 2)
- (C) C(3, 1)
- (D) None of the above.

11. Friday 18 December 2015

Tick the correct box (or boxes):

1. Which of the following inequalities has (have) infinite solution set(s)?

- (A) \( x^2 - 8x > -16 \)
- (B) \( x^2 - 8x \geq -16 \)
- (C) \( x^2 - 8x \leq -16 \)
- (D) \( x^2 - 8x < -16 \)
- (E) None of the above

2. Is the solution set of the system of inequalities

\[
\begin{align*}
y &> x^2 + 2x + 2 \\
x - 2 &> y
\end{align*}
\]

- (A) infinite;
- (B) finite;
- (C) none of the above?