Restriction Semigroups

NBSAN 2011 Manchester, 30th August

> Victoria Gould University of York

Restriction semigroups may be obtained as/from:

- Varieties of algebras
- Representation by (partial) mappings
- Generalised Green's relations
- Inductive categories and constellations

Notation

S will always denote a semigroup E(S) is the set of idempotents of S and $E \subseteq E(S)$ • For any $a, b \in S$ we have

$$a \mathcal{R} b \iff aS^1 = bS^1$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \exists s, t \in S^1 \text{ with } a = bs \text{ and } b = at.$

$$a \mathcal{L} b \Leftrightarrow S^1 a = S^1 b$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \exists s, t \in S^1 \text{ with } a = sb \text{ and } b = ta.$

\$\mathcal{R}\$ (\$\mathcal{L}\$) is a left (right) congruence
\$\mathcal{R}\$ and \$\mathcal{L}\$ are the universal relation on any group

The relations \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} : regular and inverse semigroups

Definition S is *regular* if for all $a \in S$ there exists $x \in S$ with a = axa. Notice that if a = axa, then $ax, xa \in E(S)$ and

ax \mathcal{R} a \mathcal{L} xa.

Fact S is regular if and only if every \mathcal{R} -class (or \mathcal{L} -class) contains an idempotent.

Definition S is inverse if S is regular and E(S) is a semilattice.

Fact S is inverse if and only every element has a unique inverse, i.e. for all $a \in S$ there exists a unique a' in S such that

$$a = aa'a$$
 and $a' = a'aa'$.

Fact S is inverse if and only if every \mathcal{R} -class and every \mathcal{L} -class contains a unique idempotent.

The relations $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_E$

• The relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$ is defined by $a \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E b$ if and only if

 $ea = a \Leftrightarrow eb = b$

for all $e \in E$.

- Note if $a \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E e \in E$, then as ee = e we have ea = a.
- The relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_E$ is defined by $a \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_E b$ if and only if

$$ae = a \Leftrightarrow be = b$$

for all $e \in E$.

- \mathcal{R}_E and \mathcal{L}_E are equivalence relations.
- If M is a monoid and $E = \{1\}$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_E$ are universal.
- these relations were introduced by El-Qallali in his 1980 thesis [5] (under Fountain) in case E = E(S), later generalised by Lawson [13]

Fact For any semigroup S and any E

$$\mathcal{R} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{E}.$$

Proof Let $a \mathcal{R} b$. Then a = bs and b = at for some $s, t \in S^1$. Hence

$$ea = a \Rightarrow eat = at \Rightarrow eb = b \Rightarrow ebs = bs \Rightarrow ea = a.$$

Fact If S is regular and E = E(S), then $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E = \mathcal{R}$.

Proof If $a \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{E(S)} b$ and a = axa, b = byb, then b = axb and a = bya.

Restriction semigroups: first definition

Definition A semigroup S is **left restriction** with **distinguished semilattice** E if:

- E is a semilattice;
- every R
 _E-class contains an idempotent of E;
 it is then easy to see that for every a ∈ S the R
 _E-class of a contains a unique element of E, which we call a⁺;
- the relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$ is a left congruence and
- the left ample condition (AL) holds:

for all
$$a \in S$$
 and $e \in E$, $ae = (ae)^+a$.

Right restriction semigroups are defined dually. A semigroup is **restriction** if it is left and right restriction *with respect to the same distinguished semilattice*.

Example Let M be a monoid. Then M is restriction with distinguished semilattice $E = \{1\}$.

Let S be an inverse semigroup. Then with E = E(S):

- E is a semilattice;
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E = \mathcal{R}$ is a left congruence;
- \bullet every $\mathcal R\text{-}\mathsf{class}$ contains an idempotent: we have

$$a^+ = aa';$$

• for any
$$a \in S$$
 and $e \in E$

$$(ae)^+a = (ae)(ae)'a = ae(ea')a = ae(a'a) = a(a'a)e = ae.$$

Hence S is left restriction (w.r.t. E(S)); dually S is right restriction, so that S is restriction.

- Every semigroup S embeds in a full transformation semigroup T_X
- Every group embeds in a symmetric group S_X
- Every inverse semigroup *S* embeds (as an inverse semigroup) in the symmetric inverse semigroup I_X

 $\mathcal{T}_X, \mathcal{S}_X$ and \mathcal{I}_X are all subsemigroups of the semigroup \mathcal{PT}_X of all partial mappings of X.

• \mathcal{PT}_X is left restriction with distinguished semilattice

$$\mathsf{E} = \{I_Y : Y \subseteq X\}$$

and with

$$\alpha^+ = I_{\text{dom }\alpha}.$$

• S is left restriction if and only if it embeds in some \mathcal{PT}_X in a way that preserves $^+$ (folklore: Trokhimenko [21]).

Let $S = (S, \cdot, +)$ be a semigroup equipped with a unary operation + (*that is*, *S is a* **unary semigroup**).

Fact S is left restriction with distinguished semilattice

 $E = \{a^+ : a \in S\}$

if and only if the following identities hold:

$$x^+x = x, x^+y^+ = y^+x^+, (x^+y)^+ = x^+y^+, xy^+ = (xy)^+x$$

If the above identities hold then for any $a^+ \in E$,

$$a^+ = (a^+a)^+ = a^+a^+$$

so that we see E is a semilattice.

- Consequently, left restriction semigroups form a **variety** of unary semigroups.
- Dually, right restriction semigroups form a variety of unary semigroups, with unary operation denoted by *, satisfying the left/right duals of the axioms above.
- A bi-unary semigroup is restriction if and only if satisfies the identities for left and right restriction semigroups together with

$$(a^*)^+ = a^*$$
 and $(a^+)^* = a^+$.

- Since (left) restriction semigroups form varieties, free objects exist.
- The free (left) restriction semigroup on any set X embeds into the free inverse semigroup on X ([9, 8]).

Different schools arrived at (left) restriction semigroups via different directions from 1960s onwards:

• Schweizer, Sklar, Schein, Trokhimenko: function systems [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]

Let T be a subsemigroup of \mathcal{PT}_X or \mathcal{B}_X (semigroup of binary relations on X).

T may be equipped with additional operations such as $^+$, \cap , $(f,g) \mapsto f^+g$ etc. Can such T be axiomatised by first order formulae? By identities?

• Lawson: **Ehresmann semigroups** [13] Lawson found a correspondence between Ehresmann semigroups and certain categories equipped with two orderings. As a special case, restriction semigroups correspond to inductive categories.

- Jackson and Stokes: **closure operators** [10] Introduced 'twisted *C*-semigroups', with an axiomatisation equivalent to the one given here.
- Manes, Cockett, Lack: category theory, computer science [2, 14]. Gave the axioms above. Also interested in restriction *categories*.
- Fountain: generalisations of regular and inverse semigroups [6].
- Jones: **P-restriction semigroups** obtained from *regular *-semigroups* [11].

• The relation \mathcal{R}^* on S is defined by the rule that $a \mathcal{R}^* b$ if and only if

$$xa = ya \Leftrightarrow xb = yb$$

for all $x, y \in S^1$.

- $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^* \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$
- A monoid *M* is **left PP** if every principal left ideal is projective.
- *M* is left PP if and only if every \mathcal{R}^* -class contains an idempotent.

This observation by Fountain [6] led to the introduction of **abundant**, **adequate semigroups**, etc.

(Left) ample semigroups

Definition A semigroup S is **left ample** (formerly, **left type A**) if E(S) is a semilattice, every \mathcal{R}^* -class contains an idempotent, and for all $a \in S, e \in E(S)$,

$$\mathsf{a}\mathsf{e}=(\mathsf{a}\mathsf{e})^+\mathsf{a}$$

where a^+ is the unique idempotent in the \mathcal{R}^* -class of a.

Equivalently, S is left ample if and only if it is left restriction and $\mathcal{R}^* = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E$.

Right ample semigroups are defined dually, and a semigroup is **ample** if it is both left and right ample.

Fact A unary semigroup is left ample if and only if it embeds in some \mathcal{I}_X [7].

Fact (Left) ample semigroups form a quasi-variety; the variety they generate is the variety of (left) restriction semigroups [9, 8].

There are several approaches to structure of inverse semigroups, using groups and semilattices. These may be adapted to (left) restriction semigroups.

McAlister's approach uses **proper covers**: if *S* is inverse then it has a **proper** preimage \widehat{S} such that $E(\widehat{S}) \cong E(S)$ and such that the structure of \widehat{S} is known - it is isomorphic to a **P-semigroup**.

P-semigroups are closely related to semidirect products.

Let S be left restriction.

- S is **reduced** if |E| = 1. A reduced left restriction semigroup is simply a monoid!
- σ_E is the least congruence identifying all the idempotents of *E*.
- The left restriction semigroup S/σ_E is reduced.
- A left restriction semigroup S is **proper** if $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_E \cap \sigma_E = \iota$.
- If S is proper left restriction, then $\theta:S \to E imes S/\sigma_E$ given by

$$s\theta = (s^+, s\sigma_E)$$

is an injection.

Semidirect products

Let M be a monoid and Y a set. Then M acts on the left of Y if there is a map

$$M \times Y \rightarrow Y$$
; $(m, y) \mapsto m \cdot y$,

such that

$$1 \cdot y = y$$
 and $(mn) \cdot y = m \cdot (n \cdot y)$.

Suppose now that Y is a semigroup. Then M acts by morphisms if, in addition,

$$m \cdot (yz) = (m \cdot y)(m \cdot z).$$

In this case, define a product on $Y \times M$ by

$$(y,m)(z,n) = (y(m \cdot z),mn).$$

This product is associative, yielding the **semidirect product** Y * M.

An example: left restriction semigroups

- If M is a group and Y a semilattice, Y * M is proper inverse.
- If M is a monoid and Y a semilattice, Y * M is proper left restriction.
- Let S be a left restriction monoid with distinguished semilattice E. Define

$$s \cdot e = (se)^+$$
.

Then this is an action of S on E.

• Let $s \in S$ and $e, f \in E$. Then from the ample condition $ae = (ae)^+a$ and the identity $(x^+y)^+ = x^+y^+$,

$$s \cdot ef = (sef)^+ = ((se)^+ sf)^+ = (se)^+ (sf)^+ = (s \cdot e)(s \cdot f).$$

• From the above, E * S is proper left restriction.

Let S be left restriction.

- A proper cover of S is a proper left restriction semigroup Ŝ and an onto morphism θ : Ŝ → S such that θ separates distinguished idempotents.
- If S is a monoid then

$$\widehat{S} = \{(e,s) : e \leq s^+\} \subseteq E * S$$

is a proper cover of S

• Every left restriction semigroup has a proper cover [1].

Let T be a monoid acting on the left of a semilattice \mathcal{X} via morphisms. Suppose that \mathcal{X} has subsemilattice \mathcal{Y} with upper bound ε such that (a) for all $t \in T$ there exists $e \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $e \leq t \cdot \varepsilon$ (b) if $e \leq t \cdot \varepsilon$ then for all $f \in \mathcal{Y}$, $e \wedge t \cdot f \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then $(T, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a **strong left M-triple**.

For a strong left M-triple $(T, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ we put

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}) = \{(e,t) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{T} : e \leq t \cdot \varepsilon\}$$

and define

$$(e,s)(f,t) = (e \wedge s \cdot f, st), \ (e,s)^+ = (e,1).$$

Then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is proper left restriction.

Theorem A left restriction semigroup S is proper if and only if it is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ [1].

Important point In the above result, we can take

$$T = S/\sigma_E$$
 and $\mathcal{Y} = E$.

By replacing T with a right cancellative monoid, we can specialise to the left ample case: see also Fountain [6] and Lawson [12].

• A restriction semigroup S is proper if

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{E} \cap \sigma_{E} = \iota = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{E} \cap \sigma_{E}.$$

- Every restriction semigroup has a proper cover [8]
- If S is proper restriction, then as S is proper left restriction,

$$S\cong\mathcal{M}(T,\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$$

where $T = S/\sigma_E$ and $\mathcal{Y} = E$, and as S is proper right restriction,

$$S \cong \mathcal{M}'(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}', T),$$

where $\mathcal{M}'(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}', T)$ is constructed from T acting on the right of a semilattice \mathcal{X}' .

• Clearly the left and right actions of T must be connected in some way.

A structure theorem for proper restriction semigroups: the set-up

Definition Let T be a monoid, acting partially on the left and right of a semilattice \mathcal{Y} , via \cdot and \circ respectively. Suppose that both actions preserve the partial order and the domains of each $t \in T$ are order ideals. Suppose in addition that for $e \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $t \in T$, the following and their duals hold: (a) if $\exists e \circ t$, then $\exists t \cdot (e \circ t)$ and $t \cdot (e \circ t) = e$; (b) for all $t \in T$, there exists $e \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\exists e \circ t$.

Then (T, \mathcal{Y}) is a strong M-pair.

We put

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{Y}) = \{(e,s) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{T} : \exists e \circ s\}$$

and define operations by

$$(e,s)(f,t) = (s \cdot (e \circ s \wedge f), st), (e,s)^+ = (e,1) \text{ and } (e,s)^* = (e \circ s, 1).$$

A structure theorem for proper restriction semigroups: the result

Theorem: Cornock and G [4] If (T, Y) is a strong M-pair, then

$$\mathcal{M}(T,\mathcal{Y})\cong \mathcal{M}'(\mathcal{Y},T),$$

where $\mathcal{M}'(\mathcal{Y}, T)$ is constructed dually to $\mathcal{M}(T, \mathcal{Y})$.

Theorem: Cornock and G [4] A semigroup is proper restriction if and only if it is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Corollary: Lawson [12] A semigroup is proper ample if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(C, \mathcal{Y})$ for a cancellative monoid C.

Corollary: Petrich and Reilly, [15] A semigroup is proper inverse if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(G, \mathcal{Y})$ for a group G.

- M. Branco, G. Gomes and V. Gould, 'Extensions and covers for semigroups whose idempotents form a left regular band' *Semigroup Forum* **81** (2010), 51-70.
- J. R. Cockett and S. Lack, Restriction categories I: categories of partial maps, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **270** (2002), 223-259.
 - C. Cornock, *Restriction semigroups: structure, varieties and presentations*, D.Phil. Thesis, University of York, 2011.
- C. Cornock and V. Gould, *Proper restriction semigroups*, *submitted*.
- A. El Qallali, Structure theory for abundant and related semigroups, D.Phil. Thesis, University of York, 1980.
- J. Fountain, 'A class of right *PP* monoids', *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **28** (1977), 285-300.
- J. Fountain, 'Adequate semigroups', *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **22** (1979), 113-125.

- J. Fountain, G. M. S. Gomes and V.A.R. Gould, 'The free ample monoid' *I.J.A.C.* **19** (2009), 527-554.
- G. M. S. Gomes and V. Gould, 'Proper weakly left ample semigroups' *I.J.A.C.* **9** (1999), 721–739.
- M. Jackson and T. Stokes, 'An invitation to *C*-semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **62** (2001), 279-310.
- P. Jones, 'A common framework for restriction semigroups and regular *-semigroups, J.P.A.A. (2011) online first.
- M.V. Lawson, 'The Structure of Type A Semigroups', *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **37 (2)** (1986), 279–298.
- M.V. Lawson, 'Semigroups and ordered categories I. The reduced case', *J. Algebra* **141** (1991), 422-462.
- E. Manes, 'Guarded and banded semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **72** (2006), 94-120.

- M. Petrich and N. R. Reilly, 'A Representation of E-unitary Inverse Semigroups', *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **30** (1979), 339–350.
- B. M. Schein, 'Relation algebras and function semigroups', *Semigroup Forum* **1** (1970), 1–62.
- B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, 'The algebra of functions', *Math. Ann.* **139** (1960), 366-382.
- B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, 'The algebra of functions II', *Math. Ann.* **143** (1961), 440-447.
- B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, 'The algebra of functions III', *Math. Ann.* **161** (1965), 171-196.
- B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, 'Function systems', *Math. Ann.* **172** (1967),1-16.
- V. S. Trokhimenko, 'Menger's function systems', *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* **11**(138) (1973), 71-78 (Russian).