Endomorphisms of semigroups: Growth and interactions with subsemigroups

Alan J. Cain

(Joint work with Victor Maltcev)

## Endomorphism growth

- S a finitely generated semigroup.
- T a subsemigroup of S.
- A a finite generating set for S.
- $|x|_A$  the length of  $x \in S$  with respect to A; that is, the minimum length of a product of elements of A that equals x.
  - $\phi$  endomorphism of S.

For  $m\in\mathbb{N},$  the growth function of  $\varphi$  with respect to elements of length m over A is defined by

$$\Gamma_{\phi,\mathfrak{m},A}(\mathfrak{n}) = \max\{|w\phi^{\mathfrak{n}}|:|w|_A \leqslant \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

The growth of  $\phi$  is defined by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \text{sup}\Big\{\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi,\mathfrak{m},A}(n)} : \mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N} \Big\}.$$

## Endomorphism growth

#### Example

Let  $S = \{a\}^+$ ,  $A = \{a\}$ , and  $a\varphi = a^2$ . Then

$$\Gamma_{\Phi,\mathfrak{m},A}(\mathfrak{n}) = \left|\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{m}}\Phi^{\mathfrak{n}}\right| = \left|\mathfrak{a}^{2^{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{m}}\right| = 2^{\mathfrak{n}}\mathfrak{m},$$

and so

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \text{sup}\big\{ \text{lim}\,\text{sup}_{n \to \infty} \ \sqrt[n]{2^n m} : m \in \mathbb{N} \big\} = 2.$$

## Properties of endomorphism growth

#### Proposition

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \text{lim}_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi, 1, A}(n)} = \text{inf}\{\sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi, 1, A}(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

#### Proof.

First, 
$$|(a_1 \cdots a_m) \varphi^n| \leqslant |a_1 \varphi^n| \cdots |a_m \varphi^n|;$$

and thus  $\Gamma_{\!\varphi,\mathfrak{m},A}(\mathfrak{n})\leqslant\mathfrak{m}\Gamma_{\!\varphi,1,A}(\mathfrak{n}).$ 

Also,

$$\Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(n)$$

$$= \max\{|a\phi^{n}|: a \in A\}$$

$$= \max\{|(w_{1}\cdots w_{k})\phi|: w_{1}\cdots w_{k} = a\phi^{n-1}, a \in A\}$$

$$\leq \max\{|w_{1}\phi|\cdots |w_{k}\phi|: w_{1}\cdots w_{k} = a\phi^{n-1}, a \in A\}$$

$$\leq \Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(1)\Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(n-1).$$

## Properties of endomorphism growth

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\!\varphi,\mathfrak{m},A}(\mathfrak{n}) &= \max\!\left\{ \left| w \varphi^{\mathfrak{n}} \right| : |w|_A \leqslant \mathfrak{m} \right\} \\ \Gamma(\varphi) &= \sup\!\left\{ \limsup_{\mathfrak{n} \to \infty} \sqrt[\eta]{\Gamma_{\!\varphi,\mathfrak{m},A}(\mathfrak{n})} : \mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \end{split}$$

### Proposition

$$\Gamma(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{f,1,A}(n)} = \inf\{\sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{f,1,A}(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

#### Proof (continued).

So far, we have  $\Gamma_{\phi,n,A}(n) \leq m\Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(n)$  and  $\Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(n) \leq \Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(1)\Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(n-1)$ .

$$\begin{split} \text{Hence} \quad & \Gamma(\varphi) \leqslant \text{sup}\big\{ \text{lim}\, \text{sup}_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{m\Gamma_{\varphi,1,A}(n)} : m \in \mathbb{N} \big\} \\ & \Gamma(\varphi) = \text{lim}\, \text{sup}_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi,1,A}(n)}. \end{split}$$

Furthermore,  $(\Gamma_{\!\varphi,\mathcal{A}}(n))^{1/n}$  is non-increasing in n, so

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi,1,A}(n)} = \inf \big\{ \sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi,1,A}(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N} \big\}. \quad \Box$$

# Attainable growths

## Theorem (C, Maltcev)

For any real number  $r \geqslant$  1, there exists an endomorphism whose growth is  $\mathbf{r}.$ 

### Proof.

Growth of the identity endomorphism is 1, so consider r>1. Let  $p_n = \lceil r^{n+1} \rceil + n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Note that  $2 \leqslant p_0 < p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ . Define the semigroup S by the following rewriting system over  $A = \{a, b\}$ :

$$a^{p_h}(a^{p_i}b^{p_i}ab)^{p_h}a(a^{p_i}b^{p_i}ab) \rightarrow a^{p_{i+h+1}}b^{p_{i+h+1}}ab$$
  
for i, h  $\in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

This rewriting system is

- confluent, since there are no non-trivial overlaps between left-hand sides;
- noetherian, since it is length-reducing.

## Attainable growths

 $a^{p_{\mathfrak{h}}}(a^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}b^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}ab)^{p_{\mathfrak{h}}}a(a^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}b^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}ab) \to a^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+\mathfrak{h}+1}}b^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+\mathfrak{h}+1}}ab$ 

### Proof (continued).

Define  $\varphi$  by  $a\mapsto a$  and  $b\mapsto a^{p_0}b^{p_0}ab.$  Then  $\varphi$  is a well-defined endomorphism, since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{p_{h}}(a^{p_{i}}b^{p_{i}}ab)^{p_{h}}a(a^{p_{i}}b^{p_{i}}ab) \end{pmatrix} \varphi \\ = a^{p_{h}} \begin{pmatrix} a^{p_{i}}(a^{p_{0}}b^{p_{0}}ab)^{p_{i}}a(a^{p_{0}}b^{p_{0}}ab) \end{pmatrix}^{p_{h}} \\ a \begin{pmatrix} a^{p_{i}}(a^{p_{0}}b^{p_{0}}ab)^{p_{i}}a(a^{p_{0}}b^{p_{0}}ab) \end{pmatrix} \\ \to a^{p_{h}}(a^{p_{i+1}}b^{p_{i+1}}ab)^{p_{h}}a(a^{p_{i+1}}b^{p_{i+1}}ab) \\ \to a^{p_{i+h+2}}b^{p_{i+h+2}}ab$$

and

$$(a^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+h+1}}b^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+h+1}}ab)\phi = \frac{a^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+h+1}}(a^{p_0}b^{p_0}ab)^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+h+1}}a(a^{p_0}b^{p_0}ab)}{\rightarrow a^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+h+2}}b^{p_{\mathfrak{i}+h+2}}ab.}$$

## Attainable growths

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\varphi) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi,1,A}(n)} = \inf\{\sqrt[n]{\Gamma_{\varphi,1,A}(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\\ \varphi: \quad a \mapsto a, \quad b \mapsto a^{p_0} b^{p_0} a b \qquad p_n = \lceil r^{n+1} \rceil + n \end{split}$$

#### Proof (continued).

Since  $\varphi$  fixes a, we have  $|a\varphi^n|=1.$  By induction,  $b\varphi^n=a^{p_{n-1}}b^{p_{n-1}}ab,$  so

$$\Gamma_{\Phi,1,A}(n) = |b\Phi^n| = 2(n-1+\lceil r^n \rceil) + 2 = 2n+2\lceil r^n \rceil.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma(\phi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{2n + 2\lceil r^n \rceil}$$
$$= r.$$

## Homogeneous semigroup presentations

- A presentation ⟨A | ℜ⟩ is homogeneous if for all (u, v) ∈ ℜ, |u| = |v|.
- ▶ So if  $w, w' \in A^+$  are equal in the semigroup, |w| = |w'|.
- Let  $x_{ij}^{(n)}$  the number of letters  $a_j$  in  $a_i \varphi^n$ . Let P be the matrix  $[x_{ij}^{(1)}]$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{i1}^{(n+1)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{ik}^{(n+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}^{(1)} & \cdots & x_{1k}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{k1}^{(1)} & \cdots & x_{kk}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i1}^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{ik}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} = P \begin{bmatrix} x_{i1}^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{ik}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and so,

$$|\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{i}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{n}}| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} P^{\mathfrak{n}-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1\mathfrak{i}}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ x_{k\mathfrak{i}}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Homogeneous semigroup presentations

If x<sub>i1</sub><sup>(1)</sup> + ··· + x<sub>ik</sub><sup>(1)</sup> = 0 for some i, then φ maps S onto the subsemigroup T = ⟨a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>i-1</sub>, a<sub>i+1</sub>,..., a<sub>k</sub>⟩, which is also a homogeneous semigroup. This reduces the calculation of Γ(φ) to the calculation of Γ(φ|<sub>T</sub>).

• If 
$$x_{i1}^{(1)} + \cdots + x_{ik}^{(1)} > 0$$
 for all i, then

$$\Gamma(\phi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|\mathsf{P}^n\|},$$

where  $||P^n||$  is the sum of the entries of  $P^n$ .

By Gelfand's formula,

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|P^n\|} = \rho(P).$$

where  $\rho(P)$  is the spectral radius of P.

#### Proposition (C, Maltcev)

The growth of an endomorphism of a homogeneous semigroup is an algebraic number.

# Mapping into a subsemigroup

Proposition (C, Maltcev)

Let T be a finitely generated subsemigroup of S, and suppose  $S\varphi \subseteq T$ . Then  $\Gamma(\varphi) = \Gamma(\varphi|_T)$ .

### Proof.

Let B generate T and let  $A \supset B$  generate S.

 $\leqslant \ \text{Let} \ a \in A. \ \text{Then} \ a\varphi \in \mathsf{T} \ \text{and} \ \text{so}$ 

$$|a\varphi^{n+1}|_A \leqslant |a\varphi^{n+1}|_B = |(a\varphi)\varphi|_T^n|_B \leqslant |a\varphi|_B \Gamma_{\varphi|_T,1,B}(n).$$

Thus

$$\Gamma_{\Phi,1,A}(\mathfrak{n}+1) \leqslant \max_{\mathfrak{a} \in A} |\mathfrak{a}\phi|_{B} \Gamma_{\Phi,1,B}(\mathfrak{n}) = k \Gamma_{\Phi|_{T},1,B}(\mathfrak{n})$$

and so  $\Gamma(\varphi) \leqslant \Gamma(\varphi|_T)$ .

# Mapping into a subsemigroup

## Proposition (C, Maltcev)

Let T be a finitely generated subsemigroup of S, and suppose  $S\varphi \subseteq T$ . Then  $\Gamma(\varphi) = \Gamma(\varphi|_T)$ .

## Proof (continued).

Let B generate T and let  $A \supset B$  generate S.

$$\geqslant \mbox{ Let } b\in B.$$
 Let  $p=|b\varphi^n|_A,$  so that  $b\varphi^n=a_1\cdots a_p.$  Then

$$|b\varphi^{n+1}|_B = |(a_1\varphi)\cdots(a_p\varphi)|_B \leqslant Mp$$
, where  $M = \max_{a\in A} |a\varphi|_B$ .

Thus  $|b\phi^{n+1}|_B \leq M\Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(n)$ . This implies  $\Gamma_{\phi|_T,1,B}(n+1) \leq M\Gamma_{\phi,1,A}(n)$ , and hence  $\Gamma(\phi|_T) \leq \Gamma(\phi)$ .

## General subsemigroups

Let  $\phi$  be such that  $T\phi \subseteq T$ .

In general, there is no connection between the  $\Gamma(\varphi)$  and  $\Gamma(\varphi|_T)$ . That is, both  $\Gamma(\varphi|_T) < \Gamma(\varphi)$  and  $\Gamma(\varphi) < \Gamma(\varphi_T)$  are possible.

#### Example

Let  $S = (\{a\}^+)^0$ , let  $T = \{0\}$  and define  $\varphi$  by  $a \mapsto a^2$  and  $0 \mapsto 0$ . Then  $\Gamma(\varphi) = 2$  and  $\Gamma(\varphi|_T) = 1$ . So  $\Gamma(\varphi|_T) < \Gamma(\varphi)$ .

## General subsemigroups

### Example (continued)

Let  $\varphi$  be the endomorphsim of  $\{a,b,c,d\}^+$  defined by

 $a\mapsto ab, \quad b\mapsto ba, \quad c\mapsto c, \quad d\mapsto d.$ 

Let S be defined by the following rewriting system over  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$a^n c^n a^n d  o a \phi^n$	for $n \ge 1$ ;	
$b^n c^n b^n d  o a \varphi^n$	for $n \ge 1$ ;	
$\left(\mathfrak{a}\varphi^{k}\right)^{n}\mathfrak{c}^{n}\left(\mathfrak{a}\varphi^{k}\right)^{n}d ightarrow\mathfrak{a}\varphi^{k+n}$	for $k, n \ge 1$ ;	
$\left(b\varphi^k\right)^n c^n \left(b\varphi^k\right)^n d \to b\varphi^{k+n}$	for $k, n \ge 1$ .	

This system is

- noetherian, since every rule reduces the number of symbols c;
- confluent, since if two left-hand sides overlap, the exponents n must coincide and (xφ<sup>k</sup>)<sup>n</sup> = (yφ<sup>ℓ</sup>)<sup>n</sup> for x, y ∈ {a, b} and k, ℓ, n ∈ N if and only if k = ℓ and x = y.

# General subsemigroups

### Example (continued)

Let  $\varphi$  be the endomorphsim of  $\{a,b,c,d\}^+$  defined by

 $a\mapsto ab, \quad b\mapsto ba, \quad c\mapsto c, \quad d\mapsto d.$ 

Let S be defined by the following rewriting system over  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$a^n c^n a^n d  o a \phi^n$	for $n \ge 1$ ;
$b^n c^n b^n d  o a \phi^n$	for $n \ge 1$ ;
$\left(\mathfrak{a}\varphi^k\right)^n c^n \left(\mathfrak{a}\varphi^k\right)^n d \to \mathfrak{a}\varphi^{k+n}$	for $k, n \ge 1$ ;
$\left(b\varphi^k\right)^n c^n \left(b\varphi^k\right)^n d \to b\varphi^{k+n}$	for $k, n \ge 1$ .

The map  $\varphi$  gives an endomorphism of S. Let  $T = \langle a, b \rangle = \{a, b\}^+$ . Then  $T\varphi \subseteq T$ , and  $\Gamma(\varphi|_T) = 2$ .

But  $\max\{a\varphi^n, b\varphi^n, x\varphi^n, d\varphi^n\} \leq 3n + 1$  and so  $\Gamma(\varphi) = 1$ . Thus  $\Gamma(\varphi_T) < \Gamma(\varphi)$ .

# Special types of subsemigroups

### Proposition (C, Maltcev)

Suppose T is finitely generated and there is a finite set  $R \subseteq S$  such that S = RT, and  $\varphi$  is such that  $T\varphi \subseteq T$ . Then  $\Gamma(\varphi) \leqslant \Gamma(\varphi|_T)$ .

For  $x, y \in S$ , define

$$\begin{array}{ll} x \ \mathcal{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \ y \iff x \mathsf{T} \cup \{x\} = y \mathsf{T} \cup \{y\}, \\ x \ \mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \ y \iff \mathsf{T} x \cup \{x\} = \mathsf{T} y \cup \{y\}, \\ x \ \mathcal{H}^{\mathsf{T}} \ y \iff x \ \mathcal{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \ y \land x \ \mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \ y. \end{array}$$

The *Green index* of T in S is the number of  $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ -classes in S – T.

#### Proposition (C, Maltcev)

Let T have finite Green index in S, with  $T\varphi \subseteq T$ . Then  $\Gamma(\varphi) = \Gamma(\varphi|_T)$ .

# Semigroups with soluble word problem

### Theorem (C, Maltcev)

Every computable real number arises as an endomorphism of a finitely generated semigroup with soluble word problem.

### Question

What are the growths of endomorphisms of finitely presented semigroups? (Always computable?)

### Question

What are the growths of endomorphisms of semigroups presented by finite complete rewriting systems?

# Hopficity and co-hopficity

S is hopfian if every surjective homomorphism  $\varphi:S\to S$  is injective and thus an automorphism.

S is co-hopfian if every injective homomorphism  $\varphi:S\to S$  is surjective and thus an automorphism.

## Theorem (Maltcev, Ruškuc)

Suppose T has finite Rees index in S, and that S and T are finitely generated. If T is hopfian, then S is hopfian as well.

- Key is to prove that  $T\phi \neq S$  when  $T \neq S$ .
- Without finite generation, the result does not hold.
- T does not inherit hopficity from S.

### Theorem (C, Maltcev)

Suppose T has finite Rees index in S, and that S and T are finitely generated. If T is co-hopfian, then S is co-hopfian as well.

### Proof.

Assume T is co-hopfian. Let B generate T. Let  $\varphi:S\to S$  be an injective endomorphism.

Let  $t\in T.$  Consider  $t\varphi,\,t\varphi^2,\,\ldots$ 

- $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \text{If} \ t\varphi^i = t\varphi^j \ \text{for} \ i < j, \ \text{then} \ t\varphi^{j-i} = t \ \text{and} \ \text{so} \ t\varphi^{\ell(j-i)} \in T \ \text{for all} \\ \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array}$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \, \text{If } t\varphi, t\varphi^2, \dots \text{ are distinct, then } t\varphi^\ell \in T \text{ for } \ell > N.$

In both cases, there exist  $k_t, m_t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t\varphi^{\ell m_t} \in \mathsf{T}$  for all  $\ell \geqslant k_t.$ 

Let  $k=\text{max}\{k_t:t\in B\}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}=\text{lcm}\{\mathfrak{m}_t:t\in B\}.$  Then  $B\varphi^{k\mathfrak{m}}\subseteq \mathsf{T},$  and so  $\mathsf{T}\varphi^{k\mathfrak{m}}\subseteq\mathsf{T}.$ 

## Proof (continued).

Since  $\varphi: S \to S$  is injective, so is  $\varphi^{km}: S \to S$ . Hence  $\varphi^{km}|_T: T \to T$  is injective and so bijective (by co-hopficity of T). So  $\varphi^{km}|_{S-T}: S - T \to S - T$  must be injective and so bijective (since

So  $\phi^{\text{Km}}|_{S-T}$ :  $S-T \rightarrow S-T$  must be injective and so bijective (since S-T is finite).

Thus  $\phi^{k\mathfrak{m}}: S \to S$  is bijective, and hence so is  $\phi$ .

#### Example

Let  $S = \langle x, y | y^2 = xy = yx = x^2 \rangle$ , and let  $T = \langle x \rangle = \{x\}^+$ . Then |S - T| = 1. Then S is co-hopfian but T is not co-hopfian.



#### Example

Let  $\Gamma$  be the graph

and let  $\Delta = \Gamma - \{y_0\}$ .

Let  $S_{\Gamma}=\Gamma\cup\{e,n,0\}$  and define products by

$$\begin{split} \nu_1\nu_2 &= \begin{cases} e & \text{if there is an edge between } \nu_1 \text{ and } \nu_2 \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ n & \text{if there is no edge between } \nu_1 \text{ and } \nu_2 \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ \nu_1e &= e\nu_1 = \nu_1n = n\nu_1 = en = ne = e^2 = n^2 = 0x = x0 = 0 \\ & \text{for } \nu_1, \nu_2 \in V \text{ and } x \in S_{\Gamma}. \end{split}$$

Define  $S_{\Delta}$  similarly. Then  $|S_{\Gamma} - S_{\Delta}| = 1$ ,  $S_{\Gamma}$  is not co-hopfian and  $S_{\Delta}$  is co-hopfian.

# Summary of hopficity and co-hopficity results

	Preserved on passing to			
	Finite Rees index		Finite Green index	
Property	Subsemigroup	Extension	Subsemigroup	Extension
Hopficity	Ν	Ν	Ν	N
Hopficity & f.g.	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν
Co-hopficity	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
Co-hopficity & f.g	. N	Y	Ν	?

#### Question

Is 'co-hopficity and finite generation' preserved on passing to finite Green index extensions?